## SLM CORPORATION

## Q3 2010 Investor Presentation



## Forward-Looking Statements

This Presentation contains forward-looking statements and information based on management's current expectations as of the date of this presentation. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs or expectations and statements that assume or are dependent upon future events, are forward-looking statements. Forwardlooking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. These factors include, among others, increases in financing costs; limits on liquidity; any adverse outcomes in any significant litigation to which we are a party; our derivative counterparties terminating their positions with the Company if permitted by their contracts and the Company substantially incurring additional costs to replace any terminated positions; and changes in the terms of student loans and the educational credit marketplace (including changes resulting from new laws and the implementation of existing laws). The Company could be affected by: changes in or the termination of various liquidity programs implemented by the federal government; changes in the demand for educational financing or in financing preferences of lenders, educational institutions, students and their families; changes in the composition of our Managed FFELP and Private Education Loan portfolios; changes in the general interest rate environment, including the rate relationships among relevant money-market instruments, and in the securitization markets, which may increase the costs or limit the availability of financings necessary to initiate, purchase or carry education loans; changes in projections of losses from loan defaults; changes in general economic conditions; changes in prepayment rates and credit spreads; and changes in the demand for debt management services. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements also requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions including estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates or assumptions may prove to be incorrect. All forward-looking statements contained in this Presentation are qualified by these cautionary statements and are made only as of the date of this Presentation. The Company does not undertake any obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements to conform the statement to actual results or changes in the Company's expectations.

## SLM CORPORATION OVERVIEW



## SLM Corporation

## Salliailat

Dream. Invest. Succeed."

- \#1 saving and paying for college company with 40-years of leadership in the education lending market
- \#1 servicer and collector of student loans in the U.S. currently servicing \$202 billion in education loans, $\$ 27$ billion for third parties, including $\$ 22$ billion for the Department of Education (ED)
- Fully independent private sector company with scale and a broad franchise, traded on the NYSE
- $\$ 182$ billion managed student loan portfolio, $80 \%$ of which is U.S. government guaranteed
- At quarter-end, $96 \%$ of managed student loans were funded with term liabilities


## Life stage Customer Strategy

- Sallie Mae offers an unmatched, integrated suite of Saving, Planning, and Paying for College ${ }^{S M}$ products and services



## SLM Corporation Update

- Managed student loans outstanding increased to $\$ 182$ billion at 9/30/2010
- Originated \$853 million in federal student loans in Q3 2010
- Originated \$835 million private education loans in Q3 2010
- Announced an agreement to acquire $\$ 28$ billion of securitized FFELP loans from STU, a Citibank subsidiary
- Student loan legislation, Ensuring Continued Access to Student Loan Act
- ED Loan Purchase Commitment Program
- On Oct 11, sold $\$ 20$ billion of FFELP loans to ED, ending our participation in this program
- ED Conduit - Straight A Funding, no new advances as of 6/30/2010, will expire $1 / 19 / 2014$
- Funding $\$ 15.2$ billion of loans at $9 / 30 / 10$
- Completed $\$ 760$ million FFELP ABS and $\$ 2.6$ billion Private Credit ABS in Q3 2010
- "Core Earnings" net income for Q3 2010 of $\$ 189$ million including restructuring charges and debt repurchasing gains
- Upromise manages $\$ 27$ billion in 529 college savings plans
- Student Loan Reform (SAFRA) passed with Health Care Reform-Signed into Law 3/30/2010
- US Government is now the sole originator of federal guaranteed student loans effective 7/1/2010


## A Brief Corporate History

| SLM Corporate Debt Ratings |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Moody's | S \& P | Fitch |
| Long- <br> Term | Ba1 | BBB- | BBB- |
| Short- <br> Term | Not-Prime | A-3 | F3 |
| Outlook | Neg. | Neg. | Stable |

As 9/30/2010

| Managed Loan Portfolio |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loan Type | $\$ \mathbf{B}$ | $\%$ |
| FFELP Loans | $\$ 146.5$ | $80 \%$ |
| Private Education | 35.5 | $20 \%$ |
| Total Portfolio | $\$ 182.0$ | $100 \%$ |

As of 9/30/2010

- Congress creates the Guaranteed Student Loan Program ${ }^{1}$
- Congress establishes, as a GSE, the Student Loan Marketing Association or "Sallie Mae"
- Privatization of Sallie Mae approved by Congress, 1996 SLM Corporation holding company created
- GSE dissolved... SLM Corporation becomes a fully independent, private sector corporation

2007 - SLM Corp. proposed transaction with J.C. Flowers

- Challenging economy; Government support of Student Loan Market
- SLM secures role servicing for US Dept Education
- FFELP eliminated in legislative reform July 2010
- SLM acquires Citi $\$ 28$ billion FFELP portfolio
${ }^{1}$ Currently known as the Federal Family Education Loan Program (FFELP),


## Q310 "Core EARNINGS" Summary

| (\$ millions), except per share amounts | Q310 | Q210 | Q309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPS (Reported) | \$0.35 | \$0.39 | \$0.26 |
| Economic Floor Income EPS not reported in "Core Earnings" | \$0.02 | \$0.00 | \$0.05 |
| Net Income Attributable to SLM | \$189 | \$209 | \$164 |
| Net Interest Income | \$756 | \$759 | \$690 |
| Net Interest Margin | 1.52\% | 1.54\% | 1.32\% |
| Loan Loss Provision | \$358 | \$382 | \$448 |
| Fee and Other Income - Excluding Debt Repurchase Gains | \$208 | \$217 | \$262 |
| Debt Repurchase Gains | \$18 | \$91 | \$74 |
| Operating Expenses | \$319 | \$337 | \$303 |
| Tangible Capital Ratio | 2.0\% | 1.9\% | 1.7\% |
| Average Managed Student Loans | \$184,139 | \$184,571 | \$191,459 |

## SLM Services Fee Income

Nine Months Ended Sept 30, 2010 \$665 Million


- Loan Servicing including ED Servicing Contract
- Largest collector of defaulted FFELP Ioans
- Collecting on behalf of ED for close to ten years

Largest administrator of 529 plans

- Guarantor Servicing for student loans
- Tuition payment plans and other processing services for colleges and universities


## Lending Segment Earnings Detail

| (\$ millions) | Q310 | Q210 | Q309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFELP Originations | \$853 | \$3,110 | \$6,940 |
| Private Originations | \$835 | \$219 | \$893 |
| Total Originations | \$1,688 | \$3,329 | \$7,833 |
| Average Managed Student Loans | \$184,139 | \$184,571 | \$191,549 |
| Net Interest Income after Provision - FFELP | \$320 | \$333 | \$281 |
| Net Interest Income after Provision - Private | \$73 | \$45 | (\$37) |
| Net Student Loan Spread - Total | 1.73\% | 1.75\% | 1.56\% |
| Net Student Loan Spread - Private Education | 4.74\% | 4.61\% | 4.45\% |
| Net Student Loan Spread - FFELP | 0.99\% | 1.04\% | 0.90\% |
| Economic Floor Income not included in Net Student Loan Spread | 0.04\% | 0.00\% | 0.07\% |
| Operating Expenses | \$182 | \$194 | \$161 |
| OpEx Annualized as a \% Average Managed Student Loans | 0.39\% | 0.42\% | 0.33\% |

## SLM Corporation

## Three Distinct Aspects of the Business Model

FFELP Loan Portfolio and Guarantor Collection and Servicing

- Existing portfolios generating substantial income and cash flow
- Servicing cash flows are super senior, residuals stable due to minimal credit risk
- Cash flow enhances the ability to service debt


## Private Education Loan Originations and Portfolio

- Ongoing business with significant long term value
- Legacy portfolio quality vastly improved


## Sallie Mae Services

- Attractive fee business with little capital required \& high return on equity
- Diverse portfolio of customers and services
- Opportunities exist to expand services provided including industry consolidation
- Efficient cost structure and top performer


## Federal Student Loan Market

- Outstanding Government Student Loan Market Distribution FFYE 9/30/2009

- Top 10 Holders of FFELP Loans FFYE 9/30/09

| Lender Name | FY09 | $\%$ Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SLM CORPORATION | $\$ 154,142$ | $36.6 \%$ |
| CITIBANK, STUDENT LOAN CORP | $\$ 32,474$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| WELLS FARGO/ WACHOVIA | $\$ 27,720$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| NELNET | $\$ 25,256$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| BRAZOS GROUP | $\$ 13,048$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| PA HIGHER ED ASST AUTH (PHEAA) | $\$ 11,126$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| JPMORGAN CHASE BANK | $\$ 11,100$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| BANK OF AMERICA | $\$ 10,067$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| COLLEGE LOAN CORP | $\$ 9,659$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| TOP 10 Holders | 295 | $69.9 \%$ |
| Remaining holders | 127 | $30.1 \%$ |

Sources: US Department of Education Report 4/2010, Federal Budget Request 2011

## Office of the Chief Executive Officer



## THE U.S. STUDENT LOAN MARKET

SLM Corporation



## Favorable Student Loan Market Trends



College Grads Experience
Lower Levels of Unemployment


Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics as of 9/30/2010

## Private Education Loan Originations



Private Education Loans declined as result of an increase in federal student loan limits, an overall increase in the use of federal student loans as well as an increase in federal grants.

## The Private Education Loan Market

## Private Education Loans

- Consumer loans made to students and parents specifically to fund the cost of undergraduate, graduate and other forms of post-secondary education
- Typically used to bridge the funding gap between grants, aid and FFELP loans, and the increasing cost of higher education
- Supplement U.S. Government guaranteed student loans, but not guaranteed by the U.S. Government
- Typically offered with floating interest rates, with loan margins set based on the credit quality of the borrower and/or cosigner
- Generally 5 to 15 years in maturity


## SLM's Private Education Loan Portfolio

- Private Education Loan Portfolio Characteristics
- $\$ 35.5$ billion of managed outstandings at 9/30/2010
- $20 \%$ of SLM's managed student loan portfolio
- Risk-based pricing
- Approximately 59\% of portfolio has a cosigner, typically a parent
- Higher education loans typically non-dischargeable in bankruptcy
- Integrated underwriting, servicing and collections


## SLM's New Private Education Loan Product

- Smart Option Product - Launched 3/23/09
- Requires interest only payment during in-school period with open option to pay P\&I
- In school payments reduce the average life from 9.5 years to 5.5 years, reducing total interest paid
- Repayment term is driven by cumulative amount borrowed and grade level
- Full communication with borrower during in-school period
- Full collection activities employed at both the student and cosigner level
- Develops habit and responsibility of payment
- Limits propensity to accumulate additional debt given in-school payment requirement


## SLM's New Private Education Loan Product

- Fixed Pay Product - Launched 6/28/10
- Another option for students and families who understand the value of making in-school payments but want more budgeting flexibility
- Requires $\$ 25.00$ payments with the $1^{\text {st }}$ payment beginning 30 days after disbursement
- Incents responsible behavior- a $0.25 \%$ interest rate reduction while enrolled in automatic debit monthly payments and earn 2\% back in rewards for on-time payments while in school
- Open option to pay P\&I or interest-only payment
- Full communication with borrower during in-school period
- Full collection activities employed at both the student and cosigner level
- Develops habit, responsibility, and record of payment
- Limits propensity to accumulate additional debt given in-school payment requirement


## Private Education Loan Market Opportunity

- Private education loans help bridge the gap between funding available through ED programs and the increasing cost of education
- Higher education annual enrollment is 19 million students with an estimated total cost of \$300 billion
- A 4\% increase in the cost of Higher Education creates a $\$ 12$ billion funding requirement for students and families

Cost of College AY 1999-2000 Based on a Four-Year Term

Cost of College AY 2009-2010
Based on a Four-Year Term $\$ 142,544$


Source: College Board, Trends in College Pricing, U.S. Department of Education 2009

## Private Credit Originations

- 2009-10 academic year market share approximately 33\% SLM vs. Industry Originations


Source: College Board, Trends in Higher Education Series (2010). 2009-2010 industry data is preliminary. Data reported by academic year, SLM quarterly data converted to academic year basis.

## CREDIT QUALITY

SLM Corporation



## LoAN LOSSES


(1) All data as of September 30, 2010. Annualized Managed FFELP charge-offs as a percentage of average Managed FFELP assets. Annualized Managed Private Education Loan charge-offs as a percentage of average Managed Private Education Loan assets. Annualized Total charge-offs as a percentage of average Managed FFELP and Private Education Loan assets.
(2) Percentages of Total Managed student loan portfolio based upon average portfolio balances

## FFELP Education Loan Portfolio

"Core Earnings" FFELP Loan Spread vs. Charge-Offs

(1) "Core Earnings" FFELP Loan spread, before provision. Charge-offs as a percentage of average Managed FFELP Loans.

## Private Education Loan Portfolio


(1) "Core Earnings" Private Education Loan spread, before provision. Charge-offs as a percentage of average Managed Private Education Loans in repayment.

## SLM Private Credit Default Emergence Profile

## Payments Made



## Charge-off Trends

Mix of Traditional vs. Non-Traditional


Charge-Offs (\$)

Charge-off Rate as a \% of Repay Balance


- Charge-offs driven by Non-Traditional loans
- Non-Traditional loans represent approximately 11\% of the Private Education Loan portfolio but nearly 35\% of charge-offs


## Managed Private Education Loan Portfolio Performance

Traditional Loans with a Cosigner
Outstanding Balance as a \% of Total
90+ Delinquency as a \% of Repayment
Forbearance as a \% of Repayment \& Forbearance
Charge-Offs as a \% of Repayment (1)
Traditional Loans without a Cosigner
Outstanding Balance as a \% of Total
$90+$ Delinquency as a \% of Repayment
Forbearance as a \% of Repayment \& Forbearance
Charge-Offs as a \% of Repayment ${ }^{(1)}$
Non-Traditional Loans with a Cosigner
Outstanding Balance as a \% of Total
$90+$ Delinquency as a \% of Repayment
Forbearance as a \% of Repayment \& Forbearance
Charge-Offs as a \% of Repayment (1)
Non-Traditional Loans without a Cosigner
Outstanding Balance as a \% of Total
90+ Delinquency as a \% of Repayment
Forbearance as a \% of Repayment \& Forbearance
Charge-Offs as a \% of Repayment (1)

| Q310 | Q210 | Q110 | Q409 | Q309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56\% | 55\% | 55\% | 54\% | 53\% |
| 3.3\% | 3.4\% | 3.7\% | 3.5\% | 3.6\% |
| 3.8\% | 4.7\% | 4.5\% | 4.9\% | 5.1\% |
| 2.4\% | 2.3\% | 2.0\% | 2.4\% | 3.4\% |
| Q310 | Q210 | Q110 | Q409 | Q309 |
| 33\% | 34\% | 34\% | 34\% | 35\% |
| 6.3\% | 6.4\% | 6.8\% | 6.0\% | 5.9\% |
| 4.5\% | 5.4\% | 5.4\% | 5.6\% | 5.7\% |
| 6.3\% | 5.7\% | 5.0\% | 5.2\% | 7.7\% |
| Q310 | Q210 | Q110 | $\underline{\text { Q409 }}$ | Q309 |
| 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |
| 13.3\% | 13.3\% | 14.7\% | 14.7\% | 14.8\% |
| 7.2\% | 8.1\% | 8.0\% | 8.1\% | 9.1\% |
| 11.5\% | 12.0\% | 10.6\% | 13.0\% | 17.8\% |
| Q310 | Q210 | Q110 | Q409 | Q309 |
| 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% |
| 17.1\% | 17.2\% | 19.4\% | 18.5\% | 19.0\% |
| 5.6\% | 6.8\% | 6.6\% | 6.7\% | 7.6\% |
| 18.9\% | 20.6\% | 17.9\% | 20.8\% | 31.8\% |

(1) Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment annualized for the quarters presented

## Managed Private Education Loan Portfolio Performance

|  | Q310 | Q210 | Q110 | Q409 | Q309 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs - Traditional Portfolio ${ }^{(1)}$ | 3.9\% | 3.7\% | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 5.1\% |
| Charge-offs - Non-Traditional Portfolio ${ }^{(1)}$ | 17.6\% | 18.7\% | 15.9\% | 18.6\% | 28.5\% |
| 90+ Day Delinq as a \% of Repay - Traditional Portfolio | 4.4\% | 4.6\% | 4.9\% | 4.6\% | 4.6\% |
| 90+ Day Delinq as a \% of Repay - Non-Traditional Portfolio | 16.0\% | 16.1\% | 18.1\% | 17.5\% | 17.8\% |
| Forb as a \% of Forb \& Repay - Traditional Portfolio | 4.1\% | 5.1\% | 4.9\% | 5.3\% | 5.4\% |
| Forb as a \% of Forb \& Repay - Non-Traditional Portfolio | 6.1\% | 7.2\% | 7.0\% | 7.1\% | 8.1\% |
| Allowance as a \% of Loans in Repay - Traditional Portfolio | 5.1\% | 5.1\% | 5.1\% | 4.9\% | 5.3\% |
| Allowance as a \% of Loans in Repay - Non-Traditional Portfolio | 31.4\% | 31.0\% | 31.7\% | 31.3\% | 32.9\% |

(1) Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment annualized for the quarters presented

## Improving Portfolio Quality

- Higher quality loans entering repayment
- Loans with higher FICO scores and cosigners continue to represent a growing \% of loans in repayment
- \% of traditional loans entering repayment continues to increase

| Year Entering <br> Repayment | \% Traditional | \% Cosigned | Avg FICO Score <br> at Origination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | $83 \%$ | $51 \%$ | 709 |
| 2007 | $84 \%$ | $54 \%$ | 712 |
| 2008 | $86 \%$ | $55 \%$ | 711 |
| 2009 | $90 \%$ | $65 \%$ | 722 |
| $2010^{*}$ | $93 \%$ | $69 \%$ | 724 |
|  |  |  |  |

* Projected


## Portfolio Quality Improving



Non-Traditional Loans Entering Repayment(\$ in millions)

Traditional Non-Cosigned Loans Entering Repayment (\$ in millions)

Amounts shown above represent the dollar amount of loans that will enter repayment

- Non-Traditional charge-off rate is $4-5 \mathrm{x}$ greater than Traditional
- $92 \%$ of Q310 loan originations had cosigners, up from $88 \%$ in Q309.
- Non-cosigned loans charge off at more than twice the rate of cosigned loans

Note: Amounts in years 2011-2015+ are projected

## Private Loan Repayment Cycle


—\$ Amount Entering Repayment —\% of Full Year's Repay Entering in the Quarter

- Major Repayment Wave occurs in the fourth quarter
- Early stage delinquencies follow in Q1 and decline in Q2 and Q3
- Mid stage delinquencies follow in Q2
- Seasonally, charge-offs peak in Q3


## Loan Seasoning

- The following tables demonstrate improved borrower performance as loans season
- $80 \%$ of loans that charge off make fewer than 12 payments
- "At risk" segment of portfolio is represented by severely delinquent segment of portfolio in 1-12 scheduled payment status
- Significant improvement demonstrated in both traditional and non-traditional portfolios


## Quarterly Loan Seasoning

## TOTAL MNAC. OMNO <br> September 30, 2010

Staptember 10 , 2010

| Lams States | Monthly Scteduted Parments Due |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 porments |  |  | $25 \cdot 36$ payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than 4s payments |  |  | To |  |
| Not Yet in Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 9,405 |
| Loass in forbearance |  | 604 | 700\% |  | 172 | 318 |  | 100 | 268 |  | 54 | 2.23 |  | 64 | 1.8x |  | 994 |
| Loas in Repoyment Current |  | 6,963 | 80,65 |  | 4,888 | $87.6 \%$ |  | 3,454 | 910\% |  | 2,337 | 93.0\% |  | 3,406 | 94.2\% |  | 20,972 |
| Loass in Repayment. Oding 31.60 days |  | 355 | 4.36 |  | 161 | 29\% |  | 88 | 23* |  | 45 | 1.8\% |  | 59 | 1.65 |  | 709 |
| Loass in fepayment Oding 61.90 days |  | 204 | 24\% |  | 3 | 13\% |  | 38 | 105 |  | 20 | 0.85 |  | 25 | 0.7 |  | 360 |
| Loans in feppayment. Oding 90 * days |  | 513 | 59\% |  | 27 | S00\% |  | 117 | 3.14 |  | 57 | 2.3\% |  | 61 | 1.7\% |  | 1,025 |
| Total Lams in Repament | s | 8,639 | 100\% | \$ | 5,491 | 100\% | s | 3,797 | 100\% | \$ | 2,514 | 100\% | \$ | 3,615 | 100\% | s | 24.359 |
| Charge-offl asa\% of hans in reporment |  | 6.4x |  |  | 4.14 |  |  | 2.9x |  |  | 14* |  |  | 2.14 |  |  | 39\% |

Non-Iradinimal Portiollo

| Loan Status | Monthly Schedubed Paments Due |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 payments |  |  | 25.36 payments |  |  | $37-48$ payments |  |  | Mcrethan AEs pyyments |  |  | 1,112 |  |
| Not Yet in Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loass in Forbearance |  | 102 | 368 | , | 31 | 4.6\% |  | 17 | 4.5* |  | 10 | 4.18 |  | 16 | $3 \times$ |  | 176 |
| Loass in mepoyment-Current |  | 697 | 58.38 | 5 | 40 | cher |  | 287 | 753\% |  | 192 | 50.6\% |  | 342 | 30.1\% |  | 1.961 |
| Loass in Repoyment- Doding 31-60 days |  | 108 | 85* | 5 | 4 | 6.6. |  | 21 | 5.5* |  | 11 | 4.6\% |  | 20 | 4.8x |  | 198 |
| Loans in Ropoyment- Oding 61.90 deys |  | 73 | 6.13 | s | 35 | 15\% |  | 12 | 32\% |  | 7 | 2.8\% |  | 12 | 2.8* |  | 129 |
| Loaes in meppryment- Deding 90 * day |  | 222 | 13.6X | 5 | 119 | 1818 |  | 40 | 10.78 |  | 19 | 7.8 |  | 17 | 8.78 |  | 438 |
| Total Loan in Repoyment Charge-offs as a $\%$ of loans in reparment | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,196 \\ & 271 \times \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 659 \\ 17.18 \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 377 \\ & \mathrm{~s} .1 \mathrm{x} \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 279 \\ & 6.3 x \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 427 \\ & 51 x \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,902 \\ & 170 x \end{aligned}$ |

## Tofl Moneed

| Lean Statas |
| :---: |
| Not Yet in Repayment |
| Loass in forbearance |
| Loses in fepayment Current |
| Loass in fepayment Oding $31-60$ day |
| Loass in fepayment Deding 61.90 day |
| Loans in Reparment. Oding $90 \times$ day |
| lank |


| 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 parments |  |  | $25 \cdot 36$ payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than 4s payments |  |  | ${ }_{\text {10,517 }}{ }^{\text {T0 }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 206 | 72\% |  | 203 | 3.3\% |  | 117 | 28\% |  | 64 | 2.35 |  | 80 | 2.0\% |  | 1,170 |
|  | 7,660 | 779\% |  | 5,243 | 85.36 |  | 3,741 | 895\% |  | 2,529 | 91.9\% |  | 3,748 | 92.78 |  | 22,926 |
|  | 457 | 4.7\% |  | 205 | 3.3x |  | 109 | 25\% |  | 57 | 2.1\% |  | 9 | 2.05 |  | 907 |
|  | 277 | 28\% |  | \% | 1.6\% |  | so | 12\% |  | 27 | 1.0\% |  | 37 | 0.9\% |  | 489 |
|  | 135 | 75\% |  | 3\% | 6.4 |  | 157 | 38\% |  | 76 | 2.7\% |  | 98 | 2.4\% |  | 1,462 |
| s | 9835 $9.0 x$ | 100\% | s | $\begin{gathered} 6,250 \\ 55 \% \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | s | $\begin{aligned} & 4,174 \\ & 2,4 x \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $2,753$ | 100x | s | $4,062$ | 100x | \$ | $26,961$ |

## Quarterly Loan Seasoning

```
Toralm
Quarterly Lomn Semoning Neport-10Q Butunces
bune 30, 2010
```

Thatitional Partole

| Loms States | 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 parments |  |  | 25.36 payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than 48 payemes |  |  | To |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Yet in frepayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8,959 |
| Loaes in ferbearance |  | 836 | 3.5\% |  | 185 | 368 |  | 93 | 265 |  | 49 | 2.18 |  | Ss | 1.7\% |  | 1,218 |
| Loaes in Repayment Current |  | 7,666 | 78.65 |  | 4,429 | 865\% |  | 3,314 | 914\% |  | 2,180 | 93.1\% |  | 3,091 | 94.6\% |  | 20,883 |
| Loans in fepayment Oding 31.60 day |  | 398 | 4.1\% |  | 156 | 31\% |  | 81 | 22\% |  | 45 | 1.9\% |  | 53 | 1.6\% |  | 733 |
| Loass in Reparment Odinq 61.90 days |  | 260 | 2.7\% |  | 92 | 18\% |  | 42 | 1.15 |  | 21 | 0.9\% |  | 24 | 0.7\% |  | 439 |
| Loans in Reparment. Oding 90 + days |  | 596 | 6.36 |  | 256 | s.06 |  | 95 | 2.5\% |  | 45 | 2.06 |  | 52 | 1.6\% |  | 1.046 |
| Total lans in Repament | s | 9,756 | 100\% | s | 5,128 | 100\% | s | 3,625 | 100\% | \$ | 2,361 | 100\% | \$ | 3,275 | 100\% | \$ | 24,120 |
| Charge-otls as a \% of loans in reporment |  | 55\% |  |  | 43\% |  |  | 20\% |  |  | 14* |  |  | 1.3 |  |  | 37 |

Non-Traditional Portisilio

|  | Monthly Schedived Papments Due |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lans Status | 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 payments |  |  | 25.36 payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than As Pryments |  |  | ${ }_{\text {1092 }}^{\text {To }}$ |  |
| Not Yet in Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loass in Forbearance |  | 143 | 102\% | 5 | 3 | 5.18 |  | 16 | 45* |  | - | 4.18 |  | 17 | 4.2\% |  | 219 |
| Loass in mepoyment-Current |  | $m$ | 55.2\% | 5 | 437 | c6.sx |  | 275 | 76.3\% |  | 179 | 81.06 |  | 327 | T9.6* |  | 1.931 |
| Loams in Repayment- Doding 31-60 days |  | 121 | 3.58 | 5 | 44 | 6.7\% |  | 20 | 5.7\% |  | 10 | 4.4* |  | 20 | 4.9\% |  | 215 |
| Loans in Ropoyment- Oding 61.90 deys |  | 101 | 73x | s | 32 | 49\% |  | 13 | 368 |  | 7 | 3.08 |  | 12 | 3.0\% |  | 165 |
| Loaes in meppryment- Deding 90 * day |  | 261 | 12.78 | 5 | 108 | 16.5x |  | 36 | 2.9x |  | 17 | 758 |  | 34 | 3.1戈 |  | 456 |
| Total Loan in Meposment Charge-offs as a $\%$ of loans in repapment | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,397 \\ & 2785 \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 s \\ 172 x \end{gathered}$ | 100x | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 360 \\ & 8.5 X \end{aligned}$ | 100x | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 221 \\ & 6.9 x \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 411 \\ & 55 \% \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | s | $\begin{aligned} & 30067 \\ & 187 x \end{aligned}$ |

## Teflumaned



| 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 parments |  |  | 25.36 payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than 4s payments |  |  | To |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10,051 1437 |
|  | 979 | 8888 |  | 219 | 388 |  | 109 | 2.7\% |  | 58 | 2.36 |  | $n$ | 2.05 |  | 1,437 23.669 |
|  | 8,437 | 75.65 |  | 4,866 | 84.3x |  | 3,589 | 90.0\% |  | 2,359 | 92.0\% |  | 3,418 | 92.5\% |  | 22,669 |
|  | 519 | 4.7\% |  | 200 | 35\% |  | 102 | 25\% |  | 54 | 2.18 |  | 73 | 2.05 |  | 948 |
|  | 361 | 3.25 |  | 124 | 22\% |  | 55 | 14** |  | 28 | 1.14 |  | 36 | 1.05 |  | 604 |
|  | 857 | 7.75 |  | 364 | 6.3\% |  | 131 | 3.3\% |  | 63 | 25\% |  | 86 | 2.36 |  | 1,501 |
| s | $\begin{array}{r} 12,153 \\ 83 \times \end{array}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\underset{5.6 \times 1}{5.27}$ | 100\% | s | $\begin{gathered} 3,986 \\ 25 x \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.563 \\ 1.9 \% \end{array}$ | 100\% | s | $\begin{gathered} 3,686 \\ 16 \pi \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 27,167 \\ 5,3 \mathrm{x} \end{array}$ |

## Quarterly Loan Seasoning

## Quarterty tan Semoning Eeport-109 buteno <br> September 30, 2009

Statember

| Lomenstas | Monthly Scheduled Papments Due |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 poyments |  |  | 25.36 payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than 48 payemes |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{To}}{12,574}$ |  |
| Not Yet in Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loass in forbearance |  | 779 | 9AX |  | 150 | 3.3x |  | 75 | 25\% |  | 36 | 2.18 |  | 41 | 1.6\% |  | 1,081 |
| Loass in Repayment Current |  | 6,361 | 76.9\% |  | 4,001 | ${ }^{88.6 \%}$ |  | 2,724 | 91.9\% |  | 1,592 | 93.0\% |  | 2,403 | 94.7\% |  | 17084 |
| Loass in fepayment Oding 31.60 days |  | 387 | 4.7\% |  | 118 | 26\% |  | 58 | 205 |  | 30 | 1.85 |  | 36 | 1.4* |  | 629 |
| Loass in Repayment Oding 61.90 days |  | 227 | 2.7\% |  | 57 | 1.3\% |  | 30 | 105 |  | 16 | 1.06 |  | 18 | $0.7 \%$ |  | 348 |
| Loans in Repayment. Oding 90 * days |  | 519 | 6.3\% |  | 150 | 4.2\% |  | 78 | 25\% |  | 37 | 2.2\% |  | $\infty$ | 1.6\% |  | 854 |
| Total Lams in Repayment | s | 8,273 | 100\% | \$ | 4,516 | 100\% | \$ | 2,965 | 100\% | \$ | 1,711 | 100\% | \$ |  | 100\% | s | 20,po7 |
| Charge-offls as a \% of hans in reparment |  | 8.5\% |  |  | 4.0\% |  |  | 2.13 |  |  | 1.8x |  |  | 1.1* |  |  | 5.13 |

Non-Iradinimal Portiollo


## Iofl Monaed

| Loan Status | Monthly Sctedited Parments Due |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-12 payments |  |  | 13.24 payments |  |  | 25-36 payments |  |  | 37-48 payments |  |  | More than 4s payemes |  |  | To |  |
| Not Yet in Repayment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14,947 |
| Loass in forbearance |  | 954 | 9.58 |  | 181 | 3.6\% |  | 90 | 2.7\% |  | 43 | 2.3x |  | 57 | 2.05 |  | 1,325 |
| Loas in inepayment Current |  | 7,226 | 7295 |  | 4,363 | $86.2 \%$ |  | 2,961 | 90.4* |  | 1,724 | 91.78 |  | 2,695 | 92.6\% |  | 18,974 |
| Loass in fepayment Oding 3160 day |  | 543 | 55\% |  | 153 | 3.05 |  | 75 | 23\% |  | ${ }^{38}$ | 2.08 |  | 54 | 1.8\% |  | 863 |
| Loass in foppayment Deding 61.90 days |  | 343 | 358 |  | 79 | 16\% |  | 41 | 1.3\% |  | $n$ | 1.2\% |  | 29 | 1.06 |  | 514 |
| Loans in Reparment. Oding $90+$ dars |  | 840 | 85\% |  | 284 | 5.6\% |  | 110 | 3.3\% |  | 52 | 2.8\% |  | 75 | 2.6\% |  | 1,361 |
| Total Loas in Repoyment Charge-offls as a $\%$ of hans in reporment | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.806 \\ & 13.7 x \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.065 } \\ & 5.8 x \end{aligned}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 3277 \\ 299 \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,879 \\ 204 \times \end{gathered}$ | 100\% | s | $\begin{array}{r} 2,910 \\ 1.9 x \end{array}$ | 100\% | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,045 \\ 8.1 x \end{array}$ |

## Private Education Loan Portfolio Performance

- Changes in SLM's forbearance policies and the economic downturn have adversely impacted the performance of our Managed Private Education Loan portfolio including accelerating the timing of charge-offs
- Delinquency trends have improved, as the impact of forbearance changes passed through the system and the portfolio continues to season.



## SERVICING: A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

SLM Corporation



## SLM Servicing

- \#1 servicer and collector of student loans in the U.S. currently servicing $\$ 202$ billion in assets including $\$ 47$ billion for third parties
- Includes $\$ 20$ billion of FFELP assets sold to ED on October 11
- SLM serves 10 million student and parent customers
- Awarded ED servicing contract in June 2009
* Servicing under contract initiated in August 2009
- Contract to span 5 years with one, five-year renewal option
- As of Oct, 3.3 million accounts, $\$ 42$ billion serviced under ED contract
- Servicing on new Direct Loans expected to began in August 2010


## SLM's Competitive Advantage

- SLM has a distinct competitive advantage in all facets of the education loan market.


Note: Figures as Sept 30, 2010

## Operations locations



Note: SLM has announced that the Lynn Haven, Florida site will be closing at the end of 2010 and the functions performed there either transferred to other sites or eliminated. SLM has announced that the Newark, DE facility will be designated corporate headquarters effective Jan $1,2011$.

## FUNDING DIVERSITY AND LIQUIDITY

SLM Corporation



## Q310 Capital Markets Summary

- Repurchased $\$ 882$ million of debt realizing a gain of $\$ 18$ million
- Issued $\$ 760$ million of FFELP ABS
- Issued $\$ 2.6$ billion of Private Credit ABS
- ABCP borrowings reduced to $\$ 6.0$ billion
- Straight "A "conduit program funding $\$ 15.2$ billion of loans
- Additional $\$ 10$ billion to be added by STU (Citibank) FFELP acquisition
- FHLB-Des Moines, currently $\$ 525$ million funded
- Facility capacity as of Sept 2010 of $\$ 10$ billion subject to eligible collateral


## Liquidity Position Detail

| (\$ in billions) | 9/30/2010 |  | 6/30/2010 |  | 3/31/2010 |  | 12/31/2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Primary Liquidity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED Purchase and Participation Program |  | N/A* | U | ited* |  | ted* |  | ited* |
| Unrestricted Cash \& Liquid Investments | \$ | 6.1 | \$ | 6.7 | \$ | 7.6 | \$ | 7.4 |
| Unused Commercial Paper and Bank Lines of Credit |  | 1.6 |  | 1.6 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |
| FFELP ABCP Facilities ** |  | 3.8 |  | 3.5 |  | 1.4 |  | 1.7 |
| Total Sources of Primary Liquidity | \$ | 11.5 | \$ | 11.8 | \$ | 12.5 | \$ | 12.5 |

- In addition to the above, SLM maintains a $\$ 10$ billion facility with the FHLB to facilitate the financing of FFELP collateral. Availability under this line in contingent on pledging eligible collateral.
- SLM has $\$ 1.6$ billion in unsecured revolving credit facilities. The Company has never drawn on these facilities. $\$ 1.9$ billion of our unsecured revolving facilities was due mature in October 2010, and was retired in May 2010, and $\$ 1.6$ billion matures in October 2011
- Unrestricted cash at September 30, 2010, June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2010, includes $\$ 2.7$ bn, $\$ 2.6$ bn and $\$ 3.0 \mathrm{bn}$, respectively, of cash and liquid investments at Sallie Mae Bank.

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

* Capacity unlimited through July 1, 2010
** Borrowing capacity subject to availability of collateral


## Recent ABS Transactions

| Transaction: FFELP | April 2010-1 <br> Stafford \& Plus | August 2010-2 <br> Stafford \& Plus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Issuance Size: | \$1.222 B | \$760 M |
| Registration Type: | Public | Public |
| Pricing: | 1-mo LIBOR +43 | 1-mo LIBOR + 52 |
| Average Life: | 3.46 year @ 6\% CPR | 4.38 year @ 6\% CPR |
| Transaction: <br> Private Credit | July 2010-B | July 2010-C |
| Issuance Size: | \$0.869 B | \$1.701 B |
| Registration Type: | 144A | 144A |
| Pricing: | \$303 M @ 1-mo LIBOR + 192 Remainder funded at TRS facility | $\begin{gathered} \$ 451 \mathrm{M} @ 1 \text { 1-mo LIBOR + } 165 \\ \$ 209 \mathrm{M} @ 1 \text {-mo LIBOR + 265 } \\ \text { Remainder funded at TRS facility } \end{gathered}$ |
| Average Life: | 0.9 year @ 4\% CPR | 1.0 year @ 4\% CPR <br> 3.5 year @ 4\% CPR |

## High Percentage of Student Loans Funded to Term



- Employ conservative long-term funding model
* Funded to Term includes $20 \%$ or $\$ 35.7$ billion and $19 \%$ or $\$ 37.0$ billion of student loans funded under the ED Purchase and Participation Program and Straight A Funding Facility as of September 30, 2010 and September 30,2009 , respectively.


## Funding Distribution

At Sept 30, 2010 total Managed borrowings were $\$ 194.4$ billion

*Other includes FHLB-DM facility borrowings, on-balance sheet indentured trusts, and other Managed borrowings as identified in the Q3 2010 SLM 10-Q.

## SLM Asset-Backed Funding

## SLM ABS Term Issuance Volume

| ABS Issuance (\$ in billions) | Q3 10 |  | Q2 10 |  | Q1 10 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Consolidation FFELP ABS | \$ | 0.8 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 18.5 |
| Consolidation FFELP ABS |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 5.9 |  | - |
| Private Credit ABS |  | 2.6 |  | - |  | 1.6 |  | 7.5 |  | - |
| Total ABS Issuance | \$ | 3.3 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 13.4 | \$ | 18.5 |

## SLM Secured Funding

| Secured Borrowings (\$ in billions) | 9/30/2010 |  | 6/30/2010 |  | 3/31/2010 |  | 12/31/2009 |  | 12/31/2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net ED Participation Program ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 20.2 | \$ | 19.9 | \$ | 15.7 | \$ | 9.0 | \$ | 7.4 |
| Straight - A Funding Facility |  | 15.4 |  | 15.9 |  | 14.7 |  | 14.3 |  | - |
| ABCP \& Other Secured Facilities ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 6.5 |  | 6.8 |  | 8.4 |  | 8.8 |  | 24.8 |
| Total Asset Funding | \$ | 42.2 | \$ | 42.6 | \$ | 38.8 | \$ | 32.1 | \$ | 32.2 |

(1) Reported at quarter closing dates, net of paydowns.
(2) Balances inclusive of FFELP and legacy Private ABCP, legacy UBS Phoenix facility and FHLB Des Moines .

## SLM Corporate Debt Activity

## SLM Corporate Debt Issuance Volume

| Issuance Type (US\$ in billions) | 2010 YTD |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  | 2006 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US\$ Global \& Medium Term Notes | \$ | 1.5 | \$ | - | \$ | 2.5 | \$ | 1.4 | \$ | 5.8 |
| Foreign Currency Denominated ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 0.2 |  | 3.9 |
| Extendible Notes |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1.5 |
| Retail Note Program |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 0.5 |
| Total Corporate Debt Issuance | \$ | 1.5 | \$ | - | \$ | 2.5 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 11.7 |

## SLM Corporate Debt Repurchases

| Denomination (US $\$$ in millions) | Q3 10 |  | Q2 10 |  | Q1 10 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  | 2007 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USD ${ }^{(2)}$ | \$ | 718 | \$ | 271 | \$ | 303 | \$ | 2,169 | \$ | 1,794 | \$ | - |
| Foreign Currency Denominated ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 163 |  | 1,092 |  | 1,008 |  | 1,278 |  | 116 |  | - |
| Total (2) | \$ | 882 | \$ | 1,363 | \$ | 1,311 | \$ | 3,447 | \$ | 1,910 | \$ | - |
| Accounting Gain Generated | \$ | 18 | \$ | 91 | \$ | 90 | \$ | 536 |  | 64 | \$ | - |

Note: Total may not foot due to rounding.
(1) US\$ equivalent at the time of issuance.
(2) Face value amounts repurchased.

## Unsecured Debt Maturities

As of September 30, 2010
(par value, $\$$ in billions)


- Repurchased $\$ 8.9$ billion unsecured debt since $1 / 1 / 2008$
- Repurchased $\$ 3.6$ billion of unsecured debt in 2010 as of $9 / 30 / 10$
- Repurchased $\$ 882$ million of debt in Q310


## Unencumbered Assets \& Unsecured Debt

-The difference between unencumbered assets and outstanding unsecured debt continues to diminish

| Unencumbered Assets \& Unsecured Debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $\$$ in billions) | 9/30/10 |  | 6/30/10 |  | 3/31/10 |  | 12/31/09 |  | 12/31/08 |  |
| FFELP Stafford and Plus Loans, net | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 1.3 | \$ | 2.1 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 3.8 |
| FFELP Consolidation Loans, net |  | 0.6 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 1.6 |
| Private Education Loans, net |  | 10.9 |  | 11.5 |  | 11.4 |  | 12.5 |  | 15.7 |
| Other Loans |  | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.7 |
| Available Cash \& Investments |  | 7.0 |  | 7.7 |  | 8.2 |  | 8.1 |  | 5.1 |
| Retained Interests* |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 1.8 |  | 2.2 |
| Other Assets |  | 4.5 |  | 4.2 |  | 5.1 |  | 5.2 |  | 5.8 |
| Total Unencumbered Tangible Assets | \$ | 24.4 | \$ | 25.5 | \$ | 27.6 | \$ | 30.1 | \$ | 34.9 |
| Unsecured Debt Outstanding | \$ | 27.5 | \$ | 30.2 | \$ | 32.5 | \$ | 33.6 | \$ | 40.2 |


| Net Assets in Secured Financing Facilities | 9/30/10 |  | 6/30/10 |  | 3/31/10 |  | 12/31/09 |  | 12/31/08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Off-Balance Sheet ABS (Non-GAAP)* | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 0.9 |
| On-Balance Sheet ABS (GAAP)** |  | 13.1 |  | 13.1 |  | 13.7 |  | 12.7 |  | 13.4 |
| Total | \$ | 13.1 | \$ | 13.1 | \$ | 13.7 | \$ | 13.3 | \$ | 14.3 |

* On 1/1/10 upon adopting ASC 810 the Retained Interests were removed from the consolidated balance sheet and the assets and liabilities of off-balance sheet ABS were consolidated onto the balance sheet.
** Amounts include loans, cash, and accrued interest receivable less debt outstanding for all secured borrowing facilities. Amounts reflect the current balance and prior period adjustments made to account for the impact of ASC 815. Further detail of the nature of the adjustment can be found in the 3Q 2010 SLM Corporation 10-Q.


## Secured Cash Flow

| \$ in Millions | YTD 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFELP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Securitized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing (Cash Paid) | \$ | 402 | \$ | 549 | \$ | 525 |
| Net Residual (Excess Distributions) |  | 595 |  | 1,435 |  | 1,338 |
| Other Secured FFELP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Flow |  | 1,228 |  | 1,296 |  | 589 |
| Total FFELP | \$ | 2,225 | \$ | 3,280 | \$ | 2,452 |
| Private Credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term Securitized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Servicing (Cash Paid) | \$ | 132 | \$ | 130 | \$ | 97 |
|  |  | 8 |  | 90 |  | 403 |
| Other Secured Financings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Flow |  | - |  | 58 |  | 81 |
| Total Private Credit | \$ | 140 | \$ | 278 | \$ | 581 |
| Total FFELP and Private Credit | \$ | 2,365 | \$ | 3,558 | \$ | 3,033 |
| Average Principal Balances ${ }^{(2)}$ | YTD | D 2010 |  | 2009 |  | 2008 |
| FFELP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term FFELP | \$ | 99,739 | \$ | 102,754 | \$ | 97,363 |
| Other Secured FFELP ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 44,030 |  | 36,628 |  | 32,543 |
| Total FFELP | \$ | 143,769 | \$ | 139,382 | \$ | 129,906 |
| Private Credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term PC | \$ | 25,827 | \$ | 19,144 | \$ | 14,505 |
| Other Secured Financings |  |  |  | 2,641 |  | 2,641 |
| Total Private Credit | \$ | 25,827 | \$ | 21,785 | \$ | 17,146 |
| Total FFELP and Private Credit |  | 169,596 | \$ | 161,167 | \$ | 147,052 |

Note: Data as of $9 / 30 / 2010$, Totals may not add due to rounding

* Net residual represents excess distribution, net of payments on floor contracts and receipts from basis swaps
(1) Interest to be capitalized not available for On-Balance Sheet Indentured Trust balance
(2) All APBs are 2 -point averages of $1 / 1$ and $12 / 31$ balances of the given year.
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## Projected Cash Flows From FFELP Portfolio

| (\$ in Millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 2nd Half 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 20017 |
| Projected FFELP Average Balarce | \$121,701 | \$13,758 | \$102,673 | \$92,342 | \$82,915 | \$74,750 | \$66,815 | \$58,271 |
| Projected Excess Spread | 5454 | 5943 | \$878 | 5807 | \$718 | 5874 | \$806 | \$554 |
| Projected Sericing Revenue | 5400 | 5740 | Se89 | 5583 | \$515 | S454 | 5397 | 5343 |
| Projected Total Revenue | \$854 | \$1,682 | \$1,537 | \$1,390 | \$1,233 | \$1,128 | \$1,003 | 5897 |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2000 | 2021 | 2002 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Projected FFELP Averges Balance | \$52,135 | \$45,421 | \$39,299 | \$34,52 | \$30,503 | \$26,674 | \$22,978 | \$19,37 |
| Projecled Excess Spread | 5499 | \$445 | \$372 | 5303 | \$271 | \$248 | \$225 | \$204 |
| Projected Serising Revenue | 5793 | 5247 | 5006 | 5171 | 5155 | \$135 | \$116 | 598 |
| Projected Tolal Revenue | \$792 | S608 | \$578 | \$479 | \$426 | \$303 | \$341 | \$301 |
|  | 2006 | 2077 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | $\underline{2032}$ | 2003 |
| Projected FFELP Average Balance | \$15,857 | \$12,482 | \$8,593 | \$7,291 | \$5,388 | \$3,752 | \$2,307 | \$1,154 |
| Projected Excess Spread | \$179 | \$154 | \$132 | \$110 | \$88 | 570 | \$44 | \$25 |
| Projected Serising Revenue | \$80 | 563 | \$49 | $\underline{537}$ | 527 | \$19 | \$12 | S6 |
| Projected Tolal Revenue | \$259 | \$217 | \$180 | \$147 | \$116 | 5 | \$56 | \$31 |

- Total Cash Flows from Projected Excess Spread $=\$ 9.0$ Billion
- Total Cash Flows from Projected Servicing Revenues $=\$ 5.8$ Billion


## Sallie Mae Bank

- Bank charter
- Utah based ILC regulated by FDIC and Utah Department of Financial Institutions (UDFI)
- Charter granted October 2005
- Current bank activity
- Originates Sallie Mae's private education loans
- Funded through affiliate and brokered deposits and a direct retail deposit program launched in Feb 2010
- 27.7\% Total Risk-based Capital at September 30, 2010
- Dividend of $\$ 400$ million paid in October 2010
- Deposit taking activities
- Strong cash position used to fund Private Credit originations
- Deposits totaled $\$ 6.6$ billion at September 30, 2010
- $\$ 5.2$ billion Brokered Deposits
- $\$ 1.4$ billion Direct Retail and Affiliate Deposits
- Brokered Deposit portfolio has a weighted average maturity of 22.1 months
- Total deposits increased by $11.5 \%$ in Q310 supported by continued growth in the Retail Deposit program


## Sallie Mae Bank - Capital \& Deposits

| Bank Deposits (\$ millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Sep 10 | Jun 10 | Mar 10 | Dec 09 | Sep 09 | Jun 09 |
| Brokered CDs | $\$ 4,961$ | $\$ 5,023$ | $\$ 5,417$ | $\$ 5,632$ | $\$ 5,891$ | $\$ 6,100$ |
| Brokered MMDAs | 231 | 190 | 205 | 204 | 221 | 264 |
| Retail Deposits | 841 | 242 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Other Deposits* | 542 | 441 | 478 | 494 | 543 | 420 |
| Total Deposits | $\$ 6,575$ | $\$ 5,896$ | $\$ 6,104$ | $\$ 6,331$ | $\$ 6,655$ | $\$ 6,784$ |

*Primarily affiliate deposits accounts with no stated maturities

| Regulatory Capital Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio | Sep 10 | Jun 10 | Mar 10 | Dec 09 | Sep 09 | Jun 09 |  |
| Tier 1 Leverage | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 \%}$ |  |
| Tier 1 Risk Based | $\mathbf{2 6 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 2 \%}$ |  |
| Total Risk Based | $\mathbf{2 7 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9 \%}$ |  |

## RISK-ADJUSTED CAPITALIZATION

SLM Corporation



## CAPItALIZATION

SLM Corp

|  | Q3 10 | Q2 10 | Q1 10 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tangible Equity/Managed Assets $^{(1)}$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Tangible Equity/Charge-Offs $^{(2)}$ | $3 x$ | $3 x$ | $3 x$ | $3 x$ | $7 x$ |

(1) GAAP tangible stockholders' equity as a percentage of total Managed assets.
(2) Average GAAP tangible equity including preferred stock to charge-offs. SLM charge-offs based on total Managed loans, annualized.

## Capital Allocation

SLM allocates capital internally based on the risk of the assets it supports

| Assets | Government Guaranteed Loan Assets | Private Education Loans | Cash, <br> Investments, <br> Other Assets <br>  <br> Intangibles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 70\% of Total Managed Assets |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total Managed } \\ \text { Assets } \end{gathered}$ | $12 \%$ of <br> Total Managed Assets |
| Capital Allocation | 0.50\% | 8.00\% | 0\% - 25\% |

As of Sept 30, 2010

# FFELP APPENDIX 

SLM Corporation



## SLM FFELP ABS Issue Characteristics

Typical SLM FFELP ABS Transaction Features

- Historical issue size of $\$ 1.0 \mathrm{~B}$ to \$5.0(+)B
- Tranches denominated in US\$ or Euros
- 'Aaa/AAA/AAA' rated senior tranches make up 97\% of issue structure
- Floating rate tied to $1 \mathrm{mo} . / 3 \mathrm{mo}$. LIBOR, with occasional fixed rate issuance
- Amortizing tranches, with 1 to 15(+) year average lives
- Masterservicer is Sallie Mae, Inc.

Unique Characteristics of FFELP Loan ABS

- Explicit U.S. government guarantee of underlying collateral insulates bondholders from virtually any loss of principal (1)
- Formerly a $20 \%$ risk-weighted asset, now a $<10 \%$ risk-weighted under Basel II's IRB methodology
- Offer significantly higher spreads than government agency securities with comparable risk profiles
- Short (1-3 yrs), intermediate (3-7 yrs), long ( $7-10 \mathrm{yrs}$ ) and very long ( $10-15+$ years) term tranches available at new issue and in secondary
(1) Principal and accrued interest on underlying FFELP loan collateral carry a guarantee of either $\mathbf{9 8} \%$ or $\mathbf{9 7 \%}$. Guarantee is dependent on meeting the servicing requirements of the U.S. Department of Education.


## SLM Stafford/PLUS ABS Trusts

Prepayment Analysis

- Annualized CPRs for SLM Stafford/PLUS ABS Trusts have decreased significantly as incentives for borrowers to consolidate have declined

Historical SLM Stafford/PLUS ABS CPRs


* Average CPR is the simple (non-weighted) average of four Quarterly CPR calculations for years ended June 30, 2010.

Quarterly CPR assumes School and Grace loans are not scheduled to make payments. Deferment, Forbearance and Repayment loans are scheduled to make payments.

## SLM Consolidation ABS Trusts

Prepayment Analysis

- CPRs for SLM Consolidation ABS Trusts have declined significantly following legislation that prevented in-school and re-consolidation of borrowers' loans

* Average CPR is the simple (non-weighted) average of four Quarterly CPR calculations for years ended June 30, 2010. Quarterly CPR assumes School and Grace loans are not scheduled to make payments. Deferment, Forbearance and Repayment loans are scheduled to make payments.


## PRIVATE CREDIT APPENDIX

SLM Corporation



## Private Credit Loan Collections

- Sallie Mae services and collects the loans in its managed private loan portfolio
- Private credit collections are conducted by a stand-alone consumer credit collections unit
- Managed by individuals with prior experience in collections operations for consumer loan assets
- Over the past two years, private credit collections resources have been significantly increased and collections technology and practices enhanced
- Multi-variable analysis has enabled prioritization of collection efforts on higher risk borrowers
- Forbearance policies have been enhanced to reduce reliance on the tool, while still meeting the customer need during an economic downturn
- Additional workout and settlement programs have been introduced to help customers avoid default
- Collection workstation, dialer capabilities, and internet utilities have all been enhanced with more effective technology solutions


## Work Out Alternatives

- Forbearance
- A collections tool used to provide borrowers time to improve their ability to repay
- Between graduation and start of first job
- Temporary Economic hardship such as job loss
- Granted to current accounts for three month intervals, up to a maximum of 24 months
- Placing a loan in forbearance can suspend payments or alleviate delinquency, with interest capitalized to the loan balance
- Payment demonstration on accounts that utilize forbearance continues to increase
- Term Extension
- Extends the loan term to provide a reduced required payment.
- Rate Reduction
- Temporary rate concession based upon documented need.
- Provides a lower payment while still amortizing the loan at the original schedule.
- Interest Only
- Temporarily allows the customer to make interest only payments.
- Provides a lower payment until the customer can improve there cash flow situation.


## Private Credit Trust

## Delinquency and Forbearance Seasoning Trends

- Delinquency and forbearance are highest when loans enter repayment, and diminish as loans season
- As the trust loans season, delinquency and forbearance are expected to decline


## 90 + Day Delinquencies, \% of Loans in Repayment <br> SLM Private Credit Trusts



Forbearance, \% of Loans in Repayment and Forbearance SLM Private Credit Trusts


Note: Based on historical data through September 30, 2010. Does not include data for 2010 trusts which have not had time to become 90 days delinquent

## Private Credit Trust

## Default Emergence By Years in Repayment

- Defaults in SLM Private Credit ABS Trusts increase as loans first enter repayment, then diminish steadily over time

Expected Loss Emergence Timing


Based on assumptions in effect as of September 30, 2010

## Private Credit Trust

## Default Emergence By Payments Made

- The probability of default substantially diminishes as the number of payments made increases

Expected Loss Emergence Timing


Based on assumptions in effect as of September 30, 2010
Excludes months in forbearance. Includes months of delinquency prior to chargeoff

## SLM Private Education Loan Gross Defaults

## Actual-To-Date



## SLM Private Credit Gross Defaults

## Constraining Rating Agency Stress Levels at Issuance

Constraining Rating Agency Stress Levels at Issuance for 'AAA'


As of September 30, 2010

## Private Credit ABS Trusts Forbearance

- Forbearance usage is typically highest when loans enter repayment, and declines as loans season
- Use of forbearance as a collection tool peaked in early 2008, and has since declined, as a result of changes in the forbearance strategy
- The decline in forbearance resulted in increased delinquency and default in mid 2009 but no long term increase in lifetime defaults

Loans in Forbearance as a Percent of Loans in Repayment and Forbearance
All Loans in SLM Trusts by Year of issuance


## Private Credit ABS Trusts -

## 31-60 Day Delinquencies

## 31-60 Day Delinquencies as a Percent of Loans in Repayment

 All Loans in SLM Trusts by Year of lssuance

## Private Credit ABS Trusts -

## 61-90 Day Delinquencies

61-90 Day Delinquencies as a Percent of Loans in Repayment All Loans in SLM Trusts by Year of lssuance


## Private Credit ABS Trusts -

## 90+ Day Delinquencies

- As expected, later stage delinquency has increased due to tightening of Forbearance and the current economic environment

90+ Day Delinquencies as a Percent of Loans in Repayment All Loans in SLM Trusts by Year of Issuance


## Private Credit ABS Trusts -

## Annualized Gross Charge-offs

- Charge-off levels first increased in 2007 and have remained elevated through the economic downturn
- As is typical, more recent Trusts with a greater percentage of borrowers first entering repayment continue to exhibit higher charge-offs

Annualized Gross Charge-Offs as a Percent of Loans in Repayment All Loans in SLM Trusts by Year of Issuance


 1, 2ock, the sericer cossed purchasisg from the trust loms that are move than 120 days dithouent. forcthe ppuppose

## Private Credit ABS Trusts -

## Historical Cumulative Gross Charge-Offs ${ }^{(1)}$

## Cumulative Gross Charge-Offs as a Percent of Original Pool Balance

 All Loans in SLM Trusts by Year of Issuance

 Pivate Credt shudent Loan Trusts issued prior to 2005-8 as if the servicer had hever evercised its repurchase option.

## SLM Private Credit ABS Trusts -

## Prepayment Analysis

- Constant prepayment rates increased in 2007 due to the introduction of Private Credit Consolidation loans, but then declined accordingly following SLM's decision to suspend its consolidation loan program

Historical SLM Private Credit ABS CPRs


## SLM APPENDIX



## GAAP to Core Earnings Reconciliation

(\$ in thousands, except per share amounts)

GAAP net income (loss) attributable to SLM Corporation
Adjustment from CAAP to "Core Earnings"
Net impact of securitication accounting
Met impact of derivative accounting
Net impact of Hoor Income
Net impact of goodvill and acquired intangbles
Total "Core Eamings" Adjustments before net tax effect

## Nettax effect

Total "Core Eamings" Adjustments
"Core Earnings" net income attributable to SLM Corporation

| Quarters Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| September 30,2010 |  | September 30,2009 |  |
| Dollars | Diitted PS $^{\text {S }}$ | Dollars | Diuted IPS |
| \$ (494,947) | \$ (1.06) | \$ 159,110 | \$ 0.25 |
| $\cdot$ |  | (27,885) |  |
| 182,708 |  | 36,598 |  |
| 67,453 |  | 8,020 |  |
| 669,668 |  | 9,774 |  |
| 919,829 |  | 26,507 |  |
| (235,446) |  | (21,675) |  |
| 684,383 |  | 4,832 |  |
| \$189,436 | \$0.35 | \$163,942 | \$0.26 |

## Additional Information Available at WWW.SALLIEMAE.COM



## Debt Investor Relations Contact Information

Kenneth Fischbach<br>Senior Vice President<br>Corporate Finance<br>Sallie Mae, Inc.<br>12061 Bluemont Way<br>Reston, VA 20190<br>703-984-6310<br>kenneth.fischbach@salliemae.com

