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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

SLM CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)
(Unaudited)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| FFELP Loans (net of allowance for losses of \$190,235 and \$188,858, respectively) | \$145,558,134 | \$148,649,400 |
| Private Education Loans (net of allowance for losses of \$2,034,318 and \$2,021,580, respectively) | 35,966,019 | 35,655,724 |
| Investments |  |  |
| Available-for-sale | 78,296 | 83,048 |
| Other | 813,322 | 873,376 |
| Total investments | 891,618 | 956,424 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3,871,476 | 4,342,327 |
| Restricted cash and investments | 6,393,243 | 6,254,493 |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets, net | 472,345 | 478,409 |
| Other assets | 10,201,973 | 8,970,272 |
| Total assets | $\underline{\text { \$203,354,808 }}$ | $\underline{\text { \$205,307,049 }}$ |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 32,316,856 | \$ 33,615,856 |
| Long-term borrowings | 161,886,309 | 163,543,504 |
| Other liabilities | 3,944,556 | 3,136,111 |
| Total liabilities | 198,147,721 | 200,295,471 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Equity |  |  |
| Preferred stock, par value $\$ .20$ per share, 20,000 shares authorized: |  |  |
| Series A: 3,300 and 3,300 shares, respectively, issued at stated value of \$50 per share | 165,000 | 165,000 |
| Series B: 4,000 and 4,000 shares, respectively, issued at stated value of \$100 per share | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ .20$ per share, $1,125,000$ shares authorized: 527,494 and 595,263 shares issued, respectively | 105,499 | 119,053 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 4,092,334 | 5,939,838 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax benefit of \$20,417 and \$25,758, respectively) | $(35,401)$ | $(44,664)$ |
| Retained earnings | 479,655 | 308,839 |
| Total equity before treasury stock | 5,207,087 | 6,888,066 |
| Common stock held in treasury at cost: 0 and 68,320 shares, respectively | - | 1,876,488 |
| Total equity | 5,207,087 | 5,011,578 |
| Total liabilities and equity | $\underline{\text { \$203,354,808 }}$ | \$205,307,049 |

Supplemental information - assets and liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFELP Loans, net | \$142,271,427 | \$145,750,016 |
| Private Education Loans, net | 23,898,923 | 24,355,683 |
| Restricted cash and investments | 6,083,081 | 5,983,080 |
| Other assets | 4,173,741 | 3,705,716 |
| Short-term borrowings | 23,897,738 | 24,484,353 |
| Long-term borrowings | 139,928,763 | 142,243,771 |
| Net assets of consolidated variable interest entities | \$ 12,600,671 | \$ 13,066,371 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## SLM CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Loans |  | 877,378 |  | \$ 806,762 |
| Private Education Loans |  | 603,933 |  | 565,154 |
| Other loans |  | 5,911 |  | 8,996 |
| Cash and investments |  | 5,339 |  | 4,949 |
| Total interest income |  | 1,492,561 |  | 1,385,861 |
| Total interest expense |  | 594,595 |  | 531,384 |
| Net interest income |  | 897,966 |  | 854,477 |
| Less: provisions for loan losses |  | 303,405 |  | 359,120 |
| Net interest income after provisions for loan losses |  | 594,561 |  | 495,357 |
| Other income (loss): |  |  |  |  |
| Gains on sales of loans and securities, net |  | - |  | 8,653 |
| Losses on derivative and hedging activities, net |  | $(241,882)$ |  | $(82,410)$ |
| Servicing revenue |  | 98,252 |  | 122,272 |
| Contingency revenue |  | 78,381 |  | 80,312 |
| Gains on debt repurchases |  | 37,903 |  | 90,081 |
| Other |  | 21,745 |  | 13,800 |
| Total other income (loss) |  | $(5,601)$ |  | 232,708 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and benefits |  | 135,441 |  | 149,102 |
| Other operating expenses |  | 167,759 |  | 138,533 |
| Total operating expenses |  | 303,200 |  | 287,635 |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization expense |  | 6,064 |  | 9,712 |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 3,561 |  | 24,804 |
| Total expenses |  | 312,825 |  | 322,151 |
| Income from continuing operations, before income tax expense |  | 276,135 |  | 405,914 |
| Income tax expense |  | 99,711 |  | 159,160 |
| Net income from continuing operations |  | 176,424 |  | 246,754 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax benefit |  | $(1,730)$ |  | $(6,614)$ |
| Net income |  | 174,694 |  | 240,140 |
| Preferred stock dividends |  | 3,878 |  | 18,678 |
| Net income attributable to common stock |  | $\underline{\text { 170,816 }}$ |  | \$ 221,462 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Continuing operations | \$ | . 32 | \$ | . 47 |
| Discontinued operations |  | - |  | (.01) |
| Total | \$ | . 32 | \$ | . 46 |
| Average common shares outstanding |  | 526,746 |  | 484,259 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per common share: |  |  |  |  |
| Continuing operations | \$ | . 32 | \$ | . 46 |
| Discontinued operations |  | - |  | (.01) |
| Total | \$ | . 32 | \$ | . 45 |
| Average common and common equivalent shares outstanding |  | 531,964 |  | 526,631 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ | - | \$ | S |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## SLM CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

## (Unaudited)



## SLM CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| Operating activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 174,694 | \$ | 240,140 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax |  | 1,730 |  | 6,614 |
| Gains on sale of loans and securities, net |  | - |  | $(8,653)$ |
| Gains on debt repurchases |  | $(37,903)$ |  | $(90,081)$ |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization expense |  | 6,064 |  | 9,712 |
| Stock-based compensation expense |  | 24,992 |  | 12,278 |
| Unrealized (gains)/losses on derivative and hedging activities |  | 56,796 |  | $(122,044)$ |
| Provisions for loan losses |  | 303,405 |  | 359,120 |
| Student loans originated for sale, net |  | - |  | $(6,722,387)$ |
| Decrease in restricted cash - other |  | 53,904 |  | 25,755 |
| (Increase) in accrued interest receivable |  | $(103,934)$ |  | $(158,066)$ |
| Increase in accrued interest payable |  | 145,645 |  | 79,833 |
| Decrease in other assets |  | 212,287 |  | 821,729 |
| (Decrease) in other liabilities |  | $(78,761)$ |  | $(3,366)$ |
| Total adjustments |  | 584,225 |  | $(5,789,556)$ |
| Total net cash provided by (used in) operating activities |  | 758,919 |  | (5,549,416) |
| Investing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Student loans acquired and originated |  | $(1,278,529)$ |  | $(1,689,074)$ |
| Reduction of student loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Installment payments, claims and other |  | 4,551,933 |  | 3,484,121 |
| Proceeds from sales of student loans |  | 188,520 |  | 75,493 |
| Other loans - repaid |  | 14,699 |  | 82,688 |
| Other investing activities, net |  | $(955,202)$ |  | (911,947) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities |  | $(70,534)$ |  | $(18,688,583)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities |  | 53,444 |  | 19,182,117 |
| Purchases of other securities |  | $(50,063)$ |  | $(10,458)$ |
| Proceeds from maturities of other securities |  | 67,394 |  | 39,007 |
| (Increase) in restricted cash |  | (91,823) |  | (52,489) |
| Cash provided by investing activities - continuing operations |  | 2,429,839 |  | 1,510,875 |
| Cash provided by investing activities - discontinued operations |  | 28,424 |  | 42,752 |
| Total net cash provided by investing activities |  | 2,458,263 |  | 1,553,627 |
| Financing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings collateralized by loans in trust -issued |  | 818,447 |  | 1,544,073 |
| Borrowings collateralized by loans in trust - repaid |  | $(2,712,317)$ |  | (2,099,724) |
| Asset-backed commercial paper conduits, net |  | $(1,237,935)$ |  | $(441,723)$ |
| ED Participation Program, net |  | - |  | 6,740,199 |
| ED Conduit Program facility, net |  | $(911,868)$ |  | 368,537 |
| Other long-term borrowings issued |  | 1,966,802 |  | 1,463,534 |
| Other long-term borrowings repaid |  | (1,814,721) |  | $(2,541,703)$ |
| Other financing activities, net |  | 206,605 |  | $(247,746)$ |
| Excess tax benefit from the exercise of stock-based awards |  | 832 |  | 100 |
| Common stock issued |  | - |  | 11 |
| Preferred dividends paid |  | $(3,878)$ |  | $(18,517)$ |
| Noncontrolling interest, net |  | - |  | (363) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities |  | $(3,688,033)$ |  | 4,766,678 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | (470,851) |  | 770,889 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 4,342,327 |  | 6,070,013 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period |  | 3,871,476 | \$ | $\xrightarrow{6,840,902}$ |
| Cash disbursements made (refunds received) for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | 612,519 | \$ | 549,075 |
| Income taxes paid |  | 165,243 | \$ | 5,097 |
| Income taxes (received) | S | $(18,008)$ | \$ | $\underline{(498,229)}$ |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

## SLM CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited) <br> (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

## 1. Significant Accounting Policies

## Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited, consolidated financial statements of SLM Corporation ("we," "us," "our," or the "Company") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods have been included. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the year ending December 31,2011. These unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the "2010 Form 10-K").

## Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the balances as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to be consistent with classifications adopted for 2011, and had no effect on net income, total assets, or total liabilities.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

## Troubled Debt Restructurings

In April 2011 , the Financial Accounting Standards Board released Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-02, Receivables, which provides clarification for creditors in determining whether or not a restructuring of a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring. This new guidance is effective for us as of July 1,2011 ; but will be applied retrospectively to January 1,2011 upon adoption. We may identify student loans that are considered a troubled debt restructuring that were previously not and this may require us to increase the amount of recorded impairment. We are currently evaluating the new guidance and have not yet determined what effect, if any, it will have on our consolidated financial statements.

## 2. Allowance for Loan Losses

Our provisions for loan losses represent the periodic expense of maintaining an allowance sufficient to absorb incurred losses, net of expected recoveries, in the held-for-investment loan portfolios. The evaluation of the provisions for student loan losses is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant changes. We believe that the allowance for student loan losses is appropriate to cover probable losses incurred in the loan portfolios. We segregate our Private Education Loan portfolio into two classes of loans - traditional and non-traditional. Non-traditional loans are loans to borrowers attending for-profit schools with an original FICO score of less than 670 and borrowers attending not-for-profit schools with an original FICO score of less than 640 . The FICO score used in determining whether a loan is non-traditional is the greater of the borrower or co-borrower FICO score at origination. Traditional loans are defined as all other Private Education Loans that are not classified as non-traditional.

## SLM CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) <br> (Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)
2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

In determining the allowance for loan losses, we estimate the principal amount of loans that will default over the next two years (two years being the expected period between a loss event and default). In the first quarter of 2011, we implemented a new model to estimate these Private Education Loan defaults. Both the prior model and new model are considered "migration models". Our prior allowance model (in place through December 31,2010) segmented the portfolio into categories of similar risk characteristics based on loan program type, school type, loan status, seasoning, underwriting criteria (credit scores) and the existence or absence of a cosigner using school type, credit scores, cosigner status, loan status and seasoning as the primary risk characteristics. Our new model uses these same primary risk characteristics but also further segments the portfolio by the number of months the loan is in its repayment period (seasoning). While our previous allowance process incorporated the impact of seasoning, the new model more directly incorporates this aspect. Another change in the new allowance model relates to the historical period of experience that we use as a starting point for projecting future defaults. Our new model is based upon a seasonal average, adjusted to the previous three to six months of actual collection experience as the starting point and applies expected macroeconomic changes and collection procedure changes to estimate expected losses caused by loss events incurred as of the balance sheet date. Our previous model primarily used a one year historical default experience period and did not include the ability to directly model an economic expectation or collection procedure change. As a result, the previous allowance process included qualitative adjustments for these factors. As such, the new model is less dependent on a long look-back period because we do not believe that our delinquency and default experience over the past few years is indicative of the probable losses incurred in the loan portfolio today. While the model we use as a part of the allowance for loan losses process changed in the first quarter, the overall process for calculating the appropriate amount of allowance for Private Education Loan loss as disclosed in the 2010 Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ has not changed. The new model is more reactive to recent borrower behavior, loan performance, and collection performance, as well as expectations about economic factors. There was no adjustment to our allowance for loan loss upon implementing this new default projection model in the first quarter of 2011. In addition, there was no change in how we estimate the amount we will recover over time related to these defaulted amounts.

## SLM CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended

## March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)
2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

|  | Allowance for Loan Losses <br> Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FFELP Loans |  | Private Education Loans |  | Other Loans |  | Total |  |
| Allowance for Loan Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 188,858 | \$ | 2,021,580 |  | 72,516 | \$ | 2,282,954 |
| Total provision |  | 23,122 |  | 275,048 |  | 5,235 |  | 303,405 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(20,313)$ |  | $(273,002)$ |  | $(3,954)$ |  | $(297,269)$ |
| Loan sales |  | $(1,432)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,432)$ |
| Reclassification of interest reserve(1) |  | - |  | 10,692 |  | - |  | 10,692 |
| Ending Balance | \$ | $\underline{\text { 190,235 }}$ | \$ | 2,034,318 |  | $\underline{ }$ | \$ | 2,298,350 |
| Allowance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | - | \$ | 122,862 |  | 61,309 | \$ | 184,171 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 190,235 | \$ | 1,911,456 | \$ | 12,488 | \$ | 2,114,179 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | - | \$ | 474,550 |  | 115,693 | \$ | 590,243 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 143,916,560 | \$ | 38,402,114 |  | 207,657 |  | 182,526,331 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment and forbearance (annualized) |  | .07\% |  | 3.8\% |  | -\% |  |  |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) |  | .09\% |  | 3.9\% |  | -\% |  |  |
| Allowance as a percentage of the ending total loan balance |  | .13\% |  | 5.2\% |  | 22.8\% |  |  |
| Allowance as a percentage of the ending loans in repayment |  | .20\% |  | 7.2\% |  | -\% |  |  |
| Allowance coverage of charge-offs (annualized) |  | 2.3 |  | 1.8 |  | 4.6 |  |  |
| Ending total loans(2) | \$ | 143,916,560 | \$ | 38,876,664 |  | 323,350 |  |  |
| Average loans in repayment | \$ | 95,504,452 | \$ | 28,127,066 | \$ | - |  |  |
| Ending loans in repayment | \$ | 94,309,517 | \$ | 28,120,260 | \$ | - |  |  |

(1) Represents the additional allowance related to the amount of uncollectible interest reserved within interest income that is transferred in the period to the allowance for loan losses when interest is capitalized to a loan's principal balance
(2) Ending total loans for Private Education Loans includes the receivable for partially charged-off loans

## SLM CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended

## March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)
2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

|  | Allowance for Loan Losses <br> Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FFELP Loans |  |  | Private EducationLoans |  | Other <br> Loans |  | Total |  |
| Allowance for Loan Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance |  | \$ | 161,168 | \$ | 1,443,440 |  | 76,261 | \$ | 1,680,869 |
| Total provision |  |  | 22,996 |  | 325,022 |  | 11,102 |  | 359,120 |
| Charge-offs |  |  | $(21,404)$ |  | $(284,478)$ |  | $(8,699)$ |  | $(314,581)$ |
| Loan sales |  |  | $(1,694)$ |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,694)$ |
| Reclassification of interest reserve(1) |  |  | - |  | 10,642 |  | - |  | 10,642 |
| Consolidation of securitization trusts(2) |  |  | 25,149 |  | 524,050 |  | - |  | 549,199 |
| Ending Balance |  | \$ | 186,215 | \$ | 2,018,676 |  | 78,664 | \$ | 2,283,555 |
| Allowance: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment |  | \$ | - | \$ | 63,503 |  | 60,844 | \$ | 124,347 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment |  | \$ | 186,215 | \$ | 1,955,173 |  | 17,820 | \$ | 2,159,208 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment |  | \$ | - | \$ | 314,910 |  | 125,286 | \$ | 440,196 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment |  |  | 43,914,476 | \$ | 37,978,010 |  | 286,449 |  | 82,178,935 |
| Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment and forbearance (annualized) |  |  | .09\% |  | 4.4\% |  | -\% |  |  |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) |  |  | .11\% |  | 4.7\% |  | -\% |  |  |
| Allowance as a percentage of the ending total loan balance |  |  | .13\% |  | 5.3\% |  | 19.1\% |  |  |
| Allowance as a percentage of the ending loans in repayment |  |  | .23\% |  | 8.2\% |  | -\% |  |  |
| Allowance coverage of charge-offs (annualized) |  |  | 2.1 |  | 1.7 |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| Ending total loans(3) |  |  | 143,914,476 | \$ | 38,292,920 |  | 411,735 |  |  |
| Average loans in repayment |  |  | 82,437,527 | \$ | 24,645,633 | \$ | - |  |  |
| Ending loans in repayment |  | \$ | 82,457,392 | \$ | 24,705,990 | \$ | - |  |  |

(1) Represents the additional allowance related to the amount of uncollectible interest reserved within interest income that is transferred in the period to the allowance for loan losses when interest is capitalized to a loan's principal balance
(2) Upon the adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, we consolidated all of our previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts.
(3) Ending total loans for Private Education Loans includes the receivable for partially charged-off loans.

## SLM CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended
March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)
2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

The following tables provide information regarding the loan status and aging of past due loans as of March 31,2011 and December 31, 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | FFELP Loan Delinquencies |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Balance | \% | Balance | \% |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment(1) | \$ 27,773 |  | \$ 28,214 |  |
| Loans in forbearance(2) | 21,834 |  | 22,028 |  |
| Loans in repayment and percentage of each status: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans current | 78,756 | 83.5\% | 80,026 | 82.8\% |
| Loans delinquent 31-60 days(3) | 5,050 | 5.4 | 5,500 | 5.7 |
| Loans delinquent 61-90 days(3) | 3,069 | 3.2 | 3,178 | 3.3 |
| Loans delinquent greater than 90 days(3) | 7,434 | 7.9 | 7,992 | 8.2 |
| Total FFELP Loans in repayment | 94,309 | 100\% | 96,696 | 100\% |
| Total FFELP Loans, gross | 143,916 |  | 146,938 |  |
| FFELP Loan unamortized premium | 1,832 |  | 1,900 |  |
| Total FFELP Loans | 145,748 |  | 148,838 |  |
| FFELP Loan allowance for losses | (190) |  | (189) |  |
| FFELP Loans, net | $\underline{\underline{\$ 145,558}}$ |  | $\underline{\text { \$148,649 }}$ |  |
| Percentage of FFELP Loans in repayment |  | $\underline{\text { 65.5 }}$ \% |  | 65.8\% |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of FFELP Loans in repayment |  | 16.5\% |  | 17.2\% |
| FFELP Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance |  | 18.8\% |  | 18.6\% |
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2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

| (Dollars in millions) | Private Education Traditional Loan Delinquencies |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | Balance | \% | Balance | \% |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment(1) | \$ 7,414 |  | \$ 7,419 |  |
| Loans in forbearance(2) | 1,155 |  | 1,156 |  |
| Loans in repayment and percentage of each status: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans current | 23,193 | 91.3\% | 22,850 | 91.2\% |
| Loans delinquent 31-60 days(3) | 745 | 2.9 | 794 | 3.2 |
| Loans delinquent 61-90 days(3) | 424 | 1.7 | 340 | 1.4 |
| Loans delinquent greater than 90 days(3) | 1,039 | 4.1 | 1,060 | 4.2 |
| Total traditional loans in repayment | 25,401 | 100\% | 25,044 | 100\% |
| Total traditional loans, gross | 33,970 |  | 33,619 |  |
| Traditional loans unamortized discount | (788) |  | (801) |  |
| Total traditional loans | 33,182 |  | 32,818 |  |
| Traditional loans receivable for partially charged-off loans | 593 |  | 558 |  |
| Traditional loans allowance for losses | $(1,298)$ |  | $(1,231)$ |  |
| Traditional loans, net | \$ 32,477 |  | \$ 32,145 |  |
| Percentage of traditional loans in repayment |  | 74.8\% |  | 74.5\% |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of traditional loans in repayment |  | 8.7\% |  | 8.8\% |
| Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance |  | 4.4\% |  | 4.4\% |
| Loans in repayment greater than 12 months as a percentage of loans in repayment(4) |  | 69.8\% |  | 67.9\% |
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2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

| (Dollars in millions) | Private Education Non-Traditional Loan Delinquencies |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | Balance |  | \% | Balance |  | \% |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment(1) | \$ | 909 |  | \$ | 921 |  |
| Loans in forbearance(2) |  | 188 |  |  | 184 |  |
| Loans in repayment and percentage of each status: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans current |  | 2,002 | 73.6\% |  | 2,038 | 72.6\% |
| Loans delinquent 31-60 days(3) |  | 185 | 6.8 |  | 217 | 7.7 |
| Loans delinquent 61-90 days(3) |  | 140 | 5.2 |  | 131 | 4.7 |
| Loans delinquent greater than 90 days(3) |  | 392 | 14.4 |  | 422 | 15.0 |
| Total non-traditional loans in repayment |  | 2,719 | 100\% |  | 2,808 | 100\% |
| Total non-traditional loans, gross |  | 3,816 |  |  | 3,913 |  |
| Non-traditional loans unamortized discount |  | (88) |  |  | (93) |  |
| Total non-traditional loans |  | 3,728 |  |  | 3,820 |  |
| Non-traditional loans receivable for partially charged-off loans |  | 497 |  |  | 482 |  |
| Non-traditional loans allowance for losses |  | (736) |  |  | (791) |  |
| Non-traditional loans, net | \$ | 3,489 |  | \$ | 3,511 |  |
| Percentage of non-traditional loans in repayment |  |  | 71.3\% |  |  | 71.8\% |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of non-traditional loans in repayment |  |  | 26.4\% |  |  | 27.4\% |
| Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance |  |  | 6.5\% |  |  | 6.1\% |
| Loans in repayment greater than 12 months as a percentage of loans in repayment(4) |  |  | 64.8\% |  |  | 61.3\% |
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NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)
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2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)

The following table provides information regarding accrued interest receivable on our Private Education Loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The table also discloses the amount of accrued interest on loans greater than 90 days past due as compared to our allowance for uncollectible interest. The allowance for uncollectible interest exceeds the amount of accrued interest on our 90 days past due portfolio for all periods presented.

|  | Accrued Interest Receivable |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Greater than } \\ 90 \text { days } \\ \text { Past Due } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Allowance for Uncollectible Interest |  |
| March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Education Loans - Traditional | \$ 1,033,242 | \$ | 34,922 | \$ | 47,527 |
| Private Education Loans - Non-Traditional | 195,200 |  | 19,287 |  | 36,810 |
| Total | \$ 1,228,442 | \$ | 54,209 | \$ | 84,337 |
| December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Education Loans - Traditional | \$ 1,062,289 | \$ | 34,644 | \$ | 56,755 |
| Private Education Loans - Non-Traditional | 208,587 |  | 20,270 |  | 37,057 |
| Total | \$ 1,270,876 | \$ | 54,914 | \$ | 93,812 |

FFELP Loans are substantially guaranteed as to their principal and accrued interest in the event of default, therefore, the key credit quality indicator for this portfolio is loan status. The impact of changes in loan status is incorporated quarterly into the allowance for loan losses calculation. For Private Education Loans, the key credit quality indicators are the school type/FICO scores, the existence of a cosigner, the loan status and loan seasoning. The school type/FICO score are assessed at origination and maintained through the traditional/non-traditional loan designation. The other Private Education Loan key quality indicators can

## SLM CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) <br> (Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended

## March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)
2. Allowance for Loan Losses (Continued)
change and are incorporated quarterly into the allowance for loan losses calculation. The following table highlights the principal balance (excluding the receivable for partially charged-off loans) of our Private Education Loan portfolio stratified by the key credit quality indicators.

| (Dollars in millions) | Private Education Loans Credit Quality Indicators |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
|  | Balance(3) | \% of Balance | Balance(3) | \% of Balance |
| Credit Quality Indicators |  |  |  |  |
| School Type/FICO Scores: |  |  |  |  |
| Traditional | \$ 33,970 | 90\% | \$ 33,619 | 90\% |
| Non-Traditional(1) | 3,816 | 10 | 3,913 | 10 |
| Total | \$ 37,786 | 100\% | \$ 37,532 | 100\% |
| Cosigners: |  |  |  |  |
| With cosigner | \$ 22,727 | 60\% | \$ 22,259 | 59\% |
| Without cosigner | 15,059 | 40 | 15,273 | 41 |
| Total | \$37,786 | 100\% | \$ 37,532 | 100\% |
| Seasoning(2): |  |  |  |  |
| 1-12 payments | \$ 9,453 | 25\% | \$ 9,963 | 27\% |
| 13-24 payments | 6,934 | 18 | 6,951 | 19 |
| 25-36 payments | 4,765 | 13 | 4,675 | 12 |
| 37-48 payments | 3,200 | 8 | 3,019 | 8 |
| More than 48 payments | 5,111 | 14 | 4,584 | 12 |
| Not yet in repayment | 8,323 | 22 | 8,340 | 22 |
| Total | \$ 37,786 | 100\% | \$ 37,532 | 100\% |

(1) Defined as loans to borrowers attending for-profit schools (with a FICO score of less than 670 at origination) and borrowers attending not-for-profit schools (with a FICO score of less than 640 at origination)
(2) Number of months in active repayment for which a scheduled payment was due.
(3) Balance represents gross Private Education Loans.

We offer temporary interest rate reductions to Private Education Loan borrowers who are both experiencing financial difficulties and meet other criteria. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately $\$ 475$ million and $\$ 444$ million, respectively, had qualified at some point for an interest rate reduction modification since the inception of the program in May 2009. These modifications met the criteria of a troubled debt restructuring in accordance with ASC 310-40 Receivables - Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors and are individually evaluated for impairment. The allowance for loan losses associated with these loans was $\$ 123$ million and $\$ 114$ million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Subsequent to modification, $\$ 70$ million and $\$ 53$ million defaulted through March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, approximately $\$ 235$ million and $\$ 257$ million, respectively, had qualified for the program and were currently receiving a reduction in their interest rate.

## SLM CORPORATION
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## 3. Borrowings

The following table summarizes our borrowings as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short Term | Long Term | Total | Short Term | Long Term | Total |
| Unsecured borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior unsecured debt | \$ 3,741 | \$ 16,894 | \$ 20,635 | \$ 4,361 | \$ 15,742 | \$ 20,103 |
| Brokered deposits | 1,324 | 2,808 | 4,132 | 1,387 | 3,160 | 4,547 |
| Retail and other deposits | 1,500 | - | 1,500 | 1,370 | - | 1,370 |
| Other(1) | 1,064 | - | 1,064 | 887 | - | 887 |
| Subtotal unsecured borrowings | 7,629 | 19,702 | 27,331 | 8,005 | 18,902 | 26,907 |
| Secured borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Loans securitizations | - | 111,042 | 111,042 | - | 112,425 | 112,425 |
| Private Education Loans securitizations | - | 20,983 | 20,983 | - | 21,409 | 21,409 |
| ED Conduit Program facility | 23,573 | - | 23,573 | 24,484 | - | 24,484 |
| ABCP borrowings | 325 | 4,671 | 4,996 | - | 5,853 | 5,853 |
| Acquisition financing(2) | - | 1,064 | 1,064 | - | 1,064 | 1,064 |
| FHLB-DM facility | 525 | - | 525 | 900 | - | 900 |
| Indentured trusts | - | 1,187 | 1,187 | - | 1,246 | 1,246 |
| Subtotal secured borrowings | 24,423 | 138,947 | 163,370 | 25,384 | 141,997 | 167,381 |
| Total before hedge accounting adjustments | 32,052 | 158,649 | 190,701 | 33,389 | 160,899 | 194,288 |
| Hedge accounting adjustments | 265 | 3,237 | 3,502 | 227 | 2,644 | 2,871 |
| Total | \$32,317 | \$161,886 | \$194,203 | \$33,616 | \$163,543 | \$197,159 |

(1) "Other" primarily consists of cash collateral held related to derivative exposures that are recorded as a short-term debt obligation.
(2) Relates to the acquisition of the $\$ 25$ billion of student loans at the end of 2010 .
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## 3. Borrowings (Continued)

## Secured Borrowings

We currently consolidate all of our financing entities that are VIEs as a result of being the entities' primary beneficiary. As a result, these financing VIEs are accounted for as secured borrowings. We consolidate the following financing VIEs as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 .

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debt Outstanding |  |  | Carrying Amount of Assets Securing Debt Outstanding |  |  |  |  |
|  | Short Term | Long Term | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loans | Cash | Other Assets |  | Total |
| Secured Borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED Conduit Program Facility | \$23,573 | \$ | \$ 23,573 | \$ 23,572 | \$ 680 | \$ | 619 | \$ 24,871 |
| ABCP borrowings | 325 | 4,671 | 4,996 | 5,383 | 91 |  | 46 | 5,520 |
| Securitizations - FFELP Loans | - | 111,042 | 111,042 | 111,833 | 3,924 |  | 609 | 116,366 |
| Securitizations - Private Education Loans | - | 20,983 | 20,983 | 23,899 | 1,246 |  | 958 | 26,103 |
| Indentured trusts | - | 1,187 | 1,187 | 1,484 | 141 |  | 14 | 1,639 |
| Total before hedge accounting adjustments | 23,898 | 137,883 | 161,781 | 166,171 | 6,082 |  | 2,246 | 174,499 |
| Hedge accounting adjustments | - | 2,046 | 2,046 | - | - |  | 1,929 | 1,929 |
| Total | \$23,898 | \$139,929 | \$163,827 | \$166,171 | $\underline{\$ 6,082}$ | \$ | 4,175 | \$176,428 |

## SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended
March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)
3. Borrowings (Continued)

| (Dollars in millions) | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Debt Outstanding |  |  | Carrying Amount of Assets Securing Debt Outstanding |  |  |  |  |
|  | Short Term | Long <br> Term | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Loans | Cash | Other Assets |  | Total |
| Secured Borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ED Conduit Program Facility | \$24,484 | \$ | \$ 24,484 | \$ 24,511 | \$ 819 | \$ | 634 | \$ 25,964 |
| ABCP borrowings | - | 5,853 | 5,853 | 6,290 | 94 |  | 53 | 6,437 |
| Securitizations - FFELP Loans | - | 112,425 | 112,425 | 113,400 | 3,728 |  | 966 | 118,094 |
| Securitizations - Private <br> Education Loans | - | 21,409 | 21,409 | 24,355 | 1,213 |  | 690 | 26,258 |
| Indentured trusts | - | 1,246 | 1,246 | 1,549 | 129 |  | 15 | 1,693 |
| Total before hedge accounting adjustments | 24,484 | 140,933 | 165,417 | 170,105 | 5,983 |  | 2,358 | 178,446 |
| Hedge accounting adjustments | - | 1,311 | 1,311 | - | - |  | 1,348 | 1,348 |
| Total | $\underline{\text { \$24,484 }}$ | $\underline{\text { \$142,244 }}$ | \$166,728 | \$170,105 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 5,983}}$ | \$ | 3,706 | \$179,794 |

On April 26, 2011, we issued a $\$ 562$ million Private Education Loan ABS transaction at an all-in LIBOR equivalent cost of onemonth LIBOR plus 1.99 percent. This issue has a weighted average life of 3.8 years and initial over-collateralization of approximately 21 percent

On March 3, 2011 , we issued an $\$ 812$ million FFELP ABS transaction at an all-in LIBOR equivalent cost of one-month LIBOR plus 1.14 percent. This issue has a weighted average life of 5.8 years and initial over-collateralization of approximately 3 percent.

On January 14, 2011, we issued a $\$ 2$ billion five-year 6.25 percent fixed rate unsecured bond. The bond was issued to yield 6.50 percent before underwriting fees. The rate on the bond was swapped from a fixed rate to a floating rate equal to an all-in cost of onemonth LIBOR plus 4.46 percent. The proceeds of this bond were designated for general corporate purposes.

We also repurchase our outstanding unsecured debt in both open-market repurchases and public tender offers. Repurchasing debt helps us to better manage our short-term and long-term funding needs. In the first quarter of 2011 we repurchased $\$ 825$ million face amount of our senior unsecured notes in the aggregate, with maturity dates ranging from 2011 to 2014, which resulted in a total gain of $\$ 64$ million.

We have $\$ 5.2$ billion in Private Education Loan securitization bonds outstanding at March 31, 2011, where we have the ability to call the bonds at a discount to par between the fourth quarter of 2011 and 2014 . We have concluded that it is probable we will call these bonds at the call date at the respective discount. Probability is based on our assessment of whether these bonds can be refinanced at the call date at or lower than a breakeven cost of funds based on the call discount. As a result, we are accreting this call discount as a reduction to interest expense through the call date. If it becomes less than probable that we will call these bonds at a future date, it will result in our reversing this prior accretion as a cumulative catch-up adjustment.
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3. Borrowings (Continued)

We have accreted approximately $\$ 200$ million, cumulatively, and $\$ 28$ million in the three months ended March 31 , 2011 as a reduction of interest expense.

## Securitization Activity

The following table summarizes our securitization activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The securitizations in the periods presented below were accounted for as financings.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
|  | No. of <br> Transactions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Loan } \\ \text { Amount } \\ \text { Securitized } \end{gathered}$ |  | No. of <br> Transactions |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { oan } \\ & \text { nount } \\ & \text { ritized } \end{aligned}$ |
| Securitizations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Consolidation Loans | 1 | \$ | 773 | - | \$ | - |
| Private Education Loans | - |  | - | 1 |  | 1,929 |
| Total securitizations | 1 | \$ | 773 | 1 | \$ | $\underline{1,929}$ |

## SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended
March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

## 4. Derivative Financial Instruments

Our risk management strategy, use and accounting of derivatives has not materially changed from that discussed in our 2010 Form 10-K. Please refer to Note 9, "Derivative Financial Instruments" in our 2010 Form 10-K for a full discussion.

## Summary of Derivative Financial Statement Impact

The following tables summarize the fair values and notional amounts of all derivative instruments at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and their impact on other comprehensive income and earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Impact of Derivatives on Consolidated Balance Sheet

| (Dollars in millions) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hedged Risk } \\ \text { Exposure } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Cash Flow |  |  |  | Fair Value |  |  | Trading |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ \quad 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } 31 \\ & \hline 2010 \end{aligned}$ |  | March 31,2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec.31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Fair Values(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | Interest rate | \$ | - | \$ | - |  | 787 | \$ 967 | 161 | \$ 200 | \$ | 948 | \$ 1,167 |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps | Foreign currency and interest rate |  | - |  | - |  | 2,592 | 1,925 | 84 | 101 |  | 2,676 | 2,026 |
| Other(2) | Interest rate |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | 26 | 26 |  | 26 | 26 |
| Total derivative assets(3) |  |  | - |  | - |  | 3,379 | 2,892 | 271 | 327 |  | 3,650 | 3,219 |
| Derivative Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | Interest rate |  | (46) |  | (75) |  | (18) | - | (348) | (348) |  | (412) | (423) |
| Floor Income Contracts | Interest rate |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | $(2,106)$ | $(1,315)$ |  | $(2,106)$ | $(1,315)$ |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps | Foreign currency and interest rate |  | - |  | - |  | (181) | (215) | (1) | - |  | (182) | (215) |
| Other(2) | Interest rate |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | (1) |  | - | (1) |
| Total derivative liabilities(3) |  |  | (46) |  | (75) |  | (199) | (215) | $(2,455)$ | $(1,664)$ |  | (2,700) | $(1,954)$ |
| Net total derivatives |  | \$ | (46) |  | (75) |  | 3,180 | \$2,677 | \$ (2,184) | $\underline{\underline{\$(1,337)}}$ | \$ | 950 | $\underline{\underline{\text { \$ 1,265 }}}$ |

(1) Fair values reported are exclusive of collateral held and pledged and accrued interest. Assets and liabilities are presented without consideration of master netting agreements. Derivatives are carried on the balance sheet based on net position by counterparty under master netting agreements, and classified in other assets or other liabilities depending on whether in a net positive or negative position.
(2) "Other" includes the fair value of Euro-dollar futures contracts, the embedded derivatives in asset-backed financings, and derivatives related to our Total Return Swap Facility. The embedded derivatives are required to be accounted for as derivatives
(3) The following table reconciles gross positions without the impact of master netting agreements to the balance sheet classification:

| (Dollars in millions) | Other Assets |  |  |  | Other Liabilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Gross position | \$ | 3,650 | \$ | 3,219 | \$ | $(2,700)$ | \$ | $(1,954)$ |
| Impact of master netting agreements |  | (792) |  | (782) |  | 792 |  | 782 |
| Derivative values with impact of master netting agreements (as carried on balance sheet) Cash collateral (held) pledged |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,858 \\ (1,063) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2,437 \\ (886) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} (1,908) \\ 749 \end{gathered}$ |  | $(1,172)$ 809 |
| Net position | \$ | 1,795 | \$ | 1,551 | \$ | $(1,159)$ | \$ | (363) |
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## 4. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

The above fair values include adjustments for counterparty credit risk for both when we are exposed to the counterparty, net of collateral postings, and when the counterparty is exposed to us, net of collateral postings. The net adjustments decreased the overall net asset position at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by $\$ 60$ million and $\$ 72$ million, respectively. In addition, the above fair values reflect adjustments for illiquid derivatives as indicated by a wide bid/ask spread in the interest rate indices to which the derivatives are indexed. These adjustments decreased the overall net asset position at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by $\$ 116$ million and $\$ 129$ million, respectively

| (Dollars in billions) | Cash Flow |  |  |  | Fair Value |  |  | Trading |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ \quad 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | March 31, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | March 31, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec. } 31, \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | March 31, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Dec. 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Notional Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 1.6 | \$ | 15.2 | \$ 13.5 | \$ | 111.2 | \$118.9 | \$ | 127.5 | \$134.0 |
| Floor Income Contracts |  | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | 74.2 | 39.3 |  | 74.2 | 39.3 |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps |  | - |  | - |  | 17.3 | 17.5 |  | . 3 | . 3 |  | 17.6 | 17.8 |
| Other ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | - |  | - |  | - | - |  | 1.6 | 1.0 |  | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Total derivatives | \$ | 1.1 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$31.0 | \$ | 187.3 | \$159.5 | \$ | 220.9 | \$192.1 |

[^3]Impact of Derivatives on Consolidated Statements of Income

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives(1)(2) |  |  | ```Realized Gain (Loss) on Derivatives(3)``` |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unrealized Gain } \\ \text { (Loss) } \\ \text { on Hedged Item(1) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Total Gain(Loss) |  |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Fair Value Hedges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | \$(198) | \$ | 55 | \$ 128 | \$ 120 | \$ 205 | \$ | (63) | \$ 135 | \$ 112 |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps | 701 |  | $(1,348)$ | 76 | 101 | (878) |  | 1,363 | (101) | 116 |
| Total fair value derivatives | 503 |  | $(1,293)$ | 204 | 221 | (673) |  | 1,300 | 34 | 228 |
| Cash Flow Hedges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | (2) |  | (1) | (14) | (15) | - |  | - | (16) | (16) |
| Total cash flow derivatives | (2) |  | (1) | (14) | (15) | - |  | - | (16) | (16) |
| Trading: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | (22) |  | 110 | 40 | 6 | - |  | - | 18 | 116 |
| Floor Income Contracts | 151 |  | 19 | (226) | (210) | - |  | - | (75) | (191) |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps | (17) |  | (7) | 2 | 2 | - |  | - | (15) | (5) |
| Other | 3 |  | (6) | (1) | (2) | - |  | - | 2 | (8) |
| Total trading derivatives | 115 |  | 116 | (185) | (204) | - |  | - | (70) | (88) |
| Total | 616 |  | $(1,178)$ | 5 | 2 | (673) |  | 1,300 | (52) | 124 |
| Less: realized gains (losses) recorded in interest expense | - |  | - | 190 | 206 | - |  | - | 190 | 206 |
| Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net | \$616 |  | \$ (1,178) | \$(185) | \$ (204) | \$ (673) | \$ | $\underline{1,300}$ | \$(242) | \$(82) |

[^4]
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## 4. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)

Impact of Derivatives on Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (net of tax)

| (Dollars in millions) | 1, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Total gains (losses) on cash flow hedges | \$ (2) | \$ (15) |
| Realized (gains) losses reclassified to interest expense(1)(2)(3) | 10 | 12 |
| Hedge ineffectiveness reclassified to earnings(1)(4) | 1 | 1 |
| Total change in stockholders' equity for unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives |  | \$ (2) |

[^5]
## Collateral

Collateral held and pledged at March 31,2011 and December 31, 2010 related to derivative exposures between us and our derivative counterparties are detailed in the following table:

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collateral held: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (obligation to return cash collateral is recorded in short-term borrowings)(1) | \$ | 1,063 | \$ | 886 |
| Securities at fair value (not recorded in financial statements)( ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 975 |  | 585 |
| Total collateral held | \$ | 2,038 | \$ | 1,471 |
| Derivative asset at fair value including accrued interest | \$ | 3,157 | \$ | 2,540 |
| Collateral pledged to others: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash (right to receive return of cash collateral is recorded in investments) | \$ | 749 | \$ | 809 |
| Securities at fair value (recorded in restricted investments)(3) |  | 49 |  | 36 |
| Total collateral pledged | \$ | 798 | \$ | 845 |
| Derivative liability at fair value including accrued interest and premium receivable | \$ | 782 | \$ | 747 |

(1) At March 31, 2011 and December $31,2010, \$ 204$ million and $\$ 108$ million, respectively, were held in restricted cash accounts.
(2) We do not have the ability to sell or re-pledge these securities. As such the securities are not recorded in the financial statements.
(3) Counterparty has the right to sell or re-pledge securities

Our corporate derivatives contain credit contingent features. At our current unsecured credit rating, we have fully collateralized our corporate derivative liability position (including accrued interest and net of premiums receivable) of $\$ 750$ million with our counterparties as of the collateral call date. Further downgrades would not result in any additional collateral requirements, except to increase the frequency of collateral calls. Two counterparties have the right to terminate the contracts with further downgrades. We currently have a liability position with these derivative counterparties (including accrued interest and net of premiums receivable) of $\$ 124$ million and have posted $\$ 118$ million of collateral to these counterparties. If the credit
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4. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)
contingent feature was triggered for these two counterparties and the counterparties exercised their right to terminate, we would be required to deliver additional assets totaling $\$ 6$ million to settle the contracts. Trust related derivatives do not contain credit contingent features related to our or the trusts' credit ratings.
5. Other Assets

The following table provides detail on our other assets at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ending Balance | $\%$ of Balance | Ending Balance | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Balance } \end{gathered}$ |
| Accrued interest receivable | \$ 3,031 | 30\% | 2,927 | 33\% |
| Derivatives at fair value | 2,858 | 28 | 2,437 | 27 |
| Accounts receivable - general | 1,430 | 14 | 730 | 8 |
| Income tax asset, net current and deferred | 1,322 | 13 | 1,283 | 14 |
| Benefit and insurance-related investments | 461 | 4 | 462 | 5 |
| Fixed assets, net | 284 | 3 | 291 | 4 |
| Purchased paper-related receivables | 68 | 1 | 96 | 1 |
| Other loans | 251 | 2 | 271 | 3 |
| Other | 496 | 5 | 473 | 5 |
| Total | \$10,201 | 100\% | $\underline{\$ 8,970}$ | 100\% |

The "Derivatives at fair value" line in the above table represents the fair value of our derivatives in a net asset position by counterparty, exclusive of accrued interest and collateral. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, these balances included
$\$ 3.2$ billion and $\$ 2.7$ billion, respectively, of cross-currency interest rate swaps and interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges that were offset by an increase in interest-bearing liabilities related to the hedged debt. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the cumulative mark-to-market adjustment to the hedged debt was $\$(3.4)$ billion and $\$(2.7)$ billion, respectively
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6. Stockholders' Equity and Stock-Based Compensation

The following table summarizes our common share repurchases and issuances for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Shares in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Common shares repurchased: |  |  |
| Benefit plans(1) | 1.8 | . 3 |
| Total shares repurchased | 1.8 | . 3 |
| Average purchase price per share | \$ 15.62 | \$ 12.53 |
| Common shares issued | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| Authority remaining at end of period for repurchases | 38.8 | 38.8 |

(1) Includes shares withheld from stock option exercises and vesting of restricted stock for employees' tax withholding obligations and shares tendered by employees to satisfy option exercise costs.

The closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on March 31, 2011 was \$15.30.
In March 2011, we retired all 70 million shares of common stock held in treasury. This retirement decreased the balance in treasury stock by $\$ 1.9$ billion, with corresponding decreases of $\$ 14$ million in common stock and $\$ 1.9$ billion in additional paid-in capital. There was no impact to total equity from this transaction.

In the first quarter, we changed our stock-based compensation plans so that retirement eligible employees would not forfeit unvested stock-based compensation upon their retirement. This change had the effect of accelerating $\$ 11$ million of future stock-based compensation expenses associated with these unvested stock grants into the current period for those employees that are retirement eligible or who will become retirement eligible prior to the vesting date.

## Dividend and Share Repurchase Program

On April 20, 2011, we declared a quarterly dividend of $\$ .10$ per share on our common stock, the first since early 2007. The dividend is payable June 17,2011, to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 3, 2011. We also authorized the repurchase of up to $\$ 300$ million of outstanding common stock in open-market transactions and terminated all previous authorizations.
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## 7. Earnings (Loss) per Common Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share ("EPS") are calculated using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations follows for the three months ended March 31,2011 and 2010.
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## 8. Restructuring Activities

Total restructuring expenses of \$4 million and \$26 million were recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table summarizes the restructuring expenses incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and cumulative restructuring expenses incurred through March 31, 2011 associated with our restructuring plans.

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cumulative } \\ \text { Expense(1) as of } \\ \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |  |
| Severance costs | \$ 1,380 |  | 24,797 | \$ | 164,182 |
| Lease and other contract termination costs | - |  | - |  | 10,929 |
| Exit and other costs | 2,181 |  | 7 |  | 18,424 |
| Total restructuring costs from continuing operations(1) | 3,561 |  | 24,804 |  | 193,535 |
| Total restructuring costs from discontinued operations | (22) |  | 1,478 |  | 29,211 |
| Total | \$3,539 |  | 26,282 | \$ | 222,746 |

(1) Aggregate restructuring expenses from continuing operations incurred across our reportable segments during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 19$ million, respectively, in our FFELP Loans reportable segment; $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 2$ million, respectively, in our Consumer Lending reportable segment; $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 3$ million, respectively, in our Business Services reportable segment; and $\$ 1$ million and $\$ 1$ million, respectively, in our Other reportable segment.
Since the fourth quarter of 2007 through March 31, 2011, cumulative severance costs were incurred in conjunction with aggregate completed and planned position eliminations of approximately 5,500 positions. Position eliminations were across all of our reportable segments, ranging from senior executives to servicing center personnel.

The following table summarizes changes in the restructuring liability balance, which is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

|  | Severance Costs | Lease and Other Contract Termination Costs |  | Exit and Other Costs |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 9,195 | \$ | 3,781 | \$ | - | \$ 12,976 |
| Net accruals from continuing operations | 80,536 |  | 1,430 |  | 3,270 | 85,236 |
| Net accruals from discontinued operations | 3,108 |  | 2,384 |  | 70 | 5,562 |
| Cash paid | $(45,235)$ |  | $(3,440)$ |  | $(1,678)$ | $(50,353)$ |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 47,604 |  | 4,155 |  | 1,662 | 53,421 |
| Net accruals from continuing operations | 1,380 |  | - |  | 2,181 | 3,561 |
| Net accruals from discontinued operations | (24) |  | - |  | 2 | (22) |
| Cash paid | $(25,478)$ |  | (492) |  | $(3,845)$ | $(29,815)$ |
| Balance at March 31, 2011 | \$ 23,482 | \$ | 3,663 | \$ | - | \$ 27,145 |
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## 9. Fair Value Measurements

We use estimates of fair value in applying various accounting standards for our financial statements. We categorize our fair value estimates based on a hierarchical framework associated with three levels of price transparency utilized in measuring financial instruments at fair value. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no significant transfers of financial instruments between levels, or changes in our methodology or assumptions used to value our financial instruments. Please refer to Note 15, "Fair Value Measurements" in our 2010 Form 10-K for a full discussion.

The following tables summarize the valuation of our financial instruments that are marked-to-market on a recurring basis in the consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis as of March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis as of December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  | Total |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ | 50 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 50 | \$ | 39 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 39 |
| Agency residential mortgage backed securities |  | - |  | 65 |  | - |  | 65 |  | - |  | 68 |  | - |  | 68 |
| Guaranteed investment contracts |  | - |  | 28 |  | - |  | 28 |  | - |  | 20 |  | - |  | 20 |
| Other |  | - |  | 13 |  | - |  | 13 |  | - |  | 12 |  | - |  | 12 |
| Total available-for-sale investments |  | 50 |  | 106 |  | - |  | 156 |  | 39 |  | 100 |  | - |  | 139 |
| Derivative instruments:(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps |  | - |  | 821 |  | 127 |  | 948 |  | - |  | 1,017 |  | 150 |  | 1,167 |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps |  | - |  | 521 |  | 2,155 |  | 2,676 |  | - |  | 427 |  | 1,599 |  | 2,026 |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | 26 |  | 26 |  | - |  | - |  | 26 |  | 26 |
| Total derivative assets |  | - |  | 1,342 |  | 2,308 |  | 3,650 |  | - |  | 1,444 |  | 1,775 |  | 3,219 |
| Counterparty netting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (792) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (782) |
| Subtotal (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,858 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,437 |
| Cash collateral held |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,063)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (886) |
| Net derivative assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,795 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,551 |
| Total | \$ | 50 | \$ | 1,448 | \$ | 2,308 | \$ | 1,951 | \$ | 39 | \$ | 1,544 | \$ | 1,775 | \$ | 1,690 |
| Liabilities(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Derivative instruments ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ | - | \$ | (200) | \$ | (212) | \$ | (412) | \$ | - | \$ | (183) | \$ | (240) | \$ | (423) |
| Floor Income Contracts |  | - |  | $(2,106)$ |  | - |  | $(2,106)$ |  | - |  | $(1,315)$ |  | - |  | $(1,315)$ |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps |  | - |  | (38) |  | (144) |  | (182) |  | - |  | (43) |  | (172) |  | (215) |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | (1) |  | - |  | - |  | (1) |
| Total derivative instruments |  | - |  | $(2,344)$ |  | (356) |  | $(2,700)$ |  | (1) |  | $(1,541)$ |  | (412) |  | $(1,954)$ |
| Counterparty netting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 792 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 782 |
| Subtotal(3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,908)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,172)$ |
| Cash collateral pledged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 809 |
| Net derivative liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,159)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (363) |
| Total | \$ | - | \$ | $(2,344)$ | \$ | (356) | \$ | $(1,159)$ | \$ | (1) | \$ | $(1,541)$ | \$ | (412) | \$ | (363) |

(1) Fair value of derivative instruments excludes accrued interest and the value of collateral.
(2) Borrowings which are the hedged items in a fair value hedge relationship and which are adjusted for changes in value due to benchmark interest rates only are not carried at full fair value and are not reflected in this table
(3) As carried on the balance sheet
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## 9. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The following tables summarize the change in balance sheet carrying value associated with Level 3 financial instruments carried at fair value on a recurring basis during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011(3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Derivative instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Interest <br> Rate Swaps |  | Cross <br> Currency <br> Interest <br> Rate Swaps |  | Other |  | Total Derivative Instruments |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | (90) | \$ | 1,427 | \$ | 26 | \$ | 1,363 |
| Total gains/(losses) (realized and unrealized): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings(1) |  | 27 |  | 633 |  | 2 |  | 662 |
| Included in other comprehensive income |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Settlements |  | (22) |  | (49) |  | (2) |  | (73) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | (85) | \$ | 2,011 | \$ | 26 | \$ | 1,952 |
| Change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at the reporting date(2) | \$ | 5 | \$ | 582 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 590 |


| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residual <br> Interests |  | Derivative instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  | Interest <br> Rate Swaps |  | Floor Income Contracts |  | Cross <br> Currency <br> Interest <br> Rate Swaps |  | Other |  | Total Derivative Instruments |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 1,828 | \$ | (272) | \$ | (54) | \$ | 1,596 | \$ | (18) | \$ | 1,252 | \$ | 3,080 |
| Total gains/(losses) (realized and unrealized): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings(1) |  | - |  | (5) |  | 3 |  | (873) |  | (7) |  | (882) |  | (882) |
| Included in other comprehensive income |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |  |
| Settlements |  | - |  | 4 |  | 51 |  | (48) |  | 3 |  | 10 |  | 10 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change(3) |  | $(1,828)$ |  | (56) |  | - |  | 873 |  | - |  | 817 |  | 1,011) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | - | \$ | (329) | \$ | - | \$ | $\underline{\text { 1,548 }}$ | \$ |  | \$ | $\underline{\text { 1,197 }}$ | \$ | 1,197 |
| Change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at the reporting date(2) | \$ | - | \$ | 3 | \$ | - | \$ | (921) | \$ | (6) | \$ | (924) |  | (924) |

(1) "Included in earnings" is comprised of the following amounts recorded in the specified line item in the consolidated statements of income:

| (Dollars in millions) |
| :--- |
| Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net |
| Interest expense |
| Total |
| (2) Recorded in "gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net" in the consolidated statements of income. |
| (3) Upon adoption of new consolidation accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, we consolidated previously off-balance sheet securitization trusts. This |
| ( March 31, |
| resulted in the removal of the Residual Interests and the recording of the fair value of swaps previously not in our consolidated results. |
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## 9. Fair Value Measurements (Continued)

The following table summarizes the fair values of our financial assets and liabilities, including derivative financial instruments, as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Difference |  | Fair Value | Carrying Value | $\underline{\text { Difference }}$ |  |
| Earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP loans | \$143,790 | \$145,558 | \$ | $(1,768)$ | \$147,163 | \$148,649 | \$ | $(1,486)$ |
| Private Education Loans | 32,572 | 35,966 |  | $(3,394)$ | 30,949 | 35,656 |  | $(4,707)$ |
| Other loans (presented in "other assets" on the balance sheet) | 90 | 251 |  | (161) | 88 | 270 |  | (182) |
| Cash and investments | 11,156 | 11,156 |  | - | 11,553 | 11,553 |  | - |
| Total earning assets | 187,608 | 192,931 |  | $(5,323)$ | 189,753 | 196,128 |  | $(6,375)$ |
| Interest-bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 32,311 | 32,317 |  | 6 | 33,604 | 33,616 |  | 12 |
| Long-term borrowings | 154,776 | 161,886 |  | 7,110 | 154,355 | 163,544 |  | 9,189 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 187,087 | 194,203 |  | 7,116 | 187,959 | 197,160 |  | 9,201 |
| Derivative financial instruments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Floor Income/Cap contracts | $(2,106)$ | $(2,106)$ |  | - | $(1,315)$ | $(1,315)$ |  | - |
| Interest rate swaps | 536 | 536 |  | - | 744 | 744 |  | - |
| Cross currency interest rate swaps | 2,494 | 2,494 |  | - | 1,811 | 1,811 |  | - |
| Other | 26 | 26 |  | - | 25 | 25 |  | - |
| Excess of net asset fair value over carrying value |  |  | \$ | 1,793 |  |  | \$ | 2,826 |

## 10. Commitments and Contingencies

Mark A. Arthur et al. v. SLM Corporation. As previously disclosed, this suit involves allegations we contacted consumers on their cellular telephones via autodialer without their consent in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. $\S 227$ et seq. ("TCPA"). Each violation under the TCPA provides for $\$ 500$ in statutory damages ( $\$ 1,500$ if a willful violation is shown). Plaintiffs seek statutory damages, damages for willful violations, attorneys' fees, costs, and injunctive relief. We have vigorously denied all claims asserted against us, but agreed to the settlement to avoid the burden and expense of continued litigation. On January 21, 2011, and February 7,2011 , we filed submissions with the Court to advise that approximately 1.76 million individuals had been omitted from the original notice list for a total of approximately 6.6 million class members. In response, Class Counsel asked us to contribute additional unspecified amounts to the previously negotiated $\$ 19.5$ million settlement fund. On February 10, 2011, the Court granted a Consented Motion to Stay Implementation of Settlement and Certain Deadlines. The Court ordered Class Counsel to file a status report on March 18, 2011.

As of the date of this filing, we are continuing our efforts to determine the number of class members who were omitted from the notice list of class members and the additional amounts to be contributed to the settlement fund.
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## 10. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

In the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are routinely defendants in or parties to pending and threatened legal actions and proceedings including actions brought on behalf of various classes of claimants. These actions and proceedings may be based on alleged violations of consumer protection, securities, employment and other laws. In certain of these actions and proceedings, claims for substantial monetary damage are asserted against us and our subsidiaries.

In the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are subject to regulatory examinations, information gathering requests, inquiries and investigations. In connection with formal and informal inquiries in these cases, we and our subsidiaries receive numerous requests, subpoenas and orders for documents, testimony and information in connection with various aspects of our regulated activities

In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such litigation and regulatory matters, we cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing or the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines or penalties related to each pending matter may be.

We are required to establish reserves for litigation and regulatory matters where those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. When loss contingencies are not both probable and estimable, we do not establish reserves.

Based on current knowledge, reserves have been established for certain litigation or regulatory matters where the loss is both probable and estimable. Based on current knowledge, management does not believe that loss contingencies, if any, arising from pending investigations, litigation or regulatory matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, liquidity, results of operations or cash flows

## 11. Segment Reporting

## FFELP Loans Segment

Our FFELP Loans segment consists of our \$145.6 billion FFELP Loan portfolio as of March 31,2011 and the underlying debt and capital funding the loans. We no longer originate FFELP Loans; however, we are actively seeking to acquire FFELP Loan portfolios.

The following table includes asset information for our FFELP Loans segment

|  | March 31, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FFELP Loans, net | \$145,558 | \$ | 148,649 |
| Cash and investments(1) | 5,978 |  | 5,963 |
| Other | 4,627 |  | 3,911 |
| Total assets | \$156,163 | \$ | 158,523 |

(1) Includes restricted cash and investments.

## Consumer Lending Segment

We originate, acquire, finance and service Private Education Loans. The portfolio totaled $\$ 36.0$ billion at March 31, 2011. We also provide savings products, primarily in the form of retail deposits, to help customers save for a college education.
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## 11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

The following table includes asset information for our Consumer Lending segment.

|  | March 31, <br>  <br> Private Education Loans, net | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash and investments $(1)$ | $\$ 35,966$ | $\$$ |
| Other | 2,679 | 35,656 |
| Total assets | $\underline{4,454}$ | 3,372 |

(1) Includes restricted cash and investments.

## Business Services Segment

The Business Services segment generates its revenue from servicing our FFELP Loan portfolio as well as servicing FFELP and other loans for other financial institutions, Guarantors and ED. The segment also performs default aversion work and contingency collections on behalf of Guarantors and ED, Campus Solutions, account asset servicing and transaction processing activities.

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Business Services segment had total assets of \$829 million and \$930 million, respectively.

## Other Segment

The Other segment primarily consists of the financial results related to the repurchase of debt, the corporate liquidity portfolio and all overhead. We also include results from smaller wind-down and discontinued operations within this segment

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Other segment had total assets of $\$ 3.3$ billion and $\$ 2.8$ billion, respectively.

## Measure of Profitability

The tables below include the condensed operating results for each of our reportable segments. Management, including the chief operating decision makers, evaluates the Company on certain performance measures that we refer to as "Core Earnings" performance measures for each operating segment. We use "Core Earnings" to manage each business segment because "Core Earnings" reflect adjustments to GAAP financial results for three items, discussed below, that create significant volatility mostly due to timing factors generally beyond the control of management. Accordingly, we believe that "Core Earnings" provide management with a useful basis from which to better evaluate results from ongoing operations against the business plan or against results from prior periods Consequently, we disclose this information as we believe it provides investors with additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely assessed by management. The two items adjusted for in our "Core Earnings" presentations are: (1) our use of derivatives instruments to hedge our economic risks that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment or do qualify for hedge accounting treatment but result in ineffectiveness and (2) the accounting for goodwill and acquired intangible assets. The tables presented below reflect "Core Earnings" operating measures reviewed and utilized by management to manage the business. Reconciliation of the "Core Earnings" segment totals to our consolidated operating results in accordance with GAAP is also included in the tables below.
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## 1．Segment Reporting（Continued）

Our＂Core Earnings＂performance measures are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies．Unlike financial accounting，there is no comprehensive，authoritative guidance for management eporting．The management reporting process measures the performance of the operating segments based on the management structure of he Company and is not necessarily comparable with similar information for any other financial institution．Our operating segments are defined by the products and services they offer or the types of customers they serve，and they reflect the manner in which financial information is currently evaluated by management．Intersegment revenues and expenses are netted within the appropriate financial statement line items consistent with the income statement presentation provided to management．Changes in management structure or allocation methodologies and procedures may result in changes in reported segment financial information
Segment Results and Reconciliations to GAAP

| （Dollars in millions） | Quarter Ended March 31， 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELP } \\ & \text { oans } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Consumer } \\ & \text { Lending } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Business Services |  | Other |  | Eliminations（1） |  | Total＂Core Earnings＂ |  | Adjustments（2） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { GAAP } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Interest income： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student loans | \＄ | 736 | s | 604 | \＄ | － | \＄ | － | s | － | s | 1，340 | \＄ | 141 |  | 1，481 |
| Other loans |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 6 |  | － |  | 6 |  | － |  | 6 |
| Cash and investments |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | （3） |  | 5 |  | － |  | 5 |
| Total interest income |  | 737 |  | 607 |  | 3 |  | 7 |  | （3） |  | 1，351 |  | 141 |  | 1，492 |
| Total interest expense |  | 370 |  | 197 |  | － |  | 15 |  | （3） |  | 579 |  | 15 |  | 594 |
| Net interest income |  | 367 |  | 410 |  | 3 |  | （8） |  | － |  | 772 |  | 126 |  | 898 |
| Less：provisions for loan losses |  | 23 |  | 275 |  | － |  | 5 |  | － |  | 303 |  | － |  | 303 |
| Net interest income（loss）after provisions for loan losses |  | 344 |  | 135 |  | 3 |  | （13） |  | － |  | 469 |  | 126 |  | 595 |
| Servicing revenue |  | 25 |  | 17 |  | 245 |  | － |  | （189） |  | 98 |  | － |  | 98 |
| Contingency revenue |  | － |  | － |  | 78 |  | － |  | － |  | 78 |  | － |  | 78 |
| Gains on debt repurchases |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 64 |  | － |  | 64 |  | （26） |  | 38 |
| Other income（loss） |  | － |  | ＝ |  | 11 |  | 2 |  | － |  | 13 |  | （233） |  | （220） |
| Total other income（loss） |  | 25 |  | 17 |  | 334 |  | 66 |  | （189） |  | 253 |  | （259） |  | （6） |
| Expenses： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct operating expenses |  | 195 |  | 82 |  | 128 |  | 8 |  | （189） |  | 224 |  | － |  | 224 |
| Overhead expenses |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 79 |  | － |  | 79 |  | 二 |  | 79 |
| Operating expenses |  | 195 |  | 82 |  | 128 |  | 87 |  | （189） |  | 303 |  | － |  | 303 |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | － |  | 4 |  | － |  | 4 |
| Total expenses |  | 196 |  | 83 |  | 129 |  | 88 |  | （189） |  | 307 |  | 6 |  | 313 |
| Income（loss）from continuing operations，before income tax expense （benefit） |  | 173 |  | 69 |  | 208 |  | （35） |  | － |  | 415 |  | （139） |  | 276 |
| Income tax expense（benefit）（3） |  | 64 |  | 25 |  | 76 |  | （12） |  | － |  | 153 |  | （54） |  | 99 |
| Net income（loss）from continuing operations |  | 109 |  | 44 |  | 132 |  | （23） |  | － |  | 262 |  | （85） |  | 177 |
| Loss from discontinued operations，net of taxes |  | 二 |  | － |  | － |  | （2） |  | 二 |  | （2） |  | － |  | （2） |
| Net income（loss） | \＄ |  | S | 44 | \＄ | 132 |  | （25） |  | － |  | 260 | s | （85） |  | 175 |

（1）The eliminations in servicing revenue and direct operating expense represent the elimination of intercompany servicing revenue where the Business
Services segment performs the loan servicing function for the FFELP Loans segment．
（2）＂Core Earnings＂adjustments to GAAP：

| （Dollars in millions） | Quarter Ended March 31，2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net Impact of Derivative Accounting |  | Net Impact <br> of Goodwill and <br> Acquired <br> Intangibles |  | Total |
| Net interest income after provisions for loan losses | \＄ | 126 | \＄ | － | \＄ 126 |
| Total other loss |  | （259） |  | － | （259） |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization |  | － |  | 6 | 6 |
| Total＂Core Earnings＂adjustments to GAAP | \＄ | （133） | s | （6） | （139） |
| Income tax benefit |  |  |  |  | （54） |
| Net loss |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\underline{\text { S（85）}}}$ |
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## 11．Segment Reporting（Continued）

| （Dollars in millions） | Quarter Ended March 31， 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FFELP } \\ & \text { Loans } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Consumer } \\ \text { Lending } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Business Services |  | Other |  | Eliminations（1） |  | Total＂Core Earnings＂ |  | Adjustments（2） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { GAAP } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Interest income： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student loans | \＄ 643 | \＄ | 565 | \＄ | － | \＄ | － | \＄ | － | \＄ | 1，208 | \＄ | 164 | \＄ | 1，372 |
| Other loans | － |  | － |  | － |  | 9 |  | － |  | 9 |  | － |  | 9 |
| Cash and investments | 2 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | 二 |  | （5） |  | － |  | 二 |  | 5 |
| Total interest income | 645 |  | 568 |  | 5 |  | 9 |  | （5） |  | 1，222 |  | 164 |  | 1，386 |
| Total interest expense | 336 |  | 173 |  | $=$ |  | 11 |  | （5） |  | 515 |  | 17 |  | 532 |
| Net interest income（loss） | 309 |  | 395 |  | 5 |  | （2） |  | － |  | 707 |  | 147 |  | 854 |
| Less：provisions for loan losses | 23 |  | 325 |  | 二 |  | 11 |  | 二 |  | 359 |  | － |  | 359 |
| Net interest income（loss）after provisions for loan losses | 286 |  | 70 |  | 5 |  | （13） |  | － |  | 348 |  | 147 |  | 495 |
| Servicing revenue | 21 |  | 19 |  | 245 |  | 1 |  | （164） |  | 122 |  | － |  | 122 |
| Contingency revenue | ， |  | － |  | 80 |  | － |  | － |  | 80 |  | － |  | 80 |
| Gains on debt repurchases | － |  | － |  | － |  | 90 |  | － |  | 90 |  | － |  | 90 |
| Other income | 二 |  | 二 |  | 11 |  | 10 |  | － |  | 21 |  | （80） |  | （59） |
| Total other income | 21 |  | 19 |  | 336 |  | 101 |  | （164） |  | 313 |  | （80） |  | 233 |
| Expenses： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct operating expenses | 188 |  | 80 |  | 118 |  | 2 |  | （164） |  | 224 |  | － |  | 224 |
| Overhead expenses | － |  | 二 |  | － |  | 63 |  | － |  | 63 |  | － |  | 63 |
| Operating expenses | 188 |  | 80 |  | 118 |  | 65 |  | （164） |  | 287 |  | － |  | 287 |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | － |  | 10 |  | 10 |
| Restructuring expenses | 19 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | ＝ |  | 25 |  | － |  | 25 |
| Total expenses | 207 |  | 82 |  | 121 |  | 66 |  | （164） |  | 312 |  | 10 |  | 322 |
| Income from continuing operations，before income tax expense | 100 |  | 7 |  | 220 |  | 22 |  | － |  | 349 |  | 57 |  | 406 |
| Income tax expense（3） | 36 |  | 2 |  | 79 |  | 10 |  | － |  | 127 |  | 32 |  | 159 |
| Net income from continuing operations | 64 |  | 5 |  | 141 |  | 12 |  | － |  | 222 |  | 25 |  | 247 |
| Loss from discontinued operations，net of taxes | ニ |  | $=$ |  | ニ |  | （7） |  | ＝ |  | （7） |  | － |  | （7） |
| Net income | \＄ 64 | s | 5 | s | 141 | \＄ | 5 | s | ＝ | \＄ | 215 | \＄ | 25 | S | 240 |

（1）The eliminations in servicing revenue and direct operating expense represent the elimination of intercompany servicing revenue where the Business Services segment performs the loan servicing function for the FFELP Loans segment．
（2）＂Core Earnings＂adjustments to GAAP

|  | Quarter Ended March 31，2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Net Impact of <br> Goodwill and <br> Acquird <br> Intangibles |
| （Dollars in millions） |  |

（3）Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for the individual reportable segment．
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## 11. Segment Reporting (Continued)

## Summary of "Core Earnings" Adjustments to GAAP

The two adjustments required to reconcile from our "Core Earnings" results to our GAAP results of operations relate to differing treatments for: (1) our use of derivatives instruments to hedge our economic risks that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment or do qualify for hedge accounting treatment but result in ineffectiveness and (2) the accounting for goodwill and acquired intangible assets. The following table reflects aggregate adjustments associated with these areas for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Three Months Ended March 31,
(Dollars in millions)

| 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | (133) | \$ | 67 |
|  | (6) |  | (10) |
|  | 54 |  | (32) |
|  | (85) | \$ | 25 |

(1) Derivative accounting: "Core Earnings" exclude periodic unrealized gains and losses that are caused by the mark-to-market derivative valuations on derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under GAAP and periodic unrealized gains and losses that are a result of ineffectiveness recognized related to effective hedges. These unrealized gains and losses occur in our FFELP Loans, Consumer Lending and Other business segments. Under GAAP, for derivatives that are held to maturity, the cumulative net unrealized gain or loss at the time of maturity will equal $\$ 0$ except for Floor Income Contracts where the cumulative unrealized gain will equal the amount for which we sold the contract. In our "Core Earnings" presentation, we recognized the economic effect of these hedges, which generally results in any net settlement cash paid or received being recognized ratably as an interest expense or revenue over the hedged item's life.
(2) Goodwill and Acquired Intangibles: We exclude goodwill and intangible impairment and amortization of acquired intangibles.
(3) Net Tax Effect: Such tax effect is based upon our "Core Earnings" effective tax rate for the year.

## SLM CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)
(Information at March 31, 2011 and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is unaudited)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts, unless otherwise noted)

## 12. Discontinued Operations

Our Purchased Paper businesses are presented in discontinued operations for the current and prior periods. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we began actively marketing our Purchased Paper - Non-Mortgage business for sale and have concluded it is probable this business will be sold within one year and that we would have no continuing involvement in this business after the sale. The Purchased Paper - Non-Mortgage business comprises operations and cash flows that can be clearly distinguished operationally and for financial reporting purposes, from the rest of the Company. As a result, we have classified the business as held for sale, and, as such, the results of operations of this business were required to be presented in discontinued operations beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010. In
connection with this classification, we are required to carry this business at the lower of fair value or historical cost basis. This resulted in us recording an after-tax loss of $\$ 52$ million from discontinued operations in the fourth quarter of 2010, primarily due to adjusting the value of this business to its estimated fair value.

The following table summarizes the discontinued assets and liabilities at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |
| Cash and equivalents | \$ 10,697 | \$ | 3,848 |
| Other assets | 148,547 |  | 176,916 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | \$159,244 | \$ | 180,764 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | \$ 5,636 | \$ | 6,300 |

At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, other assets of our discontinued operations consist primarily of the Purchased Paper -Non-Mortgage loan portfolio and a deferred tax asset for intangibles that will be realized upon the sale of our Purchased Paper - NonMortgage business. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, liabilities of our discontinued operations consist primarily of restructuring liabilities related to severance and contract termination costs.

The following table summarizes the discontinued operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Discontinued operations: | $\$(2,944)$ | $\$(9,979)$ |
| Loss from discontinued operations before income taxes | $\underline{(1,214)}$ | $(3,365)$ |
| Income tax benefit | $\underline{\$(1,730)}$ | $\underline{\$(6,614)}$ |

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

This report contains forward-looking statements and information based on management's current expectations as of the date of this document. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about our beliefs or expectations and statements that assume or are dependent upon future events, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and other factors that may cause actual results to be materially different from those reflected in such forward-looking statements. These factors include, among others, the risks and uncertainties set forth in Item 1A "Risk Factors" in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q; increases in financing costs; limits on liquidity; increases in costs associated with compliance with laws and regulations; any adverse outcomes in any significant litigation to which we are a party; credit risk associated with our exposure to third parties, including counterparties to our derivative transactions; and changes in the terms of student loans and the educational credit marketplace (including changes resulting from new laws and the implementation of existing laws). We could also be affected by, among other things: changes in our funding costs and availability; reductions to our credit ratings; failures of our operating systems or infrastructure, including those of third-party vendors; damage to our reputation; failures to successfully implement cost-cutting and restructuring initiatives and adverse effects of such initiatives on our business; changes in the demand for educational financing or in financing preferences of lenders, educational institutions, students and their families; changes in law and regulations with respect to the student lending business and financial institutions generally; increased competition from banks and other consumer lenders; the creditworthiness of our customers; changes in the general interest rate environment, including the rate relationships among relevant money-market instruments and those of our earning assets versus our funding arrangements; changes in general economic conditions; and changes in the demand for debt management services. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements also requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions including estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates or assumptions may prove to be incorrect. All forward-looking statements contained in this report are qualified by these cautionary statements and are made only as of the date of this document. We do not undertake any obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements to conform the statement to actual results or changes in our expectations.

Definitions for capitalized terms used in this document can be found in the "Glossary" at the end of this document.
Through this discussion and analysis, we intend to provide the reader with some narrative context for how our management views our consolidated financial statements, additional context within which to assess our operating results, and information on the quality and variability of our earnings, liquidity and cash flows.

## Selected Financial Information and Ratios

| (Dollars and shares in millions, except per share data) | Three Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| GAAP Basis |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 175 | \$ 240 |
| Diluted earnings per common share(1) | \$ . 32 | \$ . 45 |
| Weighted average shares used to compute diluted earnings per share | 532 | 527 |
| Return on assets | . $36 \%$ | .50\% |
| "Core Earnings" Basis(2) |  |  |
| "Core Earnings" net income | \$ 260 | \$ 215 |
| "Core Earnings" diluted earnings per common share(1) | \$ . 48 | \$ . 40 |
| Weighted average shares used to compute diluted earnings per share | 532 | 527 |
| "Core Earnings" return on assets | .54\% | .45\% |
| Other Operating Statistics(3) |  |  |
| Ending FFELP Loans, net | \$145,558 | \$146,524 |
| Ending Private Education Loans, net | 35,966 | 35,362 |
| Ending total student loans, net | $\underline{\$ 181,524}$ | $\underline{\text { \$181,886 }}$ |
| Average student loans | \$184,387 | \$181,533 |

(1) Preferred dividends of $\$ 15$ million, applicable to our convertible Series C Preferred Stock, were added back to the numerator in the year-ago quarter in computing diluted earnings per share, as the Series C Preferred Stock was dilutive. The Series C Preferred Stock was fully converted to common shares on December 15, 2010.
(2) "Core Earnings" are non-GAAP measures and do not represent a comprehensive basis of accounting. For a greater explanation of "Core Earnings," see the section titled "'Core Earnings' - Definition and Limitations" and subsequent sections.
(3) Subsequent to the adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, our GAAP and "Core Earnings" loan portfolios are identical, as all of our securitization trusts are treated as on-balance sheet for GAAP now. Hence, in referencing the total loan portfolio, ending and average loan balances, provision for loan loss and charge-offs we no longer distinguish between the two as they are the same, unless otherwise noted.

## Overview

Our primary business is to originate, service and collect loans made to students and/or their parents to finance the cost of their education. We provide funding, delivery and servicing support for education loans in the United States, through our non-federally guaranteed Private Education Loan programs and as a servicer and collector of loans for the U.S. Department of Education ("ED"). In addition we are the largest holder, servicer and collector of loans made under the Federal Family Education Loan Program ("FFELP"), a program that was recently discontinued.

We have used internal growth and strategic acquisitions to attain our leadership position in the education finance market. The core of our marketing strategy is to generate student loan originations by promoting our products on campus through the financial aid office and through direct marketing to students and their parents. These sales and marketing efforts are supported by the largest and most diversified servicing capabilities in the industry

We earn fee income by providing student loan-related services including student loan servicing, loan default aversion and defaulted loan collections, processing capabilities and information technology to educational institutions, and 529 college-savings plan program management services and a consumer savings network.

We monitor and assess our ongoing operations and results based on the following four reportable segments:

- FFELP Loans segment - Consists of our $\$ 145.6$ billion and $\$ 148.7$ billion FFELP Loan portfolio and the underlying debt and capital funding the loans for March 31,2011 and December 31, 2010,
respectively. We no longer originate FFELP Loans; however, we are actively seeking to acquire FFELP Loan portfolios. Because we no longer originate FFELP Loans the portfolio is in runoff with the weighted average remaining life of 7.75 years.
- Consumer Lending segment - We originate, acquire, finance and service Private Education Loans. The portfolio totaled $\$ 36.0$ billion and $\$ 35.7$ billion at March 31,2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We also provide savings products, primarily in the form of retail deposits, to help customers save for a college education.
- Business Services segment - In this segment we provide loan servicing to our FFELP Loans segment, ED and other third parties. We provide default aversion work and contingency collections on behalf of Guarantors, colleges, ED and other third parties. Through our Campus Solutions business we provide comprehensive financing and transaction processing solutions to college financial aid offices and students to streamline the financial aid process. We also perform account asset servicing and other transaction processing activities.
- Other segment - primarily consists of the financial results related to the repurchase of debt, the corporate liquidity portfolio and all overhead. We also include results from smaller wind-down and discontinued operations within this segment.


## Key Financial Measures

Our operating results are primarily driven by net interest income from our student loan portfolios, provision for loan losses, financing costs, costs necessary to generate new assets, the revenues and expenses generated by our service businesses and gains and losses on loan sales, debt repurchases and derivatives. We manage and assess the performance of each business segment separately as each is focused on different customer bases and derive their revenue from different activities and services. A brief summary of our key financial measures (net interest income; provision for loan losses; charge-offs and delinquencies; servicing and contingency revenues; other income/(loss); operating expenses; and "Core Earnings") can be found in Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2010 Form 10-K.

## First Quarter 2011 Summary of Results

We continue to operate in a challenging macroeconomic environment marked by high unemployment and uncertainty. On July 1 , 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 ("HCERA"), which included the SAFRA Act, eliminated FFELP Loan originations, a major source of our net income. All federal loans to students are now made through the Direct Student Loan Program ("DSLP") and as discussed above, we no longer originate FFELP Loans. In addition, on July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") that represents a comprehensive change to banking laws, imposing significant new regulation on almost every aspect of the U.S. financial services industry. A discussion of HCERA and the Dodd-Frank Act can be found in Item 1 "Business" and in Item 1A "Risk Factors" in our 2010 Form 10-K.

In this environment, we were able to achieve significant accomplishments in the first quarter of 2011 as discussed below.
We report financial results on a GAAP basis and also present certain "Core Earnings" performance measures. Our management, equity investors, credit rating agencies and debt capital providers use these "Core Earnings" measures to monitor our business performance. See "'Core Earnings' - Definition and Limitations" for a further discussion and a complete reconciliation between GAAP net income and "Core Earnings."

GAAP first quarter 2011 net income was $\$ 175$ million ( $\$ .32$ diluted earnings per share), versus $\$ 240$ million ( $\$ .45$ diluted earnings per share) in the same quarter last year. We manage our business segments on a "Core Earnings" basis. The primary difference between our "Core Earnings" and GAAP results for the first quarter of 2011 is a $\$ 133$ million unrealized, mark-to-market loss on certain derivative contracts recognized in GAAP but not in "Core Earnings" results.
"Core Earnings" were $\$ 260$ million ( $\$ .48$ diluted earnings per share) for the first quarter 2011, compared with $\$ 215$ million ( $\$ .40$ diluted earnings per share) for the year-ago period. These results include $\$ 40$ million and $\$ 57$ million of after-tax debt repurchase gains respectively. Year-over-year improvement reflects increased net interest income and a lower loan loss provision.

During the first quarter of 2011, we raised $\$ 2$ billion of unsecured debt and issued $\$ 812$ million of FFELP asset-backed securities. We also repurchased $\$ 825$ million of debt and realized gains of $\$ 64$ million, compared to $\$ 1.3$ billion and $\$ 90$ million in the year-ago quarter, respectively.

On April 20, 2011, we declared a quarterly dividend of $\$ .10$ per share on our common stock, the first since early 2007. The dividend is payable June 17,2011 , to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 3,2011. We also authorized the repurchase of up to $\$ 300$ million of outstanding common stock in open-market transactions and terminated all previous authorizations.

On April 26, 2011, we issued $\$ 562$ million in Private Education Loan asset-backed securities with a cost of funds of one-month LIBOR plus 1.99 percent.

Effective March 31, 2011, we completed the relocation of our headquarters to Newark, Delaware from Reston, Virginia.

## 2011 Management Objectives

In 2011 we have set out five major goals to create shareholder value. They are: (1) Reduce our operating expenses; (2) Maximize cash flows from FFELP Loans; (3) Prudently grow Consumer Lending segment assets and revenue; (4) Increase Business Services segment revenue; and (5) Reinstate dividends and/or share repurchases. Here is how we plan to achieve these objectives and the progress we have made to date.

## Reduce Operating Expenses

The elimination of FFELP by HCERA greatly reduced the scope of our historical revenue generating capabilities. In 2010 we originated $\$ 14$ billion of loans, 84 percent of them FFELP Loans; in 2011 we expect to originate $\$ 2.5$ billion of new loans, all of them Private Education Loans. Our FFELP related revenues will decline over the coming years. As a result, we must effectively match our cost structure to our ongoing business. We have set a goal of getting to an annualized operating expense quarterly run rate of $\$ 250$ million by the fourth quarter of 2011 and are on track to achieve this goal. Operating expenses were $\$ 303$ million in the first quarter of 2011.
Operating expenses in the first quarter of 2011 included the following $\$ 33$ million of charges: $\$ 10$ million for litigation contingencies, $\$ 11$ million for accelerated stock-based compensation expense, and $\$ 12$ million of servicing costs related to the $\$ 25$ billion student loan portfolio acquisition at the end of last year. These charges notwithstanding, we expect to achieve our quarterly operating expense target of $\$ 250$ million by the fourth quarter of 2011 .

## Maximize Cash Flows from FFELP Loans

We have a $\$ 145.6$ billion portfolio of FFELP Loans that is expected to generate significant amounts of cash flow and earnings in the coming years. We plan to reduce related costs, minimize income volatility and opportunistically purchase additional FFELP Loan portfolios like the portfolio we purchased at the end of 2010.

## Prudently Grow Consumer Lending Segment Assets and Revenue

Successfully growing Private Education Loan lending is the key component of our long-term plan to grow shareholder value. We must originate increasing numbers of high quality Private Education Loans, increase net interest margins and further reduce charge-offs and provision for loan losses. Originations were 12 percent higher in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the year-ago quarter.

## Increase Business Services Segment Revenue

Our Business Services segment is comprised of several businesses with customers related to FFELP that will experience revenue declines and several businesses with customers that provide growth opportunities. Our growth businesses are ED servicing, ED collections, other school-based asset type servicing and collections, Campus Solutions, transaction processing and 529 college-savings plan account asset servicing. We currently service 22 percent of new borrower loan originations under the ED Servicing Contract. This volume will grow organically as more loans are originated under DSLP. Our goal is to further expand our market share and broaden the services we provide to ED and other third party servicing clients. We can expand our market share under the ED Servicing Contract by having a better performance ranking than the three other servicing companies. Campus Solutions is a business line that we expect to grow by expanding our product offerings and leveraging our deep relationships with colleges and universities. During the quarter, we announced a Sallie Mae Bank No-Fee Student Checking Account with Debit as an enhanced refund disbursement choice for schools and students to help higher education institutions rapidly process financial aid and tuition refunds. This new option complements existing refund disbursement choices that include electronic deposit to the bank account of the student's choice, debit card or a check. Assets under management in 529 college-savings plans total $\$ 37.0$ billion and have been growing at a rate of 21 percent over the last three years. Our goal is to service additional 529 plans.

## Reinstate Dividends and/or Share Repurchases

Our objective was to begin either paying dividends or repurchasing shares, or a combination of both, in the second half of 2011. On April 20, 2011, we declared a quarterly dividend of $\$ .10$ per share on our common stock, the first since early 2007. The dividend is payable June 17,2011, to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 3,2011. We also authorized the repurchase of up to $\$ 300$ million of outstanding common stock in open-market transactions and terminated all previous authorizations.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We present the results of operations below first on a consolidated basis in accordance with GAAP．Following our discussion of consolidated earnings results on a GAAP basis，we present our results on a segment basis．We have four business segments：FFELP Loans， Consumer Lending，Business Services and Other．Since these segments operate in distinct business environments and we manage and evaluate the financial performance of these segments using non－GAAP financial measures，these segments are presented on a＂Core Earnings＂basis（see＂＇Core Earnings＇Definition and Limitations＂）．

## GAAP Statements of Income（Unaudited）

| （Dollars in millions，except per share data） | Three Months Ended March 31， |  |  |  | Increase（Decrease） March 31， 2011 vs． March 31， 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | \＄ |  | \％ |
| Interest income： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Loans | \＄ | 877 | \＄ | 807 | \＄ | 70 | 9\％ |
| Private Education Loans |  | 604 |  | 565 |  | 39 | 7 |
| Other loans |  | 6 |  | 9 |  | （3） | （33） |
| Cash and investments |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | － | － |
| Total interest income |  | 1，492 |  | 1，386 |  | 106 | 8 |
| Total interest expense |  | 594 |  | 532 |  | 62 | 12 |
| Net interest income |  | 898 |  | 854 |  | 44 | 5 |
| Less：provisions for loan losses |  | 303 |  | 359 |  | （56） | （16） |
| Net interest income after provisions for loan losses |  | 595 |  | 495 |  | 100 | 20 |
| Other income（loss）： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gains on sales of loans and securities，net |  | － |  | 9 |  | （9） | （100） |
| Losses on derivative and hedging activities，net |  | （242） |  | （82） |  | （160） | 195 |
| Servicing revenue |  | 98 |  | 122 |  | （24） | （20） |
| Contingency revenue |  | 78 |  | 80 |  | （2） | （3） |
| Gains on debt repurchases |  | 38 |  | 90 |  | （52） | （58） |
| Other income |  | 22 |  | 14 |  | 8 | 57 |
| Total other income（loss） |  | （6） |  | 233 |  | （239） | （103） |
| Expenses： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating expenses |  | 303 |  | 287 |  | 16 | 6 |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization expense |  | 6 |  | 10 |  | （4） | （40） |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 4 |  | 25 |  | （21） | （84） |
| Total expenses |  | 313 |  | 322 |  | （9） | （3） |
| Income from continuing operations，before income tax expense |  | 276 |  | 406 |  | （130） | （32） |
| Income tax expense |  | 99 |  | 159 |  | （60） | （38） |
| Net income from continuing operations |  | 177 |  | 247 |  | （70） | （28） |
| Loss from discontinued operations，net of tax |  | （2） |  | （7） |  | 5 | （71） |
| Net income |  | 175 |  | 240 |  | （65） | （27） |
| Preferred stock dividends |  | 4 |  | 19 |  | （15） | （79） |
| Net income attributable to common stock | \＄ | 171 | \＄ | 221 | \＄ | （50） | （23）$\%$ |
| Basic earnings（loss）per common share： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuing operations | \＄ | ． 32 | \＄ | ． 47 | \＄ | （．15） | （32）\％ |
| Discontinued operations |  | 二 |  | （．01） |  | ． 01 | 100 |
| Total | \＄ | ． 32 | \＄ | ． 46 | \＄ | （．14） | （30）$\%$ |
| Diluted earnings（loss）per common share： |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Continuing operations | \＄ | ． 32 | \＄ | ． 46 | \＄ | （．14） | （30）\％ |
| Discontinued operations |  | － |  | （．01） |  | ． 01 | 100 |
| Total | \＄ | ． 32 | \＄ | ． 45 | \＄ | （．13） | （29）\％ |
| Dividends per common share | \＄ | 二 | \＄ | － | \＄ | － | 二\％ |

## Consolidated Earnings Summary - GAAP-basis

## Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2010

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, net income was $\$ 175$ million, or $\$ .32$ diluted earnings per common share, and $\$ 240$ million, or $\$ .45$ diluted earnings per common share, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 , net income from continuing operations was $\$ 177$ million, or $\$ .32$ diluted earnings per common share, and $\$ 247$ million, or $\$ .46$ diluted earnings per common share, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, net loss from discontinued operations was $\$ 2$ million, which had less than 1 cent per share effect on diluted loss per common share, and $\$ 7$ million, or $\$ .01$ diluted loss per common share, respectively.

## Income from Continuing Operations

Income from continuing operations before income tax expenses decreased $\$ 130$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared with the year-ago quarter primarily due to a $\$ 160$ million increase in net losses on derivative and hedging activities, a $\$ 52$ million decrease in gains on debt repurchases and a $\$ 24$ million decline in servicing revenue. These reductions were partially offset by a $\$ 100$ million increase in net interest income after provisions for loan losses.

The primary contributors to each of the identified drivers of changes in income from continuing operations before income tax expense for the year-over-year period are as follows:

- Net interest income increased by $\$ 44$ million primarily as a result of the replacement of the $\$ 20$ billion of lower margin loans sold to ED in the third quarter of 2010, by the $\$ 25$ billion in higher margin securitized loans acquired on December 31, 2010 Offsetting these items was primarily the impact of the higher funding costs in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the first quarter of 2010
- Provisions for loan losses decreased by $\$ 56$ million in the quarter ended March 31, 2011 from the quarter ended March 31, 2010 primarily as a result of the improving performance of the Private Education Loan portfolio.
- Losses on derivatives and hedging activities, net, declined by $\$ 160$ million in the quarter ended March 31 , 2011 compared with the year-ago quarter. The primary factors affecting the change in losses in the first quarter of 2011 were interest rates and foreign currency fluctuations. Valuations of derivative instruments vary based upon many factors including changes in interest rates, credit risk, foreign currency fluctuations and other market factors. As a result, we expect gains and (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities, net, to vary significantly in future periods.
- Servicing revenue decreased by $\$ 24$ million primarily due to H.R. 4872 , HCERA, which included the SAFRA Act, signed into law on March 30, 2010. Effective July 1,2010, this legislation eliminated the FFELP, thereby eliminating our ability to earn Guarantor issuance fees on new FFELP Loans. In addition there was a decline in outstanding FFELP Loans on which we earn other loan servicing fees.
- Gains on debt repurchases decreased $\$ 52$ million year-over-year while the principal amount of debt repurchased decreased to $\$ 825$ million, as compared with the $\$ 1.3$ billion repurchased in the quarter ended March 31, 2010.
- Operating expenses increased $\$ 16$ million from the year-ago quarter primarily due to $\$ 33$ million of expenses in the first quarter of 2011 related to $\$ 10$ million in litigation contingency expense, $\$ 11$ million from the acceleration of stock-based compensation expense and $\$ 12$ million third-party servicing expense related to the $\$ 25$ billion loan portfolio acquired on December 31, 2010. These significant expenses were partially offset by reduced costs as a result of our cost savings initiative.
- Restructuring expenses decreased $\$ 22$ million in the first quarter of 2011 , which is a result of a $\$ 21$ million decrease in restructuring expenses in continuing operations and a $\$ 1$ million decrease in restructuring expenses attributable to discontinued operations. The decline in restructuring expenses
was the result of our having materially completed our plan for restructuring the Company during 2010 in response to the HCERA legislation. The following details our ongoing restructuring efforts:
- Restructuring our operations in response to HCERA and the elimination of the FFELP requires a significant reduction of operating costs from the elimination of positions and facilities associated with the origination of FFELP Loans. Expenses associated with continuing operations under this restructuring plan were $\$ 3$ million in the first quarter of 2011 and $\$ 23$ million in the first quarter of 2010 . We currently expect to incur an estimated $\$ 9$ million of additional restructuring costs in 2011 . The majority of these expenses are severance costs related to the partially completed and planned elimination of approximately 2,500 positions, approximately 30 percent of our work force that existed as of the first quarter of 2010.
- In response to the College Cost Reduction and Access Act of 2007 ("CCRAA") and challenges in the capital markets, we also initiated a restructuring plan in the fourth quarter of 2007. The majority of these restructuring expenses were also severance costs related to the elimination of approximately 3,000 positions, or approximately 25 percent of our workforce that existed as of the fourth quarter 2007. Under this ongoing plan, restructuring expenses associated with continuing operations were $\$ .3$ million and $\$ 2$ million for the quarters ended March 31 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.
- Income tax expense from continuing operations decreased $\$ 60$ million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 as compared with the year-ago quarter. The effective tax rates for the first quarters of 2011 and 2010 were 36 percent and 39 percent, respectively The change in the effective tax rate for the quarter ended March 31,2011 was primarily driven by the impact of state tax rate changes recorded in the year-ago quarter.


## Net Loss from Discontinued Operations

Net loss from discontinued operations in the three months ended March 31,2011 decreased $\$ 5$ million from the year-ago period. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we began actively marketing our Purchased Paper - Non-Mortgage business for sale and have concluded it is probable this business will be sold within one year at which time we would exit the business. As a result, the results of operations of this business were also required to be presented in discontinued operations beginning in the fourth quarter of 2010. Our Purchased Paper businesses are presented in discontinued operations for the current and prior periods.

## "Core Earnings" - Definition and Limitations

We prepare financial statements in accordance with GAAP. However, we also evaluate our business segments on a basis that differs from GAAP. We refer to this different basis of presentation as "Core Earnings". We provide this "Core Earnings" basis of presentation on a consolidated basis for each business segment because this is what we internally review when making management decisions regarding our performance and how we allocate resources. We also refer to this information in our presentations with equity investors, credit rating agencies and debt capital providers. Because our "Core Earnings" basis of presentation corresponds to our segment financial presentations, we are required by GAAP to provide "Core Earnings" disclosure in the notes to our consolidated financial statements for our business segments.
"Core Earnings" are not a substitute for reported results under GAAP. We use "Core Earnings" to manage each business segment because "Core Earnings" reflect adjustments to GAAP financial results for two items, discussed below, that create significant volatility mostly due to timing factors generally beyond the control of management. Accordingly, we believe that "Core Earnings" provide management with a useful basis from which to better evaluate results from ongoing operations against the business plan or against results from prior periods. Consequently, we disclose this information as we believe it provides investors with additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely assessed by management. The two items adjusted for in our "Core Earnings" presentations are: (1) our use of derivatives instruments to hedge our economic risks that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment or do qualify for hedge accounting treatment but result in ineffectiveness and (2) the accounting for goodwill and acquired intangible assets.

While GAAP provides a uniform, comprehensive basis of accounting, for the reasons described above, our "Core Earnings" basis of presentation does not. "Core Earnings" are subject to certain general and specific limitations that investors should carefully consider. For example, there is no comprehensive, authoritative guidance for management reporting. Our "Core Earnings" are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Accordingly, our "Core Earnings" presentation does not represent a comprehensive basis of accounting. Investors, therefore, may not be able to compare our performance with that of other financial services companies based upon "Core Earnings." "Core Earnings" results are only meant to supplement GAAP results by providing additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely used by management, our board of directors, rating agencies, lenders and investors to assess performance.

Specific adjustments that management makes to GAAP results to derive our "Core Earnings" basis of presentation are described in detail in the section entitled " 'Core Earnings' - Definition and Limitations - Differences between 'Core Earnings' and GAAP" below.
The following tables show "Core Earnings" for each business segment and our business as a whole along with the adjustments
made to the income/expense items to reconcile the amounts to our reported GAAP results as required by GAAP and reported in
"Note 11 - Segment Reporting."
Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FFELP } \\ & \text { Loans } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Consumer } \\ & \text { Lending } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Business Services | Other | Eliminations(1) | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total "Core } \\ \text { Earnings" }\end{array}$ | $\underline{\text { Adjustments(2) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { GAAP } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student loans | 736 | 604 | - |  | - | 1,340 | 141 | S 1,481 |
| Other loans |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and investments | 1 | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{3}$ |  | (3) | 5 | $\underline{\square}$ | 5 |
| Total interst income | 737 | 607 | 3 | 7 | (3) | 1,351 | 141 | 1,492 |
| Total interest expense | 370 | 197 | = | 15 | (3) | 579 | 15 | 594 |
| Net interest income | 367 | 410 | 3 | (8) | - | 772 | 126 | 898 |
| Less: provisions for loan losses | 23 | 275 | - | 5 | = | 303 |  | 303 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses | 344 | 135 | 3 | (13) |  | 469 | 126 | 595 |
| Servicing revenue | 25 | 17 | 245 |  | (189) | 98 |  | 98 |
| Contingency revenue |  |  | 78 |  |  | 78 |  | 78 |
| Gains on debt repurchases | - | - |  | 64 | - | 64 | (26) | 38 |
| Other income (loss) |  |  | 11 | 2 |  | 13 | (233) | (220) |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct operating expenses | 195 | 82 | 128 | 8 | (189) | 224 | - | 224 |
| Overhead expenses | - |  |  | 79 | - | 79 | $=$ | 79 |
| Operating expenses 195 82 128 87 $(189)$ 303 - 303 <br> Coodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and <br> amortization - - - - - - 6 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restructuring expenses | -1 | - 1 | -1 | -1 | - | - 4 |  | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income tax expense (benefit)(3) | 64 | 25 | 76 | (12) | $=$ | 153 | (54) | 99 |
| Net income (loss) from continuing operations | 109 | 44 | 132 | (23) |  | 262 | (85) |  |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes |  |  |  | (2) | - | (2) |  | (2) |
| Net income (loss) | s 109 | 44 | s 132 | $\stackrel{\text { s (25) }}{ }$ | s | 260 | (85) | s 175 |

(1) The eliminations in servicing revenue and direct operating expense represent the elimination of intercompany servicing revenue where the Business Services segment performs the loan servicing function for the FFELP Loans segment.
(2) "Core Earnings" adjustments to GAAP:
Total "Core Earnings" adjustments to GAAP

| Quarter Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Impact of Derivative Accounting |  | Net Impactof Goodwill andAcquiredIntangibles |  | Total |
| \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 126 \\ & (259) \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 6 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 126 \\ (259) \\ \quad 6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ | (133) | S | (6) | (139) |

Income tax benefit
Net loss
(3) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for the individual reportable segment.

| (Dollars in millions) | FFELP <br> Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  | ee M | n | March |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Consumer Lending |  | Business Services |  | Other |  | Eliminations(1) |  | Total "Core Earnings" |  | Adjustments(2) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { GAAP } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student loans | \$ | 643 | \$ | 565 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,208 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 1,372 |
| Other loans |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9 |  | - |  | 9 |  | - |  | 9 |
| Cash and investments |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | - |  | (5) |  | 5 |  | - |  | 5 |
| Total interest income |  | 645 |  | 568 |  | 5 |  | 9 |  | (5) |  | 1,222 |  | 164 |  | 1,386 |
| Total interest expense |  | 336 |  | 173 |  | 二 |  | 11 |  | (5) |  | 515 |  | 17 |  | 532 |
| Net interest income (loss) |  | 309 |  | 395 |  | 5 |  | (2) |  | - |  | 707 |  | 147 |  | 854 |
| Less: provisions for loan losses |  | 23 |  | 325 |  | 二 |  | 11 |  | - |  | 359 |  | - |  | 359 |
| Net interest income (loss) after provisions for loan losses |  | 286 |  | 70 |  | 5 |  | (13) |  | - |  | 348 |  | 147 |  | 495 |
| Servicing revenue |  | 21 |  | 19 |  | 245 |  | 1 |  | (164) |  | 122 |  | - |  | 122 |
| Contingency revenue |  | - |  | - |  | 80 |  | - |  | - |  | 80 |  | - |  | 80 |
| Gains on debt repurchases |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 90 |  | - |  | 90 |  | - |  | 90 |
| Other income |  | - |  | - |  | 11 |  | 10 |  | - |  | 21 |  | (80) |  | (59) |
| Total other income |  | 21 |  | 19 |  | 336 |  | 101 |  | (164) |  | 313 |  | (80) |  | 233 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct operating expenses |  | 188 |  | 80 |  | 118 |  | 2 |  | (164) |  | 224 |  | - |  | 224 |
| Overhead expenses |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 63 |  | - |  | 63 |  | - |  | 63 |
| Operating expenses |  | 188 |  | 80 |  | 118 |  | 65 |  | (164) |  | 287 |  | - |  | 287 |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 10 |  | 10 |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 19 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | = |  | 25 |  | - |  | 25 |
| Total expenses |  | 207 |  | 82 |  | 121 |  | 66 |  | (164) |  | 312 |  | 10 |  | 322 |
| Income from continuing operations, before income tax expense |  | 100 |  | 7 |  | 220 |  | 22 |  | - |  | 349 |  | 57 |  | 406 |
| Income tax expense(3) |  | 36 |  | 2 |  | 79 |  | 10 |  | - |  | 127 |  | 32 |  | 159 |
| Net income from continuing operations |  | 64 |  | 5 |  | 141 |  | 12 |  | - |  | 222 |  | 25 |  | 247 |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of taxes |  | = |  | - |  | = |  | (7) |  | $=$ |  | (7) |  | = |  | (7) |
| Net income | \$ | 64 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 141 | \$ | 5 | \$ | - | \$ | 215 | \$ | 25 | \$ | 240 |

(1) The eliminations in servicing revenue and direct operating expense represent the elimination of intercompany servicing revenue where the Business Services segment performs the loan servicing function for the FFELP Loans segment.
(2) "Core Earnings" adjustments to GAAP:

| (Dollars in millions) | Quarter Ended March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Net Impact of Derivative Accounting |  | Net Impact <br> of Goodwill and <br> Acquired <br> Intangibles |  | Total |
| Net interest income after provisions for loan losses | \$ | 147 | \$ | - | \$ 147 |
| Total other loss |  | (80) |  |  | (80) |
| Goodwill and acquired intangible assets impairment and amortization |  | - |  | 10 | 10 |
| Total "Core Earnings" adjustments to GAAP | S | 67 | S | (10) | 57 |
| Income tax expense |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| Net income |  |  |  |  | \$ 25 |

(3) Income taxes are based on a percentage of net income before tax for the individual reportable segment.

## Differences between "Core Earnings" and GAAP

The following discussion summarizes the differences between "Core Earnings" and GAAP net income, and details each specific adjustment required to reconcile our "Core Earnings" segment presentation to our GAAP earnings.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| "Core Earnings" | \$ | 260 | \$ | 215 |
| "Core Earnings" adjustments: |  |  |  |  |
| Net impact of derivative accounting |  | (133) |  | 67 |
| Net impact of goodwill and acquired intangibles |  | (6) |  | (10) |
| Total "Core Earnings" adjustments before income tax effect |  | (139) |  | 57 |
| Net income tax effect |  | 54 |  | (32) |
| Total "Core Earnings" adjustments |  | (85) |  | 25 |
| GAAP net income | \$ | 175 | \$ | 240 |

1) Derivative Accounting: "Core Earnings" exclude periodic unrealized gains and losses that are caused by the mark-to-market valuations on derivatives that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under GAAP and periodic unrealized gains and losses that are a result of ineffectiveness recognized related to effective hedges. These unrealized gains and losses occur in our FFELP Loans, Consumer Lending and Other business segments. Under GAAP, for derivatives that are held to maturity, the cumulative net unrealized gain or loss at the time of maturity will equal $\$ 0$ except for Floor Income Contracts where the cumulative unrealized gain will equal the amount for which we sold the contract. In our "Core Earnings" presentation, we recognize the economic effect of these hedges, which generally results in any net settlement cash paid or received being recognized ratably as an interest expense or revenue over the hedged item's life.

The accounting for derivatives requires that changes in the fair value of derivative instruments be recognized currently in earnings, with no fair value adjustment of the hedged item, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. We believe that our derivatives are effective economic hedges, and as such, are a critical element of our interest rate and foreign currency risk management strategy. However, some of our derivatives, primarily Floor Income Contracts and certain basis swaps, do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment and the stand-alone derivative must be marked-to-market in the income statement with no consideration for the corresponding change in fair value of the hedged item. These gains and losses recorded in "Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net" are primarily caused by interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate volatility and changing credit spreads during the period as well as the volume and term of derivatives not receiving hedge accounting treatment.

Our Floor Income Contracts are written options that must meet more stringent requirements than other hedging relationships to achieve hedge effectiveness. Specifically, our Floor Income Contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment because the pay down of principal of the student loans underlying the Floor Income embedded in those student loans does not exactly match the change in the notional amount of our written Floor Income Contracts. Under derivatives accounting treatment, the upfront payment is deemed a liability and changes in fair value are recorded through income throughout the life of the contract. The change in the value of Floor Income Contracts is primarily caused by changing interest rates that cause the amount of Floor Income earned on the underlying student loans and paid to the counterparties to vary. This is economically offset by the change in value of the student loan portfolio earning Floor Income but that offsetting change in value is not recognized. We believe the Floor Income Contracts are economic hedges because they effectively fix the amount of Floor Income earned over the contract period, thus eliminating the timing and uncertainty that changes in interest rates can have on Floor Income for that period. Therefore, for purposes of "Core Earnings", we have removed the unrealized gains and losses related to these contracts and added back the amortization of the net premiums received on the Floor Income Contracts. The amortization of
the net premiums received on the Floor Income Contracts for "Core Earnings" is reflected in student loan interest income. Under GAAP accounting, the premium received on the Floor Income Contracts is recorded as revenue in the "gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities, net" line item by the end of the contracts' life.

Basis swaps are used to convert floating rate debt from one floating interest rate index to another to better match the interest rate characteristics of the assets financed by that debt. We primarily use basis swaps to hedge our student loan assets that are primarily indexed to a commercial paper, Prime or Treasury bill index. In addition, we use basis swaps to convert debt indexed to the Consumer Price Index to three-month LIBOR debt. The accounting for derivatives requires that when using basis swaps, the change in the cash flows of the hedge effectively offset both the change in the cash flows of the asset and the change in the cash flows of the liability. Our basis swaps hedge variable interest rate risk; however, they generally do not meet this effectiveness test because the index of the swap does not exactly match the index of the hedged assets as required for hedge accounting treatment. Additionally, some of our FFELP Loans can earn at either a variable or a fixed interest rate depending on market interest rates and therefore swaps written on the FFELP Loans do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting treatment. As a result, under GAAP, these swaps are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value reflected currently in the income statement.

The table below quantifies the adjustments for derivative accounting on our net income for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| "Core Earnings" derivative adjustments: |  |  |  |  |
| Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net, included in other income(1) | \$ | (242) | \$ | (82) |
| Plus: Realized losses on derivative and hedging activities, net(1) |  | 186 |  | 204 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net |  | (56) |  | 122 |
| Amortization of net premiums on Floor Income Contracts in net interest income for "Core Earnings" |  | (85) |  | (53) |
| Other pre-change in derivatives accounting adjustments |  | 8 |  | (2) |
| Total net impact derivative accounting ${ }^{(2)}$ | \$ | (133) | \$ | 67 |

(1) See "Reclassification of Realized Gains (Losses) on Derivative and Hedging Activities" below for a detailed breakdown of the components of
realized losses on derivative and hedging activities.
(2) Negative amounts are subtracted from "Core Earnings" net income to arrive at GAAP net income and positive amounts are added to "Core
Earnings" net income to arrive at GAAP net income.

Reclassification of Realized Gains (Losses) on Derivative and Hedging Activities
The accounting for derivative instruments requires net settlement income/expense on derivatives and realized gains/losses related to derivative dispositions (collectively referred to as "realized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities") that do not qualify as hedges to be recorded in a separate income statement line item below net interest income. Under our "Core Earnings" presentation, these gains and (losses) are reclassified to the income statement line item of the economically hedged item. For our "Core Earnings" net interest margin, this would primarily include: (a) reclassifying the net settlement amounts related to our Floor Income Contracts to student loan interest income and (b) reclassifying the net settlement amounts related to certain of our basis swaps to debt interest expense. The table below summarizes the realized losses on
derivative and hedging activities and the associated reclassification on a "Core Earnings" basis for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Reclassification of realized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net settlement expense on Floor Income Contracts reclassified to net interest income |  | (226) | \$ | (210) |
| Net settlement income on interest rate swaps reclassified to net interest income |  | 16 |  | 6 |
| Foreign exchange derivatives losses reclassified to other income |  | (1) |  | - |
| Net realized gains (losses) on terminated derivative contracts reclassified to other income |  | 25 |  | - |
| Total reclassifications of realized losses on derivative and hedging activities |  | (186) |  | (204) |
| Add: Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net(1) |  | (56) |  | 122 |
| Losses on derivative and hedging activities, net |  | (242) | \$ | (82) |

(1) "Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net" comprises the following unrealized mark-to-market gains (losses):

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Floor Income Contracts | \$ | 151 | \$ | 19 |
| Basis swaps |  | (6) |  | 63 |
| Foreign currency hedges |  | (194) |  | 8 |
| Other |  | (7) |  | 32 |
| Total unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net | \$ | $\stackrel{(56)}{ }$ | \$ | 122 |

2) Goodwill and Acquired Intangibles: Our "Core Earnings" exclude goodwill and intangible impairment and the amortization of acquired intangibles. The following table summarizes the goodwill and acquired intangible adjustments for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| "Core Earnings" goodwill and acquired intangibles adjustments(1): |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of acquired intangibles from continuing operations | \$ | (6) | \$ | (10) |
| Total "Core Earnings" goodwill and acquired intangibles adjustments | \$ |  | \$ | (10) |

[^6]
## Business Segment Earnings Summary - "Core Earnings" Basis

FFELP Loans Segment
The following table includes "Core Earnings" results for our FFELP Loans segment.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Increase (Decrease) } \\ \hline 2011 \text { vs. } 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |  |
| "Core Earnings" interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Loans | \$ |  | \$ | 643 | 14\% |
| Cash and investments |  | 1 |  | 2 | (50) |
| Total "Core Earnings" interest income |  | 737 |  | 645 | 14 |
| Total "Core Earnings" interest expense |  | 370 |  | 336 | 10 |
| Net "Core Earnings" interest income |  | 367 |  | 309 | 19 |
| Less: provisions for loan losses |  | 23 |  | 23 | - |
| Net "Core Earnings" interest income after provisions for loan losses |  | 344 |  | 286 | 20 |
| Servicing revenue |  | 25 |  | 21 | 19 |
| Direct operating expenses |  | 195 |  | 188 | 4 |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 1 |  | 19 | (95) |
| Total expenses |  | 196 |  | 207 | (5) |
| Income from continuing operations, before income tax expense |  | 173 |  | 100 | 73 |
| Income tax expense |  | 64 |  | 36 | 78 |
| "Core Earnings" | \$ | 109 | \$ | 64 | 70\% |

"Core Earnings" from the FFELP Loan segment were $\$ 109$ million in the first quarter of 2011, compared with $\$ 64$ million in the year-ago quarter. The increase was primarily driven by the purchase of $\$ 25$ billion of loans at the end of last year. Key financial measures:

- Net interest margin of .98 percent in the first quarter of 2011 compared with .83 percent in the year-ago quarter.
- The provision of loan losses of $\$ 23$ million in the first quarter of 2011 remained unchanged from the year-ago quarter.


## FFELP Loans Net Interest Margin

The following table shows the FFELP Loans "Core Earnings" net interest margin along with reconciliation to the GAAP-basis FFELP Loans net interest margin.

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP student loan yield | 2.63\% | 2.50\% |
| Hedged Floor Income | . 23 | . 18 |
| Unhedged Floor Income | . 08 | . 01 |
| Consolidation Loan Rebate Fees | (.66) | (.60) |
| Repayment Borrower Benefits | (.10) | (.09) |
| Premium amortization | (.15) | (.20) |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP student loan net yield | 2.03 | 1.80 |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP student loan cost of funds | (.96) | (.89) |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP student loan spread | 1.07 | . 91 |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP other asset spread impact | (.09) | (.08) |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP Loans net interest margin(1) | .98\% | .83\% |
| "Core Earnings" basis FFELP Loans net interest margin(1) | .98\% | .83\% |
| Adjustment for GAAP accounting treatment | . 35 | . 41 |
| GAAP-basis FFELP Loans net interest margin(1) | 1.33\% | 1.24\% |

> (1) The average balances of our FFELP interest-earning assets for the respective periods are:

| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| FFELP Loans | $\$ 147,381$ | $\$ 144,854$ |
| Other interest-earning assets | $\frac{5,016}{}$ | $\overline{5,661}$ |
| Total FFELP "Core Earnings" basis interest-earning assets | $\underline{\$ 152,397}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 150,515}}$ |

The "Core Earnings" basis FFELP Loans net interest margin for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 increased by 15 basis points from the year-ago quarter. This was primarily the result of the replacement of the $\$ 20$ billion lower margin loans sold to ED in third quarter 2010 by the $\$ 25$ billion in higher margin loans acquired on December 31, 2010 and an increase in Floor Income. These increases were partially offset by a higher cost of funds in the current quarter as higher cost debt has been issued in the past year compared to the historical funding portfolio.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our FFELP Loan portfolio was comprised of $\$ 54.4$ billion and $\$ 56.3$ billion of FFELP Stafford and $\$ 91.2$ billion and $\$ 92.4$ billion of FFELP Consolidation Loans, respectively. The weighted average life of these portfolios was 4.9 years and 9.4 years, at both March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, assuming a CPR of 6 percent and 3 percent, respectively.

## Floor Income

The following table analyzes the ability of the FFELP Loans in our portfolio to earn Floor Income after March 31, 2011 and 2010, based on interest rates as of those dates.

| (Dollars in billions) | March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  | March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fixed Borrower Rate |  | Variable Borrower Rate |  | Total | FixedBorrowerRate |  | Variable Borrower Rate |  | Total |  |
| Student loans eligible to earn Floor Income | \$ | 123.7 | \$ | 19.5 | \$143.2 | \$ | 123.5 | \$ | 19.5 |  | \$143.0 |
| Less: post-March 31, 2006 disbursed loans required to rebate Floor Income |  | (65.6) |  | (1.3) | (66.9) |  | (71.6) |  | (1.2) |  | (72.8) |
| Less: economically hedged Floor Income Contracts |  | (35.0) |  | - | (35.0) |  | (40.9) |  | - |  | (40.9) |
| Student loans eligible to earn Floor Income | \$ | 23.1 | \$ | 18.2 | \$ 41.3 | \$ | 11.0 | \$ | 18.3 |  | \$ 29.3 |
| Student loans earning Floor Income | \$ | 23.0 | \$ | 2.7 | \$ 25.7 | \$ | 11.0 | \$ | 2.9 |  | \$ 13.9 |

We have sold Floor Income Contracts to hedge the potential Floor Income from specifically identified pools of FFELP Consolidation Loans that are eligible to earn Floor Income.

The following table presents a projection of the average balance of FFELP Consolidation Loans for which Fixed Rate Floor Income has been economically hedged through Floor Income Contracts for the period April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2016. The hedges related to these loans do not qualify as effective hedges.
(Dollars in billions)
December 31, $2011 \quad \underline{2012} \quad \underline{2013} \quad \underline{2015} \quad \underline{2016}$
Average balance of FFELP Consolidation Loans whose Floor Income is economically hedged
$\underline{\underline{\$}} 39.3 \underline{\underline{\$ 38.3}} \underline{\underline{\$ 32.6}} \underline{\underline{\$ 27.2}}$

## FFELP Provisions for Loan Losses and Loan Charge-Offs

The following tables summarize the total FFELP provisions for loan losses and FFELP Loan charge-offs for the three months March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| FFELP Loan provisions for loan losses |  |  |  | 23 |
| FFELP Loan charge-offs |  | 20 |  | 21 |

## Servicing Revenue and Other Income - FFELP Loans Segment

The following table summarizes the components of other income for our FFELP Loans segment for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Servicing revenue | \$ | 25 | \$ | 21 |
| Losses on sales of loans and securities, net |  | - |  | (2) |
| Other |  | - |  | 2 |
| Total other income, net | \$ | 25 | \$ | 21 |

Servicing revenue for our FFELP Loans segment primarily consists of borrower late fees.

## Operating Expenses - FFELP Loans Segment

Operating expenses for our FFELP Loans segment primarily include an intercompany charge from the Business Services segment which services the loans (which is based upon either the contractual rates we are paid to service loans that are within a term financing vehicle or a similar rate if a loan is not in a term financing facility), the fees we pay for third party loan servicing and costs incurred to acquire loans. For the three months ended March 31,2011 and 2010, operating expenses for our FFELP Loans segment totaled $\$ 195$ million and $\$ 188$ million, respectively. The increase in operating expenses in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the year-ago quarter was primarily the increase in servicing costs related to the $\$ 25$ billion loan portfolio acquisition on December 31, 2010. The intercompany servicing revenue charged from the Business Services segment and included in those amounts was $\$ 189$ million and $\$ 164$ million for the three months ended March 31,2011 and 2010, respectively. Operating expenses, excluding restructuring-related asset impairments, were 54 basis points and 52 basis points of average FFELP Loans in the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

## Consumer Lending Segment

The following table includes "Core Earnings" results for our Consumer Lending segment

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { \% Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) } \end{array} \\ \hline 2011 \text { vs. } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |  |
| "Core Earnings" interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Education Loans | \$ | 604 | \$ | 565 | 7\% |
| Cash and investments |  | 3 |  | 3 | - |
| Total "Core Earnings" interest income |  | 607 |  | 568 | 7 |
| Total "Core Earnings" interest expense |  | 197 |  | 173 | 14 |
| Net "Core Earnings" interest income |  | 410 |  | 395 | 4 |
| Less: provisions for loan losses |  | 275 |  | 325 | (15) |
| Net "Core Earnings" interest income after provisions for loan losses |  | 135 |  | 70 | 93 |
| Servicing revenue |  | 17 |  | 19 | (11) |
| Direct operating expenses |  | 82 |  | 80 | 3 |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 1 |  | 2 | (50) |
| Total expenses |  | 83 |  | 82 | 1 |
| Income before income tax expense |  | 69 |  | 7 | 886 |
| Income tax expense |  | 25 |  | 2 | 1,150 |
| "Core Earnings" | \$ | 44 | \$ | 5 | 780\% |

First quarter 2011 "Core Earnings" from this segment improved to $\$ 44$ million from $\$ 5$ million in the year-ago quarter. Loan delinquencies and charge-offs (each as a percentage of loans in repayment) improved during the quarter to the lowest levels since December 31, 2008. Key financial measures are:

- Private Education Loan originations were $\$ 940$ million, up 12 percent from the year-ago quarter's $\$ 840$ million.
- Net interest margin of 4.11 percent in the first quarter of 2011 compared with 3.84 percent in the year-ago quarter
- A provision for loan losses of $\$ 275$ million in the first quarter of 2011 , compared with $\$ 325$ million in the year-ago quarter.
- Charge-offs were $\$ 273$ million in the first quarter of 2011 versus $\$ 284$ million in the year-ago quarter, which equated to annualized charge-off rates of 3.9 percent in the first quarter of 2011 versus 4.7 percent in the year-ago quarter.
- Delinquencies of 90 days or later (as a percentage of loans in repayment) declined to 5.1 percent in the first quarter of 2011 from 6.4 percent in the year-ago quarter.


## Consumer Lending Net Interest Margin

The following table shows the Consumer Lending "Core Earnings" net interest margin along with reconciliation to the GAAP-basis Consumer Lending net interest margin before provisions for loan losses.

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| "Core Earnings" basis Private Education Student Loan yield | 6.36\% | 5.99\% |
| Discount amortization | . 26 | . 26 |
| "Core Earnings" basis Private Education Loan net yield | 6.62 | 6.25 |
| "Core Earnings" basis Private Education Loan cost of funds | (1.97) | (1.69) |
| "Core Earnings" basis Private Education Loan spread | 4.65 | 4.56 |
| "Core Earnings" basis other asset spread impact | (.54) | (.72) |
| "Core Earnings" basis Consumer Lending net interest margin(1) | 4.11\% | 3.84\% |
| "Core Earnings" basis Consumer Lending net interest margin(1) | 4.11\% | 3.84\% |
| Adjustment for GAAP accounting treatment | (.04) | (.01) |
| GAAP-basis Consumer Lending net interest margin(1) | 4.07\% | 3.83\% |

(1) The average balances of our Consumer Lending interest-earning assets for the respective periods are:

## (Dollars in millions)

| Private Education Loans | \$37,006 | \$36,679 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other interest-earning assets | 3,360 | 5,071 |
| Total Consumer Lending "Core Earnings" basis interest-earning assets | \$40,366 | \$41,750 |

The "Core Earnings" basis Consumer Lending net interest margin for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 increased 27 basis points from the year-ago quarter. The Private Education Loan spread remained relatively unchanged. The size of the Other asset portfolio (which is primarily restricted cash held in securitization trusts and cash held at Sallie Mae Bank ("the Bank")) has decreased
significantly since the first quarter 2010. This Other asset portfolio earns a negative yield and as a result, when its relative weighting decreases compared to the Private Education Loan portfolio, the overall net interest margin increases. This is the primary driver of the increase in the net interest margin compared to prior periods.

## Private Education Loans Provisions for Loan Losses and Loan Charge-Offs

The following tables summarize the total Private Education Loans provisions for loan losses and charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| Private Education Loans provision for loan losses | \$ | 275 |  | 325 |
| Private Education Loans charge-offs |  | 273 |  | 284 |

The first-quarter 2011 provision expense and charge-offs were down from the year-ago quarter as the portfolio's credit performance continued to improve since the weakening in the U.S. economy that began in 2008, with the expected future performance continuing to improve as well. The Private Education Loan portfolio experienced a significant increase in delinquencies through the first quarter of 2010 (delinquencies as a percentage of loans in repayment were 12.2 percent at March 31, 2010); since then delinquencies as a
percentage of loans in repayment have declined to 10.4 percent at March 31, 2011. Private Education Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance decreased from 5.1 percent at March 31, 2010 to 4.6 percent at March 31, 2011.
Charge-offs as a percentage of loans in repayment have declined significantly from 4.7 percent in the first quarter 2010 to 3.9 percent in the first quarter of 2011. The Private Education Loan allowance coverage of annual charge-offs ratio was 1.8 at March 31, 2011
compared with 1.7 at March 31,2010. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of ending Private Education Loans in repayment
decreased from 8.2 percent at March 31,2010 to 7.2 percent at March 31, 2011. We analyzed changes in the key ratios when determining the appropriate Private Education Loan allowance for loan losses

## Servicing Revenue and Other Income - Consumer Lending Segment

Servicing revenue for our Consumer Lending segment primarily includes late fees and forbearance fees. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, servicing revenue for our Consumer Lending segment totaled $\$ 17$ million and $\$ 19$ million, respectively

## Operating Expenses — Consumer Lending Segment

Operating expenses for our Consumer Lending segment include costs incurred to originate Private Education Loans and to service and collect on our Private Education Loan portfolio. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, operating expenses for our Consumer Lending segment totaled $\$ 82$ million and $\$ 80$ million, respectively. Operating expenses, excluding restructuring-related asset impairments, were 90 basis points and 88 basis points, respectively, of average Private Education Loans in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 , respectively.

## Business Services Segment

The following tables include "Core Earnings" results for our Business Services segment.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { \% Increase } \\ \text { (Decrease) } \end{array} \\ \hline 2011 \text { vs. } 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |  |
| Net interest income after provision | \$ | 3 | \$ | 5 | (40)\% |
| Servicing revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercompany loan servicing |  | 189 |  | 164 | 15 |
| Third-party loan servicing |  | 22 |  | 19 | 16 |
| Account asset servicing |  | 19 |  | 17 | 12 |
| Campus Solutions |  | 6 |  | 8 | (25) |
| Guarantor servicing |  | 9 |  | 37 | (76) |
| Total servicing revenue |  | 245 |  | 245 | - |
| Contingency revenue |  | 78 |  | 80 | (3) |
| Transaction fees |  | 11 |  | 11 | - |
| Total other income |  | 334 |  | 336 | (1) |
| Direct operating expenses |  | 128 |  | 118 | 8 |
| Restructuring expenses |  | 1 |  | 3 | (67) |
| Total expenses |  | 129 |  | 121 | 7 |
| Income from continuing operations, before income tax expense |  | 208 |  | 220 | (5) |
| Income tax expense |  | 76 |  | 79 | (4) |
| "Core Earnings" | \$ | 132 | \$ | 141 | (6) $\%$ |

"Core Earnings" from the Business Services segment were $\$ 132$ million in the first quarter 2011, compared with $\$ 141$ million in the year-ago quarter. The decrease is primarily due to reduced FFELP Guarantor servicing revenue.

Our Business Services segment earns intercompany loan servicing fees from servicing the FFELP Loans in our FFELP Loans segment. The average balance of this portfolio was $\$ 144$ billion and $\$ 129$ billion for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 , respectively. The increase in intercompany loan servicing revenue from the year-ago quarter is primarily the result of the acquisition of the $\$ 25$ billion FFELP Loan portfolio on December 31, 2010 which was partially offset by the amortization of the underlying portfolio as well as the FFELP Loans sold to ED as part of the Participation Program in 2010.

We are servicing approximately 3.2 million accounts under the ED Servicing Contract as of March 31, 2011 compared with 2.0 million accounts as of March 31, 2010. Loan servicing fees in the first quarter of 2011 and 2010 included $\$ 15$ million and $\$ 9$ million, respectively, of servicing revenue related to the loans we are servicing under the ED Servicing Contract.

Account asset servicing revenue represents fees earned on program management, transfer and servicing agent services and administration services for our various 529 college-savings plans.

Through our Campus Solutions business we earn revenue by providing comprehensive financing and transaction processing solutions to college financial aid offices and students to streamline the financial aid process

The decrease in Guarantor servicing revenue compared with the year-ago period was primarily due to HCERA being effective as of July 1, 2010 and our no longer earning Guarantor issuance fees and the lower balance of outstanding FFELP Loans on which we earn other loan servicing fees.

The following table presents the outstanding inventory of contingent collections receivables that our Business Services segment will collect on behalf of others.


Transaction fees are earned in conjunction with our rewards program from participating companies based on member purchase activity, either online or in stores, depending on the contractual arrangement with the participating company. Typically, a percentage of the purchase price of the consumer members' eligible purchases with participating companies is set aside in an account maintained by us on behalf of our members.

Revenues related to services performed on FFELP Loans accounted for 77 percent and 79 percent, respectively, of total segment revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

## Operating Expenses - Business Services Segment

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, operating expenses for the Business Services segment totaled $\$ 128$ million and $\$ 118$ million, respectively. Operating expenses increased from the prior period primarily as a result of the increase in servicing costs associated with the $\$ 25$ billion loan portfolio acquisition on December 31, 2010.

## Other Segment

The following table includes "Core Earnings" results of our Other segment

|  |  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, | \% Increase <br> (Decrease) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |

## Purchased Paper Business

Our Purchased Paper businesses are presented in discontinued operations for the current and prior periods. (See "Consolidated Earnings Summary - GAAP-basis" for further discussion.)

The following table summarizes the carrying value of the Purchased Paper - Non-Mortgage portfolio:

| (Dollars in millions) | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrying value of purchased paper | \$ 67 | \$ 245 |

## Gains on Debt Repurchases

We began repurchasing our outstanding debt in the second quarter of 2008 . We repurchased $\$ 825$ million and $\$ 1.3$ billion face amount of our senior unsecured notes for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Since the second quarter of 2008 we repurchased $\$ 11.1$ billion face amount of our senior unsecured notes in the aggregate, with maturity dates ranging from 2008 to 2016.

## Overhead

Corporate overhead is comprised of costs related to executive management, the board of directors, accounting, finance, legal, human resources and stock-based compensation expense. Unallocated information technology costs are related to infrastructure and operations.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, operating expenses for the Other segment totaled $\$ 87$ million and $\$ 65$ million, respectively. The increase in corporate overhead expenses in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the prior year quarter is primarily the result of a change in the terms of our stock-based compensation plans. In the first quarter, we changed our stock-based compensation plans so that retirement
eligible employees would not forfeit unvested stock-based compensation upon their retirement. This change had the effect of accelerating the future stock-based compensation expenses associated with these unvested stock grants into the current period for those employees that are retirement eligible.

## Financial Condition

This section provides additional information regarding the changes related to our loan portfolio assets and related liabilities as well as credit performance indicators related to our loan portfolio.

Average Balance Sheets - GAAP
The following table reflects the rates earned on interest-earning assets and paid on interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. This table reflects our net interest margin on a consolidated basis.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Balance | Rate | Balance | Rate |
| Average Assets |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans | \$ 55,535 | 1.93\% | \$ 62,167 | 1.85\% |
| FFELP Consolidation Loans | 91,846 | 2.71 | 82,687 | 2.57 |
| Private Education Loans | 37,006 | 6.62 | 36,679 | 6.25 |
| Other loans | 262 | 9.17 | 391 | 9.32 |
| Cash and investments | 11,177 | . 19 | 12,773 | . 16 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 195,826 | 3.09\% | 194,697 | 2.89\% |
| Non-interest-earning assets | 5,186 |  | 6,973 |  |
| Total assets | $\underline{\$ 201,012}$ |  | \$201,670 |  |
| Average Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | \$ 33,076 | .90\% | \$ 38,978 | .86\% |
| Long-term borrowings | 159,569 | 1.32 | 154,268 | 1.18 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 192,645 | 1.25\% | 193,246 | 1.12\% |
| Non-interest-bearing liabilities | 3,269 |  | 3,418 |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 5,098 |  | 5,006 |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | $\underline{\$ 201,012}$ |  | $\underline{\$ 201,670}$ |  |
| Net interest margin |  | 1.86\% |  | 1.78\% |

## Rate/Volume Analysis - GAAP

The following rate/volume analysis shows the relative contribution of changes in interest rates and asset volumes.

| (Dollars in millions) | Increase (Decrease) |  | Change Due To(1) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rate |  | Volume |  |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 vs. 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 106 | \$ | 98 | \$ | 8 |
| Interest expense |  | 62 |  | 64 |  | (2) |
| Net interest income | \$ | 44 | \$ | 39 | \$ | 5 |

(1) Changes in income and expense due to both rate and volume have been allocated in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of
the change in each. The changes in income and expense are calculated independently for each line in the table. The totals for the rate and volume
columns are not the sum of the individual lines.
Summary of our Student Loan Portfolio
Ending Student Loan Balances, net

|  |  |  | March 31, 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Average Student Loan Balances (net of unamortized premium/discount)

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FFELP Stafford and Other |  | FFELPConsolidationLoans |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { FFELP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Private Education Loans | Total |
| Total | \$ | 55,535 | \$ | 91,846 | \$147,381 | \$ 37,006 | \$184,387 |
| \% of FFELP |  | 38\% |  | 62\% | 100\% |  |  |
| \% of total |  | 30\% |  | 50\% | 80\% | 20\% | 100\% |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in millions) | FFELP Stafford and Other |  | $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { FFELP } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Private Education Loans | Total |
| Total | \$ | 62,167 | \$ | 82,687 | \$144,854 | \$ 36,679 | \$181,533 |
| \% of FFELP |  | 43\% |  | 57\% | 100\% |  |  |
| \% of total |  | 34\% |  | 46\% | 80\% | 20\% | 100\% |

## Student Loan Activity

The following tables summarize the activity in our student loan portfolios and show the changing composition of each portfolio.

| (Dollars in millions) | Total Portfolio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FFELP Stafford and Other |  | FFELP Consolidation Loans |  | Total FFELP | Total Private Education Loans |  | Total <br> Portfolio |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 56,252 | \$ | 92,397 | \$148,649 | \$ | 35,656 | \$184,305 |
| Acquisitions and originations |  | 103 |  | 247 | 350 |  | 929 | 1,279 |
| Capitalized interest and premium/discount amortization |  | 322 |  | 371 | 693 |  | 295 | 988 |
| Consolidations to third parties |  | (615) |  | (225) | (840) |  | (17) | (857) |
| Sales |  | (189) |  | - | (189) |  | - | (189) |
| Repayments/defaults/other |  | $(1,507)$ |  | $(1,598)$ | $(3,105)$ |  | (897) | $(4,002)$ |
| Ending balance | \$ | 54,366 | \$ | 91,192 | \$145,558 | \$ | 35,966 | \$181,524 |


| (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FFELP Stafford and Other |  | $\qquad$ |  | Total <br> FFELP | $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Portfolio } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Beginning balance - GAAP-basis | \$ | 52,675 | \$ | 68,379 | \$121,054 | \$ | 22,753 | \$143,807 |
| Consolidation of off-balance sheet loans(1) |  | 5,500 |  | 14,797 | 20,297 |  | 12,341 | 32,638 |
| Beginning balance - total portfolio |  | 58,175 |  | 83,176 | 141,351 |  | 35,094 | 176,445 |
| Acquisitions and originations |  | 8,197 |  | - | 8,197 |  | 810 | 9,007 |
| Capitalized interest and premium/discount amortization |  | 262 |  | 335 | 597 |  | 312 | 909 |
| Consolidations to third parties |  | (467) |  | (167) | (634) |  | (12) | (646) |
| Sales |  | (76) |  | - | (76) |  | - | (76) |
| Repayments/defaults/other |  | $(1,745)$ |  | $(1,166)$ | $(2,911)$ |  | (842) | $(3,753)$ |
| Ending balance | \$ | 64,346 | \$ | 82,178 | \$146,524 | \$ | 35,362 | \$181,886 |

[^7]Total Private Education Loan originations increased 12 percent from the year-ago quarter to $\$ 940$ million in the quarter ended March 31, 2011.

The following table summarizes our Private Education Loan originations.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Private Education Loan originations | \$940 | \$840 |

## FFELP Loan Portfolio Performance

FFELP Delinquencies and Forbearance
The tables below present our FFELP Loan delinquency trends as of March 31, 2011 and 2010. Delinquencies have the potential to adversely impact earnings as they are an indication of the borrower's potential to possibly default and as a result require a higher loan loss reserve than loans in current status. Delinquent loans also require increased servicing and collection efforts, resulting in higher operating costs.

| (Dollars in millions) | FFELP Loan Delinquencies |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Balance | \% | Balance | \% |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment(1) | \$ 27,773 |  | \$ 43,719 |  |
| Loans in forbearance(2) | 21,834 |  | 17,738 |  |
| Loans in repayment and percentage of each status: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans current | 78,756 | 83.5\% | 68,141 | 82.6\% |
| Loans delinquent 31-60 days(3) | 5,050 | 5.4 | 4,817 | 5.9 |
| Loans delinquent 61-90 days(3) | 3,069 | 3.2 | 2,962 | 3.6 |
| Loans delinquent greater than 90 days(3) | 7,434 | 7.9 | 6,537 | 7.9 |
| Total FFELP Loans in repayment | 94,309 | 100\% | 82,457 | 100\% |
| Total FFELP Loans, gross | 143,916 |  | 143,914 |  |
| FFELP Loan unamortized premium | 1,832 |  | 2,796 |  |
| Total FFELP Loans | 145,748 |  | 146,710 |  |
| FFELP Loan allowance for losses | (190) |  | (186) |  |
| FFELP Loans, net | \$145,558 |  | \$146,524 |  |
| Percentage of FFELP Loans in repayment |  | 65.5\% |  | 57.3\% |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of FFELP Loans in repayment |  | 16.5\% |  | 17.4\% |
| FFELP Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance |  | 18.8\% |  | 17.7\% |

(1) Loans for borrowers who may still be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation, as well as loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making payments due to hardship or other factors.
(2) Loans for borrowers who have used their allowable deferment time or do not qualify for deferment, that need additional time to obtain employment or who have temporarily ceased making payments due to hardship or other factors.
(3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.

## Allowance for FFELP Loan Losse

The provision for student loan losses represents the periodic expense of maintaining an allowance sufficient to absorb incurred Risk Sharing losses in the portfolio of FFELP Loans.

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for FFELP Loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Activity in Allowance for FFELP Loans |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Allowance at beginning of period - GAAP basis | \$ | 189 | \$ | 161 |
| Consolidation of securitization trusts(1) |  | - |  | 25 |
| Allowance at beginning of period |  | 189 |  | 186 |
| Provision for FFELP Loan losses |  | 23 |  | 23 |
| Charge-offs |  | (20) |  | (21) |
| Student loan sales and securitization activity |  | (2) |  | (2) |
| Allowance at end of period | \$ | 190 | \$ | 186 |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) |  | .09\% |  | .11\% |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment and forbearance (annualized) |  | .07\% |  | .09\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of the ending total loans, gross |  | .13\% |  | .13\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of ending loans in repayment |  | .20\% |  | .23\% |
| Allowance coverage of charge-offs (annualized) |  | 2.3 |  | 2.1 |
| Ending total loans, gross |  | 143,916 |  | 143,914 |
| Average loans in repayment |  | 95,504 |  | 82,438 |
| Ending loans in repayment | \$ | 94,309 |  | 82,457 |

(1) Upon the adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance on January 1, 2010, we consolidated all of our off-balance sheet securitization trusts.

## Consumer Lending Portfolio Performance

Private Education Loan Delinquencies and Forbearance
The tables below present our Private Education Loan delinquency trends as of March 31, 2011 and 2010. Delinquencies have the potential to adversely impact earnings as they are an indication of the borrower's potential to possibly default and as a result require a higher loan loss reserve than loans in current status. Delinquent loans also require increased servicing and collection efforts, resulting in higher operating costs.

| (Dollars in millions) | Private Education Loan Delinquencies |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Marc |  |  |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Balance | \% | Balance | \% |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment(1) | \$ 8,323 |  | \$11,452 |  |
| Loans in forbearance( ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) | 1,343 |  | 1,338 |  |
| Loans in repayment and percentage of each status: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans current | 25,195 | 89.6\% | 21,699 | 87.9\% |
| Loans delinquent 31-60 days(3) | 930 | 3.3 | 842 | 3.4 |
| Loans delinquent 61-90 days(3) | 564 | 2.0 | 576 | 2.3 |
| Loans delinquent greater than 90 days(3) | 1,431 | 5.1 | 1,589 | 6.4 |
| Total Private Education Loans in repayment | 28,120 | 100.0\% | 24,706 | 100.0\% |
| Total Private Education Loans, gross | 37,786 |  | 37,496 |  |
| Private Education Loan unamortized discount | (876) |  | (912) |  |
| Total Private Education Loans | 36,910 |  | 36,584 |  |
| Private Education Loan receivable for partially charged-off loans | 1,090 |  | 797 |  |
| Private Education Loan allowance for losses | $(2,034)$ |  | $(2,019)$ |  |
| Private Education Loans, net | \$35,966 |  | \$35,362 |  |
| Percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment |  | 74.4\% |  | 65.9\% |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment |  | 10.4\% |  | 12.2\% |
| Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance |  | 4.6\% |  | 5.1\% |
| Loans in repayment greater than 12 months as a percentage of loans in repayment(4) |  | 69.3\% |  | 61.5\% |

(1) Loans for borrowers who may still be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.
(2) Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making payments due to hardship or other factors, consistent with established loan program servicing policies and procedures.
(3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.
(4) Based on number of months in an active repayment status for which a scheduled monthly payment was due.

The following tables summarize changes in the allowance for Private Education Loan losses for the three months ended March 31 , 2011 and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Activity in Allowance for Private Education Loans |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Allowance at beginning of period - GAAP basis | \$ | 2,021 | \$ | 1,443 |
| Consolidation of securitization trusts(1) |  | - |  | 524 |
| Allowance at beginning of period |  | 2,021 |  | 1,967 |
| Provision for Private Education Loan losses |  | 275 |  | 325 |
| Charge-offs |  | (273) |  | (284) |
| Reclassification of interest reserve |  | 11 |  | 11 |
| Allowance at end of period | \$ | 2,034 | \$ | 2,019 |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) |  | 3.9\% |  | 4.7\% |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment and forbearance (annualized) |  | 3.8\% |  | 4.4\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of the ending total loan balance |  | 5.2\% |  | 5.3\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of ending loans in repayment |  | 7.2\% |  | 8.2\% |
| Average coverage of charge-offs (annualized) |  | 1.8 |  | 1.7 |
| Ending total loans ${ }^{(2)}$ | \$ | 38,876 | \$ | 38,293 |
| Average loans in repayment | \$ | 28,127 | \$ | 24,646 |
| Ending loans in repayment | \$ | 28,120 | \$ | 24,706 |

(1) Upon the adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance on January 1,2010, we consolidated all of our off-balance sheet securitization trusts.
(2) Ending total loans represents gross Private Education Loans, plus the receivable for partially charged-off loans.

The following table provides detail for the traditional and non-traditional Private Education Loans at March 31, 2011 and 2010.

|  | March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traditional |  | Non-Traditional |  | Total |  | Traditional |  | NonTraditional |  | Total |
| Ending total loans(1) | \$ | 34,563 | \$ | 4,313 |  | 38,876 | \$ | 33,629 | \$ | 4,664 | \$38,293 |
| Ending loans in repayment |  | 25,401 |  | 2,719 |  | 28,120 |  | 21,883 |  | 2,823 | 24,706 |
| Private Education Loan allowance for losses |  | 1,298 |  | 736 |  | 2,034 |  | 1,125 |  | 894 | 2,019 |
| Charge-offs as a percentage of average loans in repayment (annualized) |  | 2.9\% |  | 13.4\% |  | 3.9\% |  | 3.2\% |  | 15.9\% | 4.7\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of total ending loan balance |  | 3.8\% |  | 17.1\% |  | 5.2\% |  | 3.3\% |  | 19.2\% | 5.3\% |
| Allowance as a percentage of ending loans in repayment |  | 5.1\% |  | 27.1\% |  | 7.2\% |  | 5.1\% |  | 31.7\% | 8.2\% |
| Average coverage of charge-offs (annualized) |  | 1.8 |  | 2.0 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.6 |  | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| Delinquencies as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment |  | 8.7\% |  | 26.4\% |  | 10.4\% |  | 9.8\% |  | 30.5\% | 12.2\% |
| Delinquencies greater than 90 days as a percentage of Private Education Loans in repayment |  | 4.1\% |  | 14.4\% |  | 5.1\% |  | 4.9\% |  | 18.1\% | 6.4\% |
| Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance |  | 4.4\% |  | 6.5\% |  | 4.6\% |  | 4.9\% |  | 7.0\% | 5.1\% |
| Loans that entered repayment during the period(2) | \$ | 1,519 | \$ | 86 | \$ | 1,605 | \$ | 1,531 | \$ | 130 | \$ 1,661 |
| Percentage of Private Education Loans with a cosigner |  | 64\% |  | 29\% |  | 60\% |  | 62\% |  | 28\% | 58\% |
| Average FICO at origination |  | 725 |  | 623 |  | 716 |  | 725 |  | 623 | 714 |

(1) Ending total loans represents gross Private Education Loans, plus the receivable for partially charged-off loans.
(2) Includes loans that are required to make a payment for the first time.

Use of Forbearance as a Private Education Loan Collection Tool
Forbearance involves granting the borrower a temporary cessation of payments (or temporary acceptance of smaller than scheduled payments) for a specified period of time. Using forbearance extends the original term of the loan. Forbearance does not grant any reduction in the total repayment obligation (principal or interest). While in forbearance status, interest continues to accrue and is capitalized to principal when the loan re-enters repayment status. Our forbearance policies include limits on the number of forbearance months granted consecutively and the total number of forbearance months granted over the life of the loan. In some instances, we require good-faith payments before granting forbearance. Exceptions to forbearance policies are permitted when such exceptions are judged to increase the likelihood of collection of the loan. Forbearance as a collection tool is used most effectively when applied based on a borrower's unique situation, including historical information and judgments. We leverage updated borrower information and other decision support tools to best determine who will be granted forbearance based on our expectations as to a borrower's ability and willingness to repay their obligation. This strategy is aimed at mitigating the overall risk of the portfolio as well as encouraging cash resolution of delinquent loans.

Forbearance may be granted to borrowers who are exiting their grace period to provide additional time to obtain employment and income to support their obligations, or to current borrowers who are faced with a hardship and request forbearance time to provide temporary payment relief. In these circumstances, a borrower's loan is placed into a forbearance status in limited monthly increments and is reflected in the
forbearance status at month-end during this time. At the end of their granted forbearance period, the borrower will enter repayment status as current and is expected to begin making their scheduled monthly payments on a go-forward basis.

Forbearance may also be granted to borrowers who are delinquent in their payments. In these circumstances, the forbearance cures the delinquency and the borrower is returned to a current repayment status. In more limited instances, delinquent borrowers will also be granted additional forbearance time. As we have obtained further experience about the effectiveness of forbearance, we have reduced the amount of time a loan will spend in forbearance, thereby increasing our ongoing contact with the borrower to encourage consistent repayment behavior once the loan is returned to a current repayment status.

The table below reflects the historical effectiveness of using forbearance. Our experience has shown that three years after being granted forbearance for the first time, 68 percent of the loans are current, paid in full, or receiving an in-school grace or deferment, and 18 percent have defaulted. The default experience associated with loans which utilize forbearance is considered in our allowance for loan losses. As we have obtained further experience about the effectiveness of forbearance, we have reduced the amount of time a loan will spend in forbearance, thereby increasing our ongoing contact with the borrower to encourage consistent repayment behavior once the loan is returned to a current repayment status. As a result, the percentage of loans in a forbearance status as of month-end has decreased since 2008. The monthly average number of loans granted forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance remained relatively flat at 5.4 percent in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the year-ago quarter of 5.3 percent. As of March 31, 2011, 2.6 percent of loans in current status were delinquent as of the end of the prior month, but were granted a forbearance that made them current as of March 31,2011 (borrowers made payments on approximately 24 percent of these loans prior to being granted forbearance).

|  | Tracking by First Time in Forbearance Compared to All Loans Entering Repayment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The tables below show the composition and status of the Private Education Loan portfolio aged by number of months in active repayment status (months for which a scheduled monthly payment was due). As indicated in the tables, the percentage of loans in forbearance status decreases the longer the loans have been in active repayment status. At March 31, 2011, loans in forbearance status as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance were 6.3 percent for loans that have been in active repayment status for less than
25 months. The percentage drops to 1.9 percent for loans that have been in active repayment status for more than 48 months.
Approximately 77 percent of our Private Education Loans in forbearance status have been in active repayment status less than 25 months.

| (Dollars in millions) <br> March 31, 2011 | Monthly Scheduled Payments Due |  |  |  |  | Not Yet in Repayment |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 to 12 | 13 to 24 | $\underline{25}$ to 36 | 37 to 48 | More than 48 |  |  |  |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 8,323 | \$ 8,323 |
| Loans in forbearance | 815 | 220 | 138 | 74 | 96 |  | - | 1,343 |
| Loans in repayment - current | 7,271 | 5,960 | 4,256 | 2,942 | 4,766 |  | - | 25,195 |
| Loans in repayment - delinquent 31-60 days | 413 | 225 | 132 | 67 | 93 |  | - | 930 |
| Loans in repayment - delinquent 61-90 days | 290 | 134 | 64 | 33 | 43 |  | - | 564 |
| Loans in repayment - delinquent greater than 90 days | 664 | 395 | 175 | 84 | 113 |  | - | 1,431 |
| Total | \$9,453 | \$6,934 | \$4,765 | \$3,200 | \$5,111 | \$ | 8,323 | 37,786 |
| Unamortized discount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (876) |
| Receivable for partially charged-off loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,090 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,034)$ |
| Total Private Education Loans, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{\underline{\$ 35,966}}$ |
| Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance | 8.6\% | 3.2\% | 2.9\% | 2.3\% | 1.9\% |  | - $\%$ | 4.6\% |


| (Dollars in millions) <br> March 31, 2010 | Monthly Scheduled Payments Due |  |  |  |  | Not Yet in Repayment |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 to 12 | 13 to 24 | $\underline{25}$ to 36 | 37 to 48 | More than 48 |  |  |  |
| Loans in-school/grace/deferment | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | 11,452 | \$11,452 |
| Loans in forbearance | 945 | 187 | 94 | 49 | 63 |  | - | 1,338 |
| Loans in repayment - current | 7,781 | 4,974 | 3,435 | 2,201 | 3,308 |  | - | 21,699 |
| Loans in repayment - delinquent 31-60 days | 469 | 176 | 86 | 46 | 65 |  | - | 842 |
| Loans in repayment - delinquent 61-90 days | 353 | 113 | 50 | 26 | 34 |  | - | 576 |
| Loans in repayment - delinquent greater than 90 days | 908 | 388 | 137 | 65 | 91 |  | - | 1,589 |
| Total | \$10,456 | \$5,838 | \$3,802 | \$2,387 | \$3,561 | \$ | $\underline{11,452}$ | 37,496 |
| Unamortized discount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (912) |
| Receivable for partially charged-off loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 797 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(2,019)$ |
| Total Private Education Loans, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$35,362 |
| Loans in forbearance as a percentage of loans in repayment and forbearance | 9.0\% | 3.2\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 1.8\% |  | - \% | 5.1\% |

The table below stratifies the portfolio of Private Education Loans in forbearance by the cumulative number of months the borrower has used forbearance as of the dates indicated. As detailed in the table below, 3 percent of loans currently in forbearance have cumulative forbearance of more than 24 months.

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  |  | March 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Forbearance Balance |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Forbearance Balance |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Cumulative number of months borrower has used forbearance |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to 12 months | \$ | 912 | 68\% | \$ | 958 | 72\% |
| 13 to 24 months |  | 390 | 29 |  | 340 | 25 |
| More than 24 months |  | 41 | 3 |  | 40 | 3 |
| Total | \$ | 1,343 | 100\% | \$ | 1,338 | 100\% |

Receivable for Partially Charged-Off Private Education Loans
At the end of each month, for loans that are 212 days past due, we charge off the estimated loss of a defaulted loan balance. Actual recoveries are applied against the remaining loan balance that was not charged off. We refer to this remaining loan balance as the
"receivable for partially charged-off loans." If actual periodic recoveries are less than expected, the difference is immediately charged off through provision expense with an offsetting reduction in the receivable for partially charged-off Private Education Loans. If actual periodic recoveries are greater than expected, they will be reflected as a recovery through the allowance for Private Education Loan losses once the cumulative recovery amount exceeds the cumulative amount originally expected to be recovered.

The following table summarizes the activity in the receivable for partially charged-off Private Education Loans for the quarters ended March 31, 2011 , and 2010.

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
| Receivable at beginning of period - GAAP basis | \$ | 1,039 |  | 499 |
| Consolidation of off-balance sheet trusts(1) |  | - |  | 229 |
| Receivable at beginning of period |  | 1,039 |  | 728 |
| Expected future recoveries of current period defaults(2) |  | 98 |  | 101 |
| Recoveries(3) |  | (40) |  | (25) |
| Other(4) |  | (7) |  | (7) |
| Receivable at end of period | \$ | 1,090 |  | 797 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) Upon the adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance on January 1,2010, we consolidated all of our off-balance sheet securitization } \\
& \text { trusts. } \\
& \text { (2) Remaining loan balance expected to be collected from contractual loan balances partially charged off during the period. This is the difference } \\
& \text { between the defaulted loan balance and the amount of the defaulted loan balance that was charged off. } \\
& \text { (3) Current period cash collections of amounts originally expected to be recovered. } \\
& \text { (4) Other is the current period recovery shortfall. This is the difference between what was expected to be collected and what was actually collected. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Private Education Loan Repayment Options

Certain loan programs allow borrowers to select from a variety of repayment options depending on their loan type and their enrollment/loan status, which include the ability to extend their repayment term or change their monthly payment. The chart below provides the optional repayment offerings in addition to the standard level principal and interest payments as of March 31, 2011.

| (Dollars in millions) | Loan Program |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signature and Other | Smart Option | Career <br> Training | Total |
| \$ in Repayment | \$22,782 | \$3,300 | \$2,038 | \$28,120 |
| \$ in Total | 32,370 | 3,306 | 2,110 | 37,786 |
| Payment method by enrollment status: |  |  |  |  |
| In-school/Grace | Deferred ${ }^{1}$ ) | Interest-only or fixed $\$ 25 /$ month | Interest-only or fixed $\$ 25 /$ month |  |
| Repayment | Level principal and interest or graduated | Level principal and interest | Level principal and interest |  |

(1) "Deferred" includes loans for which no payments are required and interest charges are capitalized into the loan balance.

The graduated repayment program that is part of Signature and Other Loans includes an interest-only payment option. This program is available to borrowers in repayment, after their grace period, who would like a temporary lower payment from the required principal and interest payment amount. Borrowers participating in this program pay monthly interest with no amortization of their principal balance for up to 48 payments after entering repayment (dependent on the loan product type). The maturity date of the loan is not extended when a borrower participates in this program. As of March 31, 2011 and 2010, borrowers in repayment owing approximately $\$ 7.3$ billion ( 26 percent of loans in repayment) and $\$ 7.3$ billion ( 29 percent of loans in repayment), respectively, were enrolled in the interest-only program.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

We expect to fund our ongoing liquidity needs, including the origination of new Private Education Loans and the repayment of $\$ 3.7$ billion of senior unsecured notes to mature in the next twelve months, primarily through our current cash and investment position and very predictable operating cash flows provided by earnings and repayment of principal on unencumbered student loan assets, distributions from our securitization trusts (including servicing fees which are priority payments within the trusts), as well as drawdowns under the 2010 ABCP Facility, the issuance of term ABS, the collection of additional term bank deposits and the issuance of unsecured debt.

We primarily fund our student loan originations at the Bank. Currently, new Private Education Loan originations are initially funded through deposits. We plan to subsequently securitize these loans to term on a programmatic basis. We currently have $\$ 1.1$ billion of cash at the Bank available to fund future originations.

## Primary Sources of Liquidity and Available Capacity

The following table details our main sources of primary liquidity and the available capacity outstanding at March 31,2011 and December 31, 2010, and the related average balances for the three months ended March 31,2011 and 2010

| (Dollars in millions) | Ending Balances |  |  |  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March 31, } 2011 \\ \text { Available Capacity } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | December 31, 2010 <br> Available Capacity${ }^{2}+$ |  | 2011Available Capacity |  | 2010 <br> Available Capacity |  |
| Sources of primary liquidity for general corporate purposes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted cash and liquid investments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 3,872 | \$ | 4,342 | \$ | 4,231 | \$ | 6,010 |
| Other |  | 79 |  | 85 |  | 78 |  | 104 |
| Total unrestricted cash and liquid investments ${ }^{(1)}$ (2)(3) |  | 3,951 |  | 4,427 |  | 4,309 |  | 6,114 |
| Available borrowings to the extent collateral exists: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unused commercial paper and bank lines of credit(4) |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,485 |
| FFELP ABCP facilities ${ }^{(5)}$ |  | 2,336 |  | 3,937 |  | 2,793 |  | 929 |
| FHLB-DM facility ${ }^{(5)}$ |  | 9,350 |  | 8,664 |  | 9,253 |  | 11,002 |
| Total sources of primary liquidity for general corporate purposes ${ }^{(6)}$ | \$ | $\underline{15,637}$ | \$ | $\underline{\text { 17,028 }}$ | \$ | $\underline{16,355}$ | \$ | $\underline{21,530}$ |

(1) At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, ending balances exclude $\$ 812$ million and $\$ 872$ million, respectively, of other non-liquid investments, classified as investments on our balance sheet in accordance with GAAP. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, average balances exclude $\$ 747$ million and $\$ 744$ million, respectively, of non-liquid investments, classified as investments on our average balance sheet in accordance with GAAP.
(2) At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, ending balances include $\$ 724$ million and $\$ 684$ million, respectively, of cash collateral pledged by derivative counterparties and held in our unrestricted cash. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, average balances include $\$ 860$ million and $\$ 735$ million, respectively, of cash collateral pledged by derivative counterparties and held in our unrestricted cash.
(3) At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, ending balances include $\$ 1.1$ billion and $\$ 2.0$ billion, respectively, of cash and liquid investments at the Bank. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, average balances include $\$ 1.4$ billion and $\$ 2.2$ billion, respectively, of cash and liquid investments at the Bank. This cash will be used primarily to originate or acquire student loans.
(4) On November 24, 2010, our remaining bank line of credit was retired.
(5) Borrowing capacity is subject to availability of collateral. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had $\$ 2.4$ billion and $\$ 1.5$ billion, respectively, of outstanding unencumbered FFELP Loans, net, available for use in either the FFELP ABCP facilities or FHLB-DM facility. For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we had $\$ 2.2$ billion and $\$ 2.2$ billion, respectively of average balances for unencumbered FFELP loans, net, available for use in either the FFELP ABCP facilities or FHLB-DM facility.
(6) General corporate purposes primarily include originating Private Education Loans and repaying unsecured debt as it matures.

In addition to the assets listed in the table above, we hold a number of other unencumbered assets, consisting primarily of Private Education Loans and other assets. At March 31, 2011, we had a total of $\$ 24.1$ billion of unencumbered assets, excluding goodwill and acquired intangibles. Total student loans, net, comprised $\$ 14.3$ billion of this unencumbered asset total of which $\$ 11.9$ billion relates to Private Education Loans, net.

For a discussion of our various sources of liquidity, such as the ED Conduit Program, the Sallie Mae Bank, our continued access to the ABS market, our asset-based financing facilities, the lending agreement we entered into with the FHLB-DM and our issuance of unsecured debt, see Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" to our 2010 Form 10-K.

The following table reconciles encumbered and unencumbered assets and their net impact on total tangible equity.

| (Dollars in billions) | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net assets of consolidated variable interest entities (encumbered assets) | \$ | 12.6 | \$ | 13.1 |
| Tangible unencumbered assets ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 24.1 |  | 22.3 |
| Unsecured debt |  | (27.3) |  | (26.9) |
| Mark-to-market on unsecured hedged debt(2) |  | (1.4) |  | (1.4) |
| Other liabilities, net |  | (3.3) |  | (2.6) |
| Total tangible equity | \$ | 4.7 | \$ | 4.5 |

(1) Excludes goodwill and acquired intangible assets.
(2) At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 1.3$ billion and $\$ 1.4$ billion, respectively, of net gains on derivatives hedging this debt in unencumbered assets, which partially offset these losses.

On April 26, 2011, we completed a $\$ 562$ million Private Education Loan ABS transaction at an all-in LIBOR equivalent cost of one-month LIBOR plus 1.99 percent. This issue has a weighted average life of 3.8 years and initial over-collateralization of approximately 21 percent. Going forward, we intend to be a programmatic issuer of private credit ABS . We believe this will create a more durable and liquid market for our securities.

On March 3, 2011, we completed an $\$ 812$ million FFELP ABS transaction at an all-in LIBOR equivalent cost of one-month LIBOR plus 1.14 percent. This issue has a weighted average life of 5.8 years and initial over-collateralization of approximately 3 percent.

On January 14, 2011, we completed a $\$ 2$ billion five-year 6.25 percent fixed rate unsecured bond. The bond was issued to yield 6.50 percent before underwriting fees. The rate on the bond was swapped from a fixed rate to a floating rate equal to an all-in cost of onemonth LIBOR plus 4.46 percent. The proceeds of this bond were designated for general corporate purposes.

We also repurchase our outstanding unsecured debt in both open-market repurchases and public tender offers. Repurchasing debt helps us to better manage our short-term and long-term funding needs. In the first quarter of 2011 we repurchased $\$ 825$ million face amount of our senior unsecured notes in the aggregate, with maturity dates ranging from 2011 to 2014, which resulted in a total gain of $\$ 64$ million.

While we are very comfortable with our maturity profile and pleased with the outcome of these most recent transactions, we will not be fully satisfied until we see our credit ratings and our funding cost improve significantly.

## Counterparty Exposure

Counterparty exposure related to financial instruments arises from the risk that a lending, investment or derivative counterparty will not be able to meet its obligations to us. Risks associated with our lending portfolio are discussed in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results
of Operations - Financial Condition - FFELP Loan Portfolio Performance" and "-Consumer Lending Portfolio Performance."
Our investment portfolio is composed of very short-term securities issued by highly rated issuers limiting our counterparty exposure. Additionally, our investing activity is governed by Board approved limits on the amount that is allowed to be invested with any one issuer based on the credit rating of the issuer, further minimizing our counterparty exposure. Counterparty credit risk is considered when valuing investments and considering impairment.

Related to derivative transactions, protection against counterparty risk is generally provided by International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") Credit Support Annexes ("CSAs"). CSAs require a counterparty to post collateral if a potential default would expose the other party to a loss. All derivative contracts entered into by SLM Corporation and the Bank are covered under such agreements and require collateral to be exchanged based on the net fair value of derivatives with each counterparty. Our securitization trusts require collateral in all cases if the counterparty's credit rating is withdrawn or downgraded below a certain level Additionally, securitizations involving foreign currency notes issued after November 2005 also require the counterparty to post collateral to the trust based on the fair value of the derivative, regardless of credit rating. The trusts are not required to post collateral to the counterparties. In all cases, our exposure is limited to the value of the derivative contracts in a gain position net of any collateral we are holding. We consider counterparties' credit risk when determining the fair value of derivative positions on our exposure net of collateral.

We have liquidity exposure related to collateral movements between us and our derivative counterparties. Movements in the value of the derivatives, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rate and foreign exchange rates, may require us to return cash collateral held or may require us to access primary liquidity to post collateral to counterparties. If our credit ratings are downgraded from current levels, we may be required to segregate unrestricted cash collateral into restricted accounts.

The table below highlights exposure related to our derivative counterparties at March 31, 2011.

| (Dollars in millions) |  | SLM Corporation <br> and Sallie Mae Bank <br> Contracts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Exposure, net of collateral <br> Percent of exposure to counterparties with credit ratings below S\&P AA- <br> or Moody's Aa3 | $\$$ | 201 |

## "Core Earnings" Basis Borrowings

The following tables present the ending balances of our "Core Earnings" basis borrowings at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and average balances and average interest rates of our "Core Earnings" basis borrowings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The average interest rates include derivatives that are economically hedging the underlying debt but do not qualify for hedge accounting
treatment. (See " 'Core Earnings' — Definition and Limitations - Differences between 'Core Earnings' and GAAP — Reclassification of Realized Gains (Losses) on Derivative and Hedging Activities" of this Item 2.

Ending Balances

| (Dollars in millions) | March 31, 2011 |  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Short Term | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Long } \\ & \text { Term } \end{aligned}$ | Total | Short Term | Long Term | Total |
| Unsecured borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior unsecured debt | \$ 3,741 | \$ 16,894 | \$ 20,635 | \$ 4,361 | \$ 15,742 | \$ 20,103 |
| Brokered deposits | 1,324 | 2,808 | 4,132 | 1,387 | 3,160 | 4,547 |
| Retail and other deposits | 1,500 | - | 1,500 | 1,370 | - | 1,370 |
| Other(1) | 1,064 | - | 1,064 | 887 | - | 887 |
| Subtotal unsecured borrowings | 7,629 | 19,702 | 27,331 | 8,005 | 18,902 | 26,907 |
| Secured borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Loans securitizations | - | 111,042 | 111,042 | - | 112,425 | 112,425 |
| Private Education Loans securitizations | - | 20,983 | 20,983 | - | 21,409 | 21,409 |
| ED Conduit Program facility | 23,573 | - | 23,573 | 24,484 | - | 24,484 |
| ED Participation Program facility | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ABCP borrowings | 325 | 4,671 | 4,996 | - | 5,853 | 5,853 |
| Acquisition financing(2) | - | 1,064 | 1,064 | - | 1,064 | 1,064 |
| FHLB-DM facility | 525 | - | 525 | 900 | - | 900 |
| Indentured trusts | - | 1,187 | 1,187 | - | 1,246 | 1,246 |
| Subtotal secured borrowings | 24,423 | 138,947 | 163,370 | 25,384 | 141,997 | 167,381 |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{\$ 32,052}}$ | $\underline{\$ 158,649}$ | \$190,701 | $\underline{\underline{\$ 33,389}}$ | $\underline{\$ 160,899}$ | \$194,288 |

(1) "Other" primarily consists of cash collateral held related to derivative exposures that are recorded as a short-term debt obligation.
(2) Relates to the acquisition of the $\$ 25$ billion of student loans at the end of 2010

Secured borrowings comprised 86 percent of our "Core Earnings" basis debt outstanding at March 31,2011 versus 86 percent at December 31, 2010

Average Balances

| (Dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  |
|  | Average Balance | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Average } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Balance | Average Rate |
| Unsecured borrowings: |  |  |  |  |
| Senior unsecured debt | \$ 21,421 | 2.14\% | \$ 26,553 | 1.86\% |
| Brokered deposits | 4,354 | 2.40 | 5,602 | 2.95 |
| Retail and other deposits | 1,478 | 1.24 | 214 | . 47 |
| Other(1) | 1,019 | . 33 | 1,100 | . 15 |
| Subtotal unsecured borrowings | 28,272 | 2.07 | 33,469 | 1.98 |
| Secured borrowings: |  |  |  |  |
| FFELP Loans securitizations | 111,387 | . 90 | 101,060 | . 68 |
| Private Education Loans securitizations | 21,017 | 2.18 | 20,652 | 2.10 |
| ED Conduit Program facility | 24,114 | . 76 | 14,273 | . 62 |
| ED Participation Program facility | - | - | 13,268 | . 73 |
| ABCP borrowings | 4,936 | 1.12 | 8,899 | 1.23 |
| Acquisition financing(2) | 1,064 | 4.86 | - | - |
| FHLB-DM facility | 628 | . 33 | 41 | . 27 |
| Indentured trusts | 1,227 | . 68 | 1,584 | . 59 |
| Subtotal secured borrowings | 164,373 | 1.07 | 159,777 | . 89 |
| Total | \$192,645 | 1.22\% | \$193,246 | 1.08\% |

(1) "Other" primarily consists of cash collateral held related to derivative exposures that are recorded as a short-term obligation.
(2) Seller financing relates to the acquisition of the $\$ 25$ billion of student loans at the end of 2010 .

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations addresses our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. A discussion of our critical accounting policies, which include allowance for loan losses, premium and discount amortization related to our loan portfolio, fair value measurement, transfers of financial assets and the VIE consolidation model, derivative accounting and goodwill and intangible assets can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There were no significant changes to these critical accounting policies during the first quarter of 2011. However, related to Private Education Loan allowance for loan losses, we did implement a new model used to estimate defaults as discussed below.

In determining the allowance for loan losses, we estimate the principal amount of loans that will default over the next two years (two years being the expected period between a loss event and default). In the first quarter of 2011, we implemented a new model to estimate these Private Education Loan defaults. Both the prior model and new model are considered "migration models". Our prior allowance model (in place through December 31, 2010) segmented the portfolio into categories of similar risk characteristics based on loan program type, school type, loan status, seasoning, underwriting criteria (credit scores) and the existence or absence of a cosigner using school type, credit scores, cosigner status, loan status and seasoning as the primary risk characteristics. Our new model uses these same primary risk characteristics but also further segments the portfolio by the number of months the loan is in its repayment period (seasoning). While our previous allowance process incorporated the impact of seasoning, the new model more directly incorporates this aspect. Another change in the new allowance model relates to the historical period of experience that we use as a starting point for projecting future defaults. Our new model is based upon a seasonal average,
adjusted to the previous three to six months of actual collection experience as the starting point and applies expected macroeconomic changes and collection procedure changes to estimate expected losses caused by loss events incurred as of the balance sheet date. Our previous model primarily used a one year historical default experience period and did not include the ability to directly model an economic expectation or collection procedure change. As a result, the previous allowance process included qualitative adjustments for these factors. As such, the new model is less dependent on a long look-back period because we do not believe that our delinquency and default experience over the past few years is indicative of the probable losses incurred in the loan portfolio today. While the model we use as a part of the allowance for loan losses process changed in the first quarter, the overall process for calculating the appropriate amount of allowance for Private Education Loan loss as disclosed in the 2010 Form 10-K has not changed. The new model is more reactive to recent borrower behavior, loan performance, and collection performance, as well as expectations about economic factors. There was no adjustment to our allowance for loan loss upon implementing this new default projection model in the first quarter of 2011. In addition, there was no change in how we estimate the amount we will recover over time related to these defaulted amounts.

## Recently Issued Accounting Standards

## Troubled Debt Restructurings

In April 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board released Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-02, Receivables, which provides clarification for creditors in determining whether or not a restructuring of a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring. This new guidance is effective for us as of July 1,2011; but will be applied retrospectively to January 1, 2011 upon adoption. We may identify student loans that are considered a troubled debt restructuring that were previously not and this may require us to increase the amount of recorded impairment. We are currently evaluating the new guidance and have not yet determined what effect, if any, it will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

## Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

Our interest rate risk management seeks to limit the impact of short-term movements in interest rates on our results of operations and financial position. The following tables summarize the effect on earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and the effect on fair values at March 31,2011 and December 31,2010, based upon a sensitivity analysis performed by management assuming a hypothetical increase in market interest rates of 100 basis points and 300 basis points while funding spreads remain constant. Additionally, as it relates to the effect on earnings, a sensitivity analysis was performed assuming the
funding index increases 25 basis points while holding the asset index constant, if the funding index is different than the asset index.

| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Interest Rates: |  |  |  | Asset andFundingIndex |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change from } \\ \text { Increase of } \\ 100 \text { Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change from } \\ \text { Increase of } \\ \mathbf{3 0 0} \text { Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mismatches }(1) \\ \hline \text { Increase of } \\ 25 \text { Basis } \\ \text { Points } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | S | \% | S | \% | \$ | \% |
| Effect on Earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase/(decrease) in pre-tax net income before unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities | \$ (3) | (1)\% |  | -\% | \$ (106) | (32)\% |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities | 472 | 830 | 818 | 1,440 | (25) | (44) |
| Increase in net income before taxes | \$469 | 170\% | \$819 | 297\% | \$(131) | (47) $\%$ |
| Increase in diluted earnings per common share | \$.88 | $\underline{~ 274 \%}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$ 1.54}}$ | 479\% | \$ (.25) | (77) $\%$ |


| (Dollars in millions, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Interest Rates: |  |  |  | Asset andFundingIndex |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change from } \\ \text { Increase of } \\ \text { 100 Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change from } \\ \text { Increase of } \\ \text { 300 Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mismatches }(1) \\ \hline \text { Increase of } \\ \text { 25 Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | S | \% | S | \% | S | \% |
| Effect on Earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Increase/(decrease) in pre-tax net income before unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities |  | -\% | \$ 12 | 4\% | \$ (91) | (37)\% |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities | 350 | 287 | 607 | 497 | (46) | (37) |
| Increase in net income before taxes | \$351 | 89\% | \$619 | 156\% | \$ (137) | (37)\% |
| Increase in diluted earnings per common share | \$.67 | 148\% | $\underline{\text { \$1.18 }}$ | 261\% | \$ (.28) | (62) $\%$ |

[^8]| (Dollars in millions) | At March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Interest Rates: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change from } \\ \text { Increase of } \\ \text { 100 Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Change from Increase of 300 Basis Points |  |
|  |  |  | S | \% | S | \% |
| Effect on Fair Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total FFELP Loans | \$143,790 |  | \$ (641) | -\% | \$(1,306) | (1)\% |
| Private Education Loans | 32,572 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Other earning assets | 11,246 |  | (1) | - | (1) | - |
| Other assets | 10,424 |  | (473) | (5) | (804) | (8)\% |
| Total assets | $\underline{\underline{\$ 198,032}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{\$(1,115)}}$ | (1) $\%$ | $\underline{\text { \$(2,111) }}$ | (1) $\%$ |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest - bearing liabilities | \$187,087 |  | \$ (779) | -\% | \$ $(2,154)$ | (1)\% |
| Other liabilities | 3,945 |  | (375) | (10) | (25) | (1) |
| Total liabilities | \$191,032 |  | $\underline{\underline{\$(1,154)}}$ | (1) $\%$ | \$(2,179) | (1)\% |
|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Interest Rates: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Change from } \\ \text { Increase of } \\ 100 \text { Basis } \\ \text { Points } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Change from } \\ & \text { Increase of } \\ & \mathbf{3 0 0} \text { Basis } \\ & \text { Points } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| (Dollars in millions) | Fair Value |  | S | \% | \$ | \% |
| Effect on Fair Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total FFELP Loans | \$147,163 |  | \$ (649) | -\% | \$(1,318) | (1)\% |
| Private Education Loans | 30,949 |  | - | - | - | - |
| Other earning assets | 11,641 |  | (1) | - | (2) | - |
| Other assets | 9,449 |  | (565) | (6) | (996) | (11)\% |
| Total assets | $\underline{\underline{\$ 199,202}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{\$(1,215})}$ | (1)\% | \$(2,316) | (1) $\%$ |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest - bearing liabilities | \$187,959 |  | \$ (704) | -\% | \$(1,938) | (1)\% |
| Other liabilities | 3,136 |  | (217) | (7) | 257 | 8 |
| Total liabilities | \$191,095 |  | \$ (921) | - ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\underline{\underline{\$(1,681)}}$ | (1)\% |

A primary objective in our funding is to minimize our sensitivity to changing interest rates by generally funding our floating rate student loan portfolio with floating rate debt. However, due to the ability of some FFELP loans to earn Floor Income, we can have a fixed versus floating mismatch in funding if the student loan earns at the fixed borrower rate and the funding remains floating. In addition, we can have a mismatch in the index (including the frequency of reset) of floating rate debt versus floating rate assets.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, certain FFELP Loans were earning Floor Income and we locked in a portion of that Floor Income through the use of Floor Income Contracts. The result of these hedging transactions was to convert a portion of the fixed rate nature of student loans to variable rate, and to fix the relative spread between the student loan asset rate and the variable rate liability.

In the preceding tables, under the scenario where interest rates increase 100 and 300 basis points, the change in pre-tax net income before the unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities is primarily due to the impact of (i) our unhedged loans being in a fixed-rate mode due to Floor Income, while being funded with variable debt in low interest rate environments; and (ii) a portion of our variable assets being funded with fixed rate liabilities and equity. Item (i) will generally cause income to decrease when interest rates increase from a low interest rate environment, whereas item (ii) will generally offset this decrease. In the three months ended March 31, 2011 , item (i) had a greater impact compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010 due to a larger amount of unhedged Floor Income in the current year period. The increase in unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities in both scenarios is primarily related to Floor Income Contracts that do not qualify for GAAP hedge accounting treatment and therefore are not offset by any mark-to-market of the economically hedged Floor Income.

Under the scenario in the tables above labeled "Asset and Funding Index Mismatches," the main driver of the decrease in pre-tax income before unrealized gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities is the result of LIBOR-based debt funding commercial paper-indexed assets. See "Asset and Liability Funding Gap" of this Item 3 for a further discussion. Increasing the spread between indices will also impact the unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities as it relates to basis swap that hedge the mismatch between the asset and funding indices.

In addition to interest rate risk addressed in the preceding tables, we are also exposed to risks related to foreign currency exchange rates. Foreign currency exchange risk is primarily the result of foreign currency denominated debt issued by us. As it relates to our corporate unsecured and securitization debt programs used to fund our business, our policy is to use cross currency interest rate swaps to swap all foreign currency denominated debt payments (fixed and floating) to U.S. dollar LIBOR using a fixed exchange rate. In the tables above, there would be an immaterial impact on earnings if exchange rates were to decrease or increase, due to the terms of the hedging instrument and hedged items matching. The balance sheet interest bearing liabilities would be affected by a change in exchange rates; however, the change would be materially offset by the cross currency interest rate swaps in other assets or other liabilities. In the current economic environment, volatility in the spread between spot and forward foreign exchange rates has resulted in material mark-to-market impacts to current-period earnings which have not been factored into the above analysis. The earnings impact is noncash, and at maturity of the instruments the cumulative mark-to-market impact will be zero.

## Asset and Liability Funding Gap

The tables below present our assets and liabilities (funding) arranged by underlying indices as of March 31, 2011. In the following GAAP presentation, the funding gap only includes derivatives that qualify as effective hedges (those derivatives which are reflected in net interest margin, as opposed to those reflected in the "gains (losses) on derivatives and hedging activities, net" line on the consolidated statements of income). The difference between the asset and the funding is the funding gap for the specified index. This represents our exposure to interest rate risk in the form of basis risk and repricing risk, which is the risk that the different indices may reset at different frequencies or may not move in the same direction or at the same magnitude.

Management analyzes interest rate risk and in doing so includes all derivatives that are economically hedging our debt whether they qualify as effective hedges or not ("Core Earnings" basis). Accordingly, we are also presenting the asset and liability funding gap on a "Core Earnings" basis in the table that follows the GAAP presentation.

GAAP-Basis

| Index <br> (Dollars in billions) | Frequency of Variable Resets | Assets | Funding(1) |  | FundingGap |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-month Commercial paper | daily | \$136.4 | \$ | . 1 |  | 136.3 |
| 3-month Treasury bill | weekly | 7.9 |  | - |  | 7.9 |
| Prime | annual | . 8 |  | - |  | . 8 |
| Prime | quarterly | 5.3 |  | - |  | 5.3 |
| Prime | monthly | 22.7 |  | - |  | 22.7 |
| Prime | daily | - |  | 3.0 |  | (3.0) |
| PLUS Index | annual | . 5 |  | - |  | . 5 |
| 3-month LIBOR | daily | - |  | - |  | - |
| 3-month LIBOR | quarterly | - |  | 129.4 |  | 129.4) |
| 1-month LIBOR | monthly | 8.1 |  | 17.8 |  | (9.7) |
| CMT/CPI Index | monthly/quarterly | - |  | 1.6 |  | (1.6) |
| Non-Discrete reset(2) | monthly | - |  | 32.7 |  | (32.7) |
| Non-Discrete reset(3) | daily/weekly | 11.1 |  | 2.6 |  | 8.5 |
| Fixed Rate(4) |  | 10.6 |  | 16.2 |  | (5.6) |
| Total |  | \$203.4 | \$ | 203.4 | \$ | - |

(1) Funding includes all derivatives that qualify as hedges.
(2) Funding consists of auction rate securities, the ABCP Facilities and the ED Conduit Program facility.
(3) Assets include restricted and unrestricted cash equivalents and other overnight type instruments. Funding includes retail and other deposits and cash collateral held related to derivatives exposures that are recorded as a short-term debt obligation.
(4) Assets include receivables and other assets (including goodwill and acquired intangibles). Funding includes other liabilities and stockholders' equity (excluding series B Preferred Stock).

The "Funding Gaps" in the above table are primarily interest rate mismatches in short-term indices between our assets and liabilities. We address this issue typically through the use of basis swaps that typically convert quarterly reset 3-month LIBOR to other indices that are more correlated to our asset indices. These basis swaps do not qualify as effective hedges and as a result the effect on the funding index is not included in our interest margin and is therefore excluded from the GAAP presentation.
"Core Earnings" Basis

| Index <br> (Dollars in billions) | Frequency of Variable Resets | Assets | Funding(1) |  | Funding Gap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-month Commercial paper | daily | \$136.4 | \$ | . 1 | \$136.3 |
| 3-month Treasury bill | weekly | 7.9 |  | 2.0 | 5.9 |
| Prime | annual | . 8 |  | - | . 8 |
| Prime | quarterly | 5.3 |  | 1.5 | 3.8 |
| Prime | monthly | 22.7 |  | 7.6 | 15.1 |
| Prime | daily | - |  | 3.0 | (3.0) |
| PLUS Index | annual | . 5 |  | - | . 5 |
| 3-month LIBOR | daily | - |  | 48.5 | (48.5) |
| 3-month LIBOR | quarterly | - |  | 57.9 | (57.9) |
| 1-month LIBOR | monthly | 8.1 |  | 23.7 | (15.6) |
| 1-month LIBOR | daily | - |  | 9.0 | (9.0) |
| Non-Discrete reset(2) | monthly | - |  | 32.7 | (32.7) |
| Non-Discrete reset(3) | daily/weekly | 11.1 |  | 2.6 | 8.5 |
| Fixed Rate(4) |  | 7.3 |  | 11.5 | (4.2) |
| Total |  | \$200.1 | \$ | 200.1 | \$ - |

(1) Funding includes all derivatives that management considers economic hedges of interest rate risk and reflects how we internally manage our interest rate exposure.
(2) Funding consists of auction rate securities, the ABCP Facilities and the ED Conduit Program facility.
(3) Assets include restricted and unrestricted cash equivalents and other overnight type instruments. Funding includes retail and other deposits and cash collateral held related to derivatives exposures that are recorded as a short-term debt obligation.
(4) Assets include receivables and other assets (including goodwill and acquired intangibles). Funding includes other liabilities and stockholders' equity (excluding series B Preferred Stock).

We use interest rate swaps and other derivatives to achieve our risk management objectives. To the extent possible, we fund our assets with debt (in combination with derivatives) that has the same underlying index (index type and index reset frequency). When it is more economical, we also fund our assets with debt that has a different index and/or reset frequency than the asset, but only in instances where we believe there is a high degree of correlation between the interest rate movement of the two indices. For example, we use daily reset and quarterly reset 3 -month LIBOR to fund a large portion of our daily reset 3 -month commercial paper indexed assets. In addition, we use quarterly reset 3 -month LIBOR to fund a portion of our quarterly reset Prime rate indexed Private Education Loans. We also use our monthly Non-Discrete reset and 1-month LIBOR funding to fund various asset types. In using different index types and different index reset frequencies to fund our assets, we are exposed to interest rate risk in the form of basis risk and repricing risk, which is the risk that the different indices that may reset at different frequencies will not move in the same direction or at the same magnitude. While we believe that this risk is low, as all of these indices are short-term with rate movements that are highly correlated over a long period of time, market disruptions can lead to a temporary divergence between indices as was experienced beginning in the second half of 2007 through the second quarter of 2009 with the commercial paper and LIBOR indices. As of March 31, 2011, we have approximately $\$ 90$ billion of FFELP Loans indexed to 3-month commercial paper that are funded with debt indexed to 3-month LIBOR.

## Weighted Average Life

The following table reflects the weighted average life for our earning assets and liabilities at March 31,2011.

| (Averages in Years) | Weighted Average Life |
| :---: | :---: |
| Earning assets |  |
| Student loans | 7.7 |
| Other loans | 6.3 |
| Cash and investments | . 1 |
| Total earning assets | 7.3 |
| Borrowings |  |
| Short-term borrowings | . 3 |
| Long-term borrowings | 7.2 |
| Total borrowings | 6.0 |

Item 4. Controls and Procedures
Disclosure Controls and Procedures
Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of March 31, 2011. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, as of March 31, 2011 , our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (a) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (b) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended March 31,2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Mark A. Arthur et al. v. SLM Corporation. As previously disclosed, this suit involves allegations we contacted consumers on their cellular telephones via autodialer without their consent in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. ("TCPA"). Each violation under the TCPA provides for $\$ 500$ in statutory damages ( $\$ 1,500$ if a willful violation is shown). Plaintiffs seek statutory damages, damages for willful violations, attorneys' fees, costs, and injunctive relief. We have vigorously denied all claims asserted against us, but agreed to the settlement to avoid the burden and expense of continued litigation. On January 21, 2011, and February 7,2011 , we filed submissions with the Court to advise that approximately 1.76 million individuals had been omitted from the original notice list for a total of approximately 6.6 million class members. In response, Class Counsel asked us to contribute additional unspecified amounts to the previously negotiated $\$ 19.5$ million settlement fund. On February 10, 2011, the Court granted a Consented Motion to Stay Implementation of Settlement and Certain Deadlines. The Court ordered Class Counsel to file a status report on March 18, 2011.

As of the date of this filing, we are continuing our efforts to determine the number of class members who were omitted from the notice list of class members and the additional amounts to be contributed to the settlement fund.

We and our subsidiaries and affiliates also are subject to various claims, lawsuits and other actions that arise in the normal course of business. Most of these matters are claims by borrowers disputing the manner in which their loans have been processed or the accuracy of our reports to credit bureaus. In addition, our collections subsidiaries are routinely named in individual plaintiff or class action lawsuit in which the plaintiffs allege that those subsidiaries have violated a federal or state law in the process of collecting their accounts. We believe that these claims, lawsuits and other actions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Finally, from time to time, we and our subsidiaries and affiliates receive information and document requests from state attorneys general, legislative committees and administrative agencies concerning certain business practices. Our practice has been and continues to be to cooperate with these bodies and to be responsive to any such requests.

For a description of these items and other litigation to which we are a party, see our 2010 Form 10-K.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information relating to our purchase of shares of our common stock from January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2011:

| (Common shares in millions) | Total Number of Shares Purchased(1) | Average Price Paid per Share |  | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2) | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be <br> Purchased Under Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period: |  |  |  |  |  |
| January 1 - January 31, 2011 | . 7 | \$ | 14.00 | - | 38.8 |
| February 1 - February 28, 2011 | . 6 |  | 14.86 | - | 38.8 |
| March 1 - March 31, 2011 | . 4 |  | 15.07 | - | 38.8 |
| Total first-quarter 2011 | 1.7 | \$ | 14.54 | - |  |

(1) Represents shares of our common stock tendered to us to satisfy: (i) the exercise price in connection with cashless exercise of stock options and (ii) tax withholding obligations in connection with exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units.
(2) During the first quarter of 2011, we did not make any open market purchases of our common stock. In April 2011, our board of directors authorized us to purchase up to $\$ 300$ million of shares of our common stock in open market transactions, and terminated all previous authorizations. There is no expiration date related to this new program.

The closing price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on March 31, 2011 was $\$ 15.30$.
On April 20, 2011, we declared a quarterly dividend of $\$ .10$ per share on our common stock. The dividend is payable June 17, 2011, to shareholders of record at the close of business on June 3, 2011. We also authorized the repurchase of up to $\$ 300$ million of outstanding common stock in open-market transactions and terminated the previous stock repurchase remaining authorization to buy back a maximum of 38.8 million shares of our common stock.

In March 2011, we retired all 70 million shares of common stock held in treasury. This transaction resulted in decreasing the balance in treasury stock by $\$ 1.9$ billion, with corresponding decreases of $\$ 14$ million in common stock and $\$ 1.9$ billion in additional paid-in capital. There was no impact to total equity from this transaction.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities
Nothing to report.
Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).

## Item 5. Other Information

Nothing to report.

## Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are furnished or filed, as applicable:

| 10.1 | SLM Corporation Executive Severance Plan for Senior Officers $\dagger^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10.2 | SLM Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan for Senior Officers $\dagger^{*}$ |
| 10.3 | Employment Agreement between Laurent C. Lutz and the Company $\dagger^{*}$ |
| 10.4 | Confidential Agreement and Release of John (Jack) Hewes $\dagger^{*}$ |
| 10.5 | Amendment to Stock Option and Restricted/Performance Stock Terms $\dagger^{*}$ |
| 10.6 | SLM Corporation 2009 - 2012 Incentive Plan Stock Option Agreement, Net Settled, Time Vested Options - 2011 $\dagger^{*}$ |
| 10.7 | SLM Corporation 2009 - 2012 Incentive Plan Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Term Sheet Time Vested |
|  | $-2011 \dagger^{*}$ |
| 31.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of |
|  | 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of |
|  | 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document. |
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

SLM CORPORATION
(Registrant)

By: /s/ JONATHAN C. CLARK
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: May 6, 2011

## GLOSSARY

Listed below are definitions of key terms that are used throughout this document. See also APPENDIX A, "FEDERAL FAMILY EDUCATION LOAN PROGRAM," included in SLM Corporation's (the Company's) 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on February 28, 2011 , for a further discussion of the FFELP.

Consolidation Loan Rebate Fee - All holders of FFELP Consolidation Loans are required to pay to the U.S. Department of Education ("ED") an annual 105 basis point Consolidation Loan Rebate Fee on all outstanding principal and accrued interest balances of FFELP Consolidation Loans purchased or originated after October 1, 1993, except for loans for which consolidation applications were received between October 1, 1998 and January 31, 1999, where the Consolidation Loan Rebate Fee is 62 basis points.

Constant Prepayment Rate ("CPR") - A variable in life-of-loan estimates that measures the rate at which loans in the portfolio prepay before their stated maturity. The CPR is directly correlated to the average life of the portfolio. CPR equals the percentage of loans that prepay annually as a percentage of the beginning of period balance.
"Core Earnings" - We prepare financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP"). In addition to evaluating our GAAP-based financial information, management evaluates the business segments on a basis that, as allowed under the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 280, "Segment Reporting," differs from GAAP. We refer to management's basis of evaluating its segment results as "Core Earnings" presentations for each business segment and refer to these performance measures in our presentations with equity investors, credit rating agencies and debt capital providers. While "Core Earnings" results are not a substitute for reported results under GAAP, we rely on "Core Earnings" performance measures in operating each business segment because we believe these measures provide additional information regarding the operational and performance indicators that are most closely assessed by management.
"Core Earnings" performance measures are the primary financial performance measures used by management to evaluate performance and to allocate resources. Accordingly, financial information is reported to management on a "Core Earnings" basis by reportable segment, as these are the measures used regularly by our chief operating decision makers. "Core Earnings" performance measures are used in developing our financial plans, tracking results, and establishing corporate performance targets and incentive compensation. Management believes this information provides additional insight into the financial performance of our core business activities. "Core Earnings" performance measures are not defined terms within GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Our "Core Earnings" presentation does not represent another comprehensive basis of accounting.
"Note 11 - Segment Reporting" and Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - 'Core Earnings' - Definition and Limitations - Differences between 'Core Earnings' and GAAP" for further discussion of the differences between "Core Earnings" and GAAP, as well as reconciliations between "Core Earnings" and GAAP.

Direct Lending; Direct Loans - Educational loans provided by the DSLP (see definition, below) to students and parent borrowers directly through ED (see definition below) rather than through a bank or other lender.

DSLP - The William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program.
ED - The U.S. Department of Education.
Exceptional Performer - The exceptional performer designation is determined by ED in recognition of a servicer meeting certain performance standards set by ED in servicing FFELP Loans. Upon receiving the designation, the servicer receives reimbursement on default claims higher than the legislated Risk Sharing levels on federally guaranteed student loans for all loans serviced for a period of at least 270 days before the date of default. The servicer is entitled to receive this benefit as long as it remains in compliance with the required servicing standards, which are assessed on an annual and quarterly basis through compliance audits
and other criteria. The annual assessment is in part based upon subjective factors which alone may form the basis for an ED determination to withdraw the designation. If the designation is withdrawn, Risk Sharing may be applied retroactively to the date of the occurrence that resulted in noncompliance. The CCRAA eliminated the EP designation effective October 1, 2007. See also Appendix A "Federal Family Education Loan Program."

FFELP - The Federal Family Education Loan Program, formerly the Guaranteed Student Loan Program.
FFELP Consolidation Loans - Under the FFELP, borrowers with multiple eligible student loans may consolidate them into a single student loan with one lender at a fixed rate for the life of the loan. The new loan is considered a FFELP Consolidation Loan. Typically a borrower may consolidate his student loans only once unless the borrower has another eligible loan to consolidate with the existing FFELP Consolidation Loan. The borrower rate on a FFELP Consolidation Loan is fixed for the term of the loan and is set by the weighted average interest rate of the loans being consolidated, rounded up to the nearest $1 / 8$ th of a percent, not to exceed 8.25 percent. In low interest rate environments, FFELP Consolidation Loans provide an attractive refinancing opportunity to certain borrowers because they allow borrowers to consolidate variable rate loans into a long-term fixed rate loan. Holders of FFELP Consolidation Loans are eligible to earn interest under the Special Allowance Payment ("SAP") formula. In April 2008, we suspended originating new FFELP Consolidation Loans.

FFELP Stafford and Other Student Loans - Education loans to students or parents of students that are guaranteed or reinsured under the FFELP. The loans are primarily Stafford loans but also include PLUS and HEAL loans.

Fixed Rate Floor Income - Fixed Rate Floor Income is Floor Income associated with student loans with borrower rates that are fixed to term (primarily FFELP Consolidation Loans and Stafford Loans originated on or after July 1, 2006).

Floor Income - FFELP Loans generally earn interest at the higher of either the borrower rate, which is fixed over a period of time, or a floating rate based on the SAP formula. We generally finance our student loan portfolio with floating rate debt whose interest is matched closely to the floating nature of the applicable SAP formula. If interest rates decline to a level at which the borrower rate exceeds the SAP formula rate, we continue to earn interest on the loan at the fixed borrower rate while the floating rate interest on our debt continues to decline. In these interest rate environments, we refer to the additional spread it earns between the fixed borrower rate and the SAP formula rate as Floor Income. Depending on the type of student loan and when it was originated, the borrower rate is either fixed to term or is reset to a market rate each July 1. As a result, for loans where the borrower rate is fixed to term, we may earn Floor Income for an extended period of time, and for those loans where the borrower interest rate is reset annually on July 1, we may earn Floor Income to the next reset date. In accordance with legislation enacted in 2006, lenders are required to rebate Floor Income to ED for all FFELP Loans disbursed on or after April 1, 2006.

The following example shows the mechanics of Floor Income for a typical fixed rate FFELP Consolidation Loan (with a commercial paper-based SAP spread of 2.64 percent):

| Fixed Borrower Rate | $7.25 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| SAP Spread over Commercial Paper Rate | $(2.64) \%$ |
| Floor Strike Rate(1) | $4.61 \%$ |

(1) The interest rate at which the underlying index (Treasury bill or commercial paper) plus the fixed SAP spread equals the fixed borrower rate. Floor Income is earned anytime the interest rate of the underlying index declines below this rate.
Based on this example, if the quarterly average commercial paper rate is over 4.61 percent, the holder of the student loan will earn at a floating rate based on the SAP formula, which in this example is a fixed spread to commercial paper of 2.64 percent. On the other hand, if the quarterly average commercial paper rate is below 4.61 percent, the SAP formula will produce a rate below the fixed borrower rate of 7.25 percent and the loan holder earns at the borrower rate of 7.25 percent.

## Graphic Depiction of Floor Income:



Floor Income Contracts - We enter into contracts with counterparties under which, in exchange for an upfront fee representing the present value of the Floor Income that we expect to earn on a notional amount of underlying student loans being economically hedged, we will pay the counterparties the Floor Income earned on that notional amount over the life of the Floor Income Contract Specifically, we agree to pay the counterparty the difference, if positive, between the fixed borrower rate less the SAP (see definition below) spread and the average of the applicable interest rate index on that notional amount, regardless of the actual balance of underlying student loans, over the life of the contract. The contracts generally do not extend over the life of the underlying student loans. This contract effectively locks in the amount of Floor Income we will earn over the period of the contract. Floor Income Contracts are not considered effective hedges under ASC 815 , "Derivatives and Hedging," and each quarter we must record the change in fair value of these contracts through income

Gross Floor Income - Floor Income earned before payments on Floor Income Contracts
Guarantor(s) - State agencies or non-profit companies that guarantee (or insure) FFELP Loans made by eligible lenders under The Higher Education Act of 1965 ("HEA"), as amended.

Private Education Loans - Education loans to students or parents of students that are not guaranteed under the FFELP. Private Education Loans include loans for higher education (undergraduate and graduate degrees) and for alternative education, such as career training, private kindergarten through secondary education schools and tutorial schools. Higher education loans have repayment terms similar to FFELP Loans, whereby repayments begin after the borrower leaves school. Our higher education Private Education Loans are not dischargeable in bankruptcy, except in certain limited circumstances. Repayment for alternative education generally begins immediately.

In the context of our Private Education Loan business, we use the term "non-traditional loans" to describe education loans made to certain borrowers that have or are expected to have a high default rate as a result of a number of factors, including having a lower tier credit rating, low program completion and graduation rates or, where the borrower is expected to graduate, a low expected income relative to the borrower's cost of attendance. Non-traditional loans are loans to borrowers attending for-profit schools with an origina FICO score of less than 670 and borrowers attending not-for-profit schools with an original FICO score of less than 640 . The FICO score used in determining whether a loan is non-traditional is the greater of the borrower or co-borrower FICO score at origination.

Repayment Borrower Benefits - Financial incentives offered to borrowers based on pre-determined qualifying factors, which are generally tied directly to making on-time monthly payments. The impact of Repayment Borrower Benefits is dependent on the estimate of the number of borrowers who will eventually qualify for these benefits and the amount of the financial benefit offered to the borrower. We occasionally change Repayment Borrower Benefits programs in both amount and qualification factors. These programmatic changes must be reflected in the estimate of the Repayment Borrower Benefits discount when made.

Residual Interest - When we securitize student loans, we retain the right to receive cash flows from the student loans sold to trusts that we sponsor in excess of amounts needed to pay servicing, derivative costs (if any), other fees, and the principal and interest on the bonds backed by the student loans. The Residual Interest, which may also include reserve and other cash accounts, is the present value of these future expected cash flows, which includes the present value of any Embedded Fixed Rate Floor Income described above. We value the Residual Interest at the time of sale of the student loans to the trust and as of the end of each subsequent quarter.

Retained Interest - The Retained Interest includes the Residual Interest (defined above) and servicing rights (as we retain the servicing responsibilities) for our securitization transactions accounted for as sales.

Risk Sharing - When a FFELP loan first disbursed on and after July 1, 2006 defaults, the federal government guarantees 97 percent of the principal balance plus accrued interest ( 98 percent on loans disbursed before July 1,2006 ) and the holder of the loan is at risk for the remaining amount not guaranteed as a Risk Sharing loss on the loan. FFELP Loans originated after October 1, 1993 are subject to Risk Sharing on loan default claim payments unless the default results from the borrower's death, disability or bankruptcy. FFELP Loans serviced by a servicer that has Exceptional Performer designation from ED were subject to one-percent Risk Sharing for claims filed on or after July 1, 2006 and before October 1, 2007. The CCRAA reduces default insurance to 95 percent of the unpaid principal and accrued interest for loans first disbursed on or after October 1, 2012.

Special Allowance Payment ("SAP") - FFELP Loans disbursed prior to April 1, 2006 (with the exception of certain PLUS and SLS loans discussed below) generally earn interest at the greater of the borrower rate or a floating rate determined by reference to the average of the applicable floating rates (91-day Treasury bill rate or commercial paper) in a calendar quarter, plus a fixed spread that is dependent upon when the loan was originated and the loan's repayment status. If the resulting floating rate exceeds the borrower rate, ED pays the difference directly to us. This payment is referred to as the Special Allowance Payment or SAP and the formula used to determine the floating rate is the SAP formula. We refer to the fixed spread to the underlying index as the SAP spread. For loans disbursed after April 1, 2006, FFELP Loans effectively only earn at the SAP rate, as the excess interest earned when the borrower rate exceeds the SAP rate (Floor Income) must be refunded to ED.

Variable rate PLUS Loans and SLS Loans earn SAP only if the variable rate, which is reset annually, exceeds the applicable maximum borrower rate. For PLUS loans disbursed on or after January 1, 2000, this limitation on SAP was repealed effective April 1, 2006.

Variable Rate Floor Income - Variable Rate Floor Income is Floor Income that is earned only through the next date at which the borrower interest rate is reset to a market rate. For FFELP Stafford loans whose borrower interest rate resets annually on July 1, we may earn Floor Income or Embedded Floor Income based on a calculation of the difference between the borrower rate and the then current interest rate.

## SLM CORPORATION

Change in Control Severance Plan for Senior Officers

## ARTICLE 1

## NAME, PURPOSE AND EFFECTIVE DATE

1.01 Name and Purpose of Plan. The name of this plan is the SLM Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan for Senior Officers (the "Plan"). The purpose of the Plan is to provide compensation and benefits to certain senior level officers of SLM Corporation upon certain change in control events of SLM Corporation (the "Corporation").
1.02 Effective Date. The effective date of the Plan is January 1, 2006. Sections 2.03 and 3.01 of the Plan were amended on March 19, 2008. The Plan was further amended effective January 1, 2009 and on December 8, 2010 and March 31, 2011. The compensation and benefits payable under this Plan are payable upon Change in Control events that occur after the effective date of this Plan.
1.03 ERISA Status. This Plan is intended to be an unfunded plan that is maintained primarily to provide severance compensation and benefits to a select group of "management or highly compensated employees" within the meaning of Sections 201, 301, and 401 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), and therefore to be exempt from the provisions of Parts 2, 3, and 4 of Title I of ERISA.

## ARTICLE 2

## DEFINITIONS

The following words and phrases shall have the following meanings unless a different meaning is plainly required by the context:
2.01 "Base Salary" means the greater of the annual base rate of compensation payable to an Eligible Officer at the time of (a) a Change in Control or (b) a Termination Date, such annual base rate of compensation not reduced by any pre-tax deferrals under any tax-qualified plan, non-qualified deferred compensation plan, qualified transportation fringe benefit plan under Code Section 132(f), or cafeteria plan under Code Section 125 maintained by the Corporation, but excluding the following: incentive or other bonus plan payments, accrued vacation, commissions, sick leave, holidays, jury duty, bereavement, other paid leaves of absence, short-term disability payments, recruiting/job referral bonuses, severance, hiring bonuses, long-term disability payments, payments from a nonqualified deferred compensation plan maintained by the Corporation, or amounts paid on account of the exercise of stock options or on account of the award or vesting of restricted or performance stock or other stock-based compensation.
2.02 "Board of Directors" means the Board of Directors of SLM Corporation.
2.03 "Bonus" means the greater of: (a) the average of the annual bonuses earned under the SLM Corporation Incentive Plan or any successor plan for the two-year period prior to a Change in Control or (b) the average of the annual bonuses earned under the SLM Corporation

Incentive Plan or any successor plan, including a comparable annual incentive plan of a Successor Corporation, for the two-year period prior to the Eligible Officer's Termination Date, except that with regard to an Eligible Officer with no bonus payment history, "Bonus" means such Eligible Officer's target bonus multiplied by the percentage that results from dividing the two-year average of actual bonuses paid to officers at the same level as the Newly Hired Officer by the two-year average of the target bonuses set for officers at the same level as the Newly Hired Officer, and with regard to an Eligible Officer with one year of bonus history, such Eligible Officer's "Bonus" means the average of 1) his or her actual bonus and 2) his or her target bonus multiplied by the percentage that results from dividing the average of actual bonuses paid to officers at the same level as the Newly Hired Officer by the average of the target bonuses set for officers at the same level as the Newly Hired Officer.
2.04 "Equity Acceleration Change in Control" means an occurrence of any of the following events: (a) an acquisition (other than directly from the Corporation) of any voting securities of the Corporation (the "Voting Securities") by any "person or group" (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) or 14(d) (2) of the Exchange Act), other than an employee benefit plan of the Corporation, immediately after which such person has "Beneficial Ownership" (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act) of more than fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) of the combined voting power of the Corporation's then outstanding Voting Securities; (b) the closing of a merger, consolidation or reorganization involving the Corporation and the entity resulting from the merger, consolidation or reorganization (the "Surviving Corporation") does not assume the SLM Corporation Incentive Plan; (c) the closing of a merger, consolidation or reorganization involving the Corporation and the Surviving Corporation assumes the SLM Corporation Incentive Plan but, either (I) the stockholders of the Corporation immediately before such merger, consolidation or reorganization own, directly or indirectly immediately following such merger, consolidation or reorganization, less than fifty percent (50\%) of the combined voting power of the Surviving Corporation in substantially the same proportion as their ownership immediately before such merger, consolidation or reorganization, or (II) less than a majority of the members of the Board of Directors of the Surviving Corporation were directors of the Corporation immediately prior to the execution of the agreement providing for such merger, consolidation or reorganization; (d) the filing of a certificate of dissolution with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to effect a dissolution of the Corporation or the filing of a petition for relief under the United States Bankruptcy Code; or (e) such other events as the Board of Directors or a Committee of the Board of Directors from time to time may specify.
2.05 "Cash Acceleration Change in Control" means the occurrence of any one of the events constituting an Equity Acceleration Change in Control as defined above, or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the Corporation.
2.06 "For Cause" means a determination by the Committee (as defined herein) that there has been a willful and continuing failure of an Eligible Officer to perform substantially his duties and responsibilities (other than as a result of Eligible Officer's death or Disability) and, if in the judgment of the Committee such willful and continuing failure may be cured by an Eligible Officer, that such failure has not been cured by an Eligible Officer within ten (10) business days after written notice of such was given to Eligible Officer by the Committee, or that

Eligible Officer has committed an act of Misconduct (as defined below). For purposes of this Plan, "Misconduct" shall mean: (a) embezzlement, fraud, conviction of a felony crime, pleading guilty or nolo contender to a felony crime, or breach of fiduciary duty or deliberate disregard of the Corporation's Code of Business Code; (b) personal dishonesty of Eligible Officer materially injurious to the Corporation; (c) an unauthorized disclosure of any Proprietary Information; or (d) competing with the Corporation while employed by the Corporation or during the Restricted Period, in contravention of the noncompetition and non-solicitation agreements substantially in the form provided in Exhibit A upon termination of employment.
2.07 "Termination of Employment For Good Reason" means an Eligible Officer's decision to resign from his employment due to (a) a material reduction in the position or responsibilities of Eligible Officer; (b) a reduction in Eligible Officer's Base Salary or a material reduction in Eligible Officer's compensation arrangements or benefits, (provided that variability in the value of stock-based compensation or in the compensation provided under the SLM Corporation Incentive Plan or a successor plan shall not be deemed to cause a material reduction in compensation); or (c) a relocation of the Eligible Officer's primary work location to a distance of more than seventy-five (75) miles from its location as of the date of this Plan without the consent of Eligible Officer, unless such relocation results in the Eligible Officer's primary work location being closer to Eligible Officer's then primary residence or does not substantially increase the average commuting time of Eligible Officer.
2.08 "Termination Date" has the following meaning. For purposes of a "Termination by Eligible Officer For Good Reason," Termination Date means the date that the Eligible Officer submits his written notice of resignation to the Corporation; provided, however, that if the decision to resign is due to clause (a) of the definition of "Termination by Eligible Officer For Good Reason," the Termination Date means the date that is six months following the date that the Eligible Officer submits his written notice of resignation to the Corporation. For purposes of a "Termination of Employment by Corporation Without Cause," Termination Date means the date the Corporation delivers written notice of termination to the Eligible Officer.
2.09 "Termination of Eligible Officer's Employment Without Cause" means termination of an Eligible Officer's employment by the Corporation for any reason other than "For Cause" or on account of death or disability, as defined in the Corporation's long-term disability policy in effect at the time of termination ("Disability").

## ARTICLE 3

## ELIGIBILITY AND BENEFITS

3.01 Eligible Officers. Officers of SLM Corporation at the level of Senior Vice President and above are eligible for benefits under this Plan (the "Eligible Officers").
3.02 Limitation on Single Trigger Change-in-Control Benefits. Upon an Equity Acceleration Change in Control, all outstanding and unvested equity awards held by an Eligible Officer and granted under the SLM Corporation Management Incentive Plan or the SLM Corporation Incentive Plan shall become vested and non-forfeitable, provided however, that for
equity awards granted in 2009 and in subsequent years the following shall apply: in the event of a Change of Control Transaction involving a merger, consolidation or reorganization and in which the Corporation is not the Surviving Corporation, if the terms of such transaction do not provide for the Surviving Corporation to adopt and assume a Participant's Awards under the Plan (with any appropriate adjustment to the number and type of shares subject to such Awards), the Award shall become $100 \%$ vested and (if applicable) exercisable and shall be settled and (if applicable) exercised in full as of the time immediately prior to the consummation of such Change of Control Transaction.
3.03 Double Trigger Change-in-Control Benefits. An Eligible Officer shall be entitled to receive a severance payment (the "Severance Payment") and continuation of medical and dental insurance benefits if within the first 24-month period after the occurrence of a Cash Acceleration Change in Control, either: (I) the Eligible Officer gives written notice of his Termination of Employment for Good Reason, provided that if such notice is on account of a decision to resign due to clause (a) of the definition of "Termination by Eligible Officer For Good Reason," such Eligible Officer continues his employment for a 6-month period following the delivery of such notice or (II) upon a Termination of Eligible Officer's Employment Without Cause.
(a) The amount of the Severance Payment shall equal two times the sum of the Eligible Officer's Base Salary and Bonus plus a cash payment equal to the Eligible Officer's target annual bonus amount for the year in which the Termination Date occurs, such target bonus amount to be prorated for the full number of months in the final year that the Eligible Officer was employed by the Corporation. The Severance Payment shall be made to the Eligible Officer in a single lump sum cash payment following the date that the Eligible Officer becomes entitled to a Severance Payment but in no event later than seventy-five calendar days from the Termination Date if intended to qualify under Internal Revenue Code Section 409A.
(b) For 24 months following the Eligible Officer's Termination Date, the Eligible Officer and his eligible dependents or survivors shall be entitled to continue to participate in any medical and dental insurance plans generally available to the senior management of the Corporation, as such plans may be in effect from time to time on the terms generally applied to actively employed senior management of the Corporation, including any Eligible Officer costsharing provision. Eligible Officer shall cease to be covered under the foregoing medical and/or dental insurance plans if he becomes eligible to obtain coverage under medical and/or dental insurance plans of a subsequent employer.
(c) All payments and benefits provided under this Section 3.03 are conditioned on the Eligible Officer's continuing compliance with this Plan and the Eligible Officer's execution (and effectiveness) of a release of claims and covenant not to sue and non-competition and non-solicitation agreements substantially in the form provided in Exhibit A upon termination of employment.
3.04. Tax Effect of Payments. (a) No Excise Tax Gross-Up. In the event it is determined that any compensation by or benefit from the Corporation to the Eligible Officer or
for the Eligible Officer's benefit, whether pursuant to the terms of this Plan or otherwise ("Total Payments"), (i) constitute "parachute payments" within the meaning of Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended (the "Code") and (ii) would be subject to taxes of any state, local or federal taxing authority that would not have been imposed but for a change of control, including any excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code, and any successor or comparable provision ("Excise Tax"), then the Eligible Officer's benefits under this Plan or otherwise shall be either (x) delivered in full or (y) delivered as to such lesser extent which would result in no portion of the Total Payments being subject to Excise Tax, whichever of the foregoing amounts, taking into account the applicable federal, state and local income taxes and the Excise Tax, results in the receipt by the Executive on an after-tax basis of the greatest amount of benefits, notwithstanding that all or some portion of the Total Payments may be taxable under Section 4999 of the Code. In the event that the payments and/or benefits are to be reduced pursuant to this Section 3.04(a), such payments and benefits shall be reduced such that the reduction of after-tax compensation to be provided to the Eligible Officer as a result of this Section 3.04(a) is minimized. In applying this principle, the reduction shall be made in a manner consistent with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code and where two economically equivalent amounts are subject to reduction but payable at different times, such amounts shall be reduced on a pro rata basis but not below zero. In addition, the Company may in its discretion, include in the lesser benefits paid under ( y ) above, a reasonable cushion amount to take into account that the final value of the benefits delivered to the Executive Officer could be determined at a later point in time. Each Eligible Officer shall cooperate fully with the Company to determine the benefits applicable under this Section.
(b) Determination by Auditors. All mathematical determinations and all determinations of whether any of the Total Payments are "parachute payments" (within the meaning of section 280 G of the Code) that are required to be made under this Section 3 , shall be made by the independent auditors retained by the Corporation most recently prior to the Change in Control (the "Auditors"), who shall provide their determination (the "Determination"), together with detailed supporting calculations, both to the Corporation and to the Eligible Officer promptly following the Eligible Officer's Termination Date, if applicable, or such earlier time as is requested by the Corporation. Any Determination by the Auditors shall be binding upon the Corporation and the Eligible Officer, absent a binding determination by a governmental taxing authority that a greater or lesser amount of taxes is payable by the Eligible Officer. The Corporation shall pay the fees and costs of the Auditors. If the Auditors do not agree to perform the tasks contemplated by this Section 3, then the Corporation shall promptly select another qualified accounting firm to perform such tasks.
3.05. Section 409A. Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, to the extent that the Committee determines, in its sole discretion, that any payments or benefits to be provided hereunder to or for the benefit of an Eligible Officer who is also a "specified employee" (as such term is defined under Section $409 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{a})(2)(\mathrm{B})(\mathrm{i})$ of the Code or any successor or comparable provision) would be subject to the additional tax imposed under Section $409 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{a})(1)(\mathrm{B})$ of the Code or any successor or comparable provision, the commencement of such payments and/or benefits shall be delayed until the earlier of (x) the date that is six months following the

Termination Date or $(\mathrm{y})$ the date of the Eligible Officer's death or disability (within the meaning of Section 409A(a)(2)(C) of the Code or any successor or comparable provision) (such date is referred to herein as the "Distribution Date"). In the event that the Committee determines that the commencement of any of the benefits to be provided under Section 3.03(b) are to be delayed pursuant to the preceding sentence, the Corporation shall require the Eligible Officer to bear the full cost of such benefits until the Distribution Date at which time the Corporation shall reimburse the Designated Employee for all such costs.

## ARTICLE 4

## WELFARE BENEFIT COMMITTEE

4.01 Welfare Benefit Plan Committee. The Plan shall be administered by the Welfare Benefit Plan Committee, appointed by and serving at the pleasure of the Board of Directors and consisting of at least three (3) officers of the Corporation (the "Committee").
4.02 Powers. The Committee shall have full power, discretion and authority to interpret, construe and administer the Plan and any part hereof, and the Committee's interpretation and construction hereof, and any actions hereunder, shall be binding on all persons for all purposes. The Committee shall provide for the keeping of detailed, written minutes of its actions. The Committee, in fulfilling its responsibilities may (by way of illustration and not of limitation) do any or all of the following:
(i) allocate among its members, and/or delegate to one or more other persons selected by it, responsibility for fulfilling some or all of its responsibilities under the Plan in accordance with Section 405(c) of ERISA;
(ii) designate one or more of its members to sign on its behalf directions, notices and other communications to any entity or other person;
(iii) establish rules and regulations with regard to its conduct and the fulfillment of its responsibilities under the Plan;
(iv) designate other persons to render advice with respect to any responsibility or authority pursuant to the Plan being carried out by it or any of its delegates under the Plan; and
(v) employ legal counsel, consultants and agents as it may deem desirable in the administration of the Plan and rely on the opinion of such counsel.
4.03 Action by Majority. The majority of the members of the Committee in office at the time will constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. All resolutions or other actions taken by the Committee will be by the vote of the majority at any meeting or by written instrument signed by the majority.

## ARTICLE 5

## CLAIM FOR BENEFITS UNDER THIS PLAN

5.01 Claims for Benefits under this Plan. A condition precedent to receipt of severance benefits is the execution of an unaltered release of claims in form and substance prescribed by the Corporation. If an Eligible Officer believes that an individual should have been eligible to participate in the Plan or disputes the amount of benefits under the Plan, such individual may submit a claim for benefits in writing to the Committee within sixty 60 days after the individual's termination of employment. If such claim for benefits is wholly or partially denied, the Committee shall within a reasonable period of time, but no later than 90 days after receipt of the written claim, notify the individual of the denial of the claim. If an extension of time for processing the claim is required, the Committee may take up to an additional 90 days, provided that the Committee sends the individual written notice of the extension before the expiration of the original 90 -day period. The notice provided to the individual will describe why an extension is required and when a decision is expected to be made. If a claim is wholly or partially denied, the denial notice: (1) shall be in writing, (2) shall be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the individual, and (3) shall contain (a) the reasons for the denial, including specific reference to those plan provisions on which the denial is based; (b) a description of any additional information necessary to complete the claim and an explanation of why such information is necessary; (c) an explanation of the steps to be taken to appeal the adverse determination; and (d) a statement of the individual's right to bring a civil action under section 502(a) of ERISA following an adverse decision after appeal. The Committee shall have full discretion consistent with their fiduciary obligations under ERISA to deny or grant a claim in whole or in part. If notice of denial of a claim is not furnished in accordance with this section, the claim shall be deemed denied and the claimant shall be permitted to exercise his rights to review pursuant to Section 5.02 and 5.03.
5.02 Right to Request Review of Benefit Denial. Within 60 days of the individual's receipt of the written notice of denial of the claim, the individual may file a written request for a review of the denial of the individual's claim for benefits In connection with the individual's appeal of the denial of his benefit, the individual may submit comments, records, documents, or other information supporting the appeal, regardless of whether such information was considered in the prior benefits decision. Upon request and free of charge, the individual will be provided reasonable access to and copies of all documents, records and other information relevant to the claim.
5.03 Disposition of Claim. The Committee shall deliver to the individual a written decision on the claim promptly, but not later than 60 days after the receipt of the individual's written request for review, except that if there are special circumstances which require an extension of time for processing, the 60 day period shall be extended to 120 days; provided that the appeal reviewer sends written notice of the extension before the expiration of the original 60 -day period. If the appeal is wholly or partially denied, the denial notice will: (1) be written in a manner calculated to be understood by the individual, (2) contain references to the specific plan provision(s) upon which the decision was based; (3) contain a statement that, upon request and free of charge, the individual will be provided reasonable access to and copies of all documents,
records and other information relevant to the claim for benefits; and (4) contain a statement of the individual's right to bring a civil action under section 502(a) of ERISA.
5.04 Exhaustion. An individual must exhaust the Plan's claims procedures prior to bringing any claim for benefits under the Plan in a court of competent jurisdiction.

## ARTICLE 6

## MISCELLANEOUS

6.01 Successors. (a) Any successor (whether direct or indirect and whether by purchase, lease, merger, consolidation, liquidation or otherwise) to all or substantially all of the Corporation's business and/or assets shall be obligated under this Plan in the same manner and to the same extent as the Corporation would be required to perform it in the absence of a succession.
(b) This Plan and all rights of the Eligible Officer hereunder shall inure to the benefit of, and be enforceable by, the Eligible Officer's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, distributees, devisees and legatees.
6.02 Creditor Status of Eligible Officers. In the event that any Eligible Officer acquires a right to receive payments from the Corporation under the Plan, such right shall be no greater than the right of any unsecured general creditor of the Corporation.
6.03 Facility of Payment. If it shall be found that (a) an Eligible Officer entitled to receive any payment under the Plan is physically or mentally incompetent to receive such payment and to give a valid release therefor, and (b) another person or an institution is then maintaining or has custody of such Eligible Officer, and no guardian, committee, or other representative of the estate of such person has been duly appointed by a court of competent jurisdiction, the payment may be made to such other person or institution referred to in (b) above, and the release shall be a valid and complete discharge for the payment.
6.04 Notice of Address. Each Eligible Officer entitled to benefits under the Plan must file with the Corporation, in writing, his post office address and each change of post office address. Any communication, statement or notice addressed to such Eligible Officer at such address shall be deemed sufficient for all purposes of the Plan, and there shall be no obligation on the part of the Corporation to search for or to ascertain the location of such Eligible Officer.
6.05 Headings. The headings of the Plan are inserted for convenience and reference only and shall have no effect upon the meaning of the provisions hereof.
6.06 Choice of Law. The Plan shall be construed, regulated and administered under the laws of the State of Delaware (excluding the choice-of-law rules thereto), except that if any such laws are superseded by any applicable Federal law or statute, such Federal law or statute shall apply.
6.07 Construction. Whenever used herein, a masculine pronoun shall be deemed to include the masculine and feminine gender, a singular word shall be deemed to include the singular and plural and vice versa in all cases where the context requires.
6.08 Termination; Amendment; Waiver. (a) Prior to the occurrence of either an Equity Acceleration Change in Control or a Cash Acceleration Change in Control, the Board of Directors, or a delegated Committee of the Board, may amend or terminate the Plan at any time and from time to time. Termination or amendment of the Plan shall not affect any obligation of the Corporation under the Plan which has accrued and is unpaid as of the effective date of the termination or amendment. Unless and until an Equity Acceleration Change in Control and/or a Cash Acceleration Change in Control shall have occurred, an Eligible Officer shall not have any vested rights under the Plan or any agreement entered into pursuant to the Plan.
(b) From and after the occurrence of either an Equity Acceleration Change in Control or a Cash Acceleration Change in Control, no provision of this Plan shall be modified, waived or discharged unless the modification, waiver or discharge is agreed to in writing and signed by the Eligible Officer and by an authorized officer of the Corporation (other than the Eligible Officer). No waiver by either party of any breach of, or of compliance with, any condition or provision of this Agreement by the other party shall be considered a waiver of any other condition or provision or of the same condition or provision at another time.
(c) Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, amend the Plan (which amendment shall be effective upon its adoption or at such other time designated by the Board of Directors) at any time prior to an Equity Acceleration Change in Control and/or Cash Acceleration Change in Control as may be necessary to avoid the imposition of the additional tax under Section 409A(a)(1)(B) of the Code; provided, however, that any such amendment shall be implemented in such a manner as to preserve, to the greatest extent possible, the terms and conditions of the Plan as in existence immediately prior to any such amendment.
6.09 Whole Agreement. This Plan contains all the legally binding understandings and agreements between the Eligible Officer and the Corporation pertaining to the subject matter thereof and supersedes all such agreements, whether oral or in writing, previously entered into between the parties.
6.10 Withholding Taxes. All payments made under this Plan shall be subject to reduction to reflect taxes required to be withheld by law.
6.11 No Assignment. The rights of an Eligible Officer to payments or benefits under this Plan shall not be made subject to option or assignment, either by voluntary or involuntary assignment or by operation of law, including (without limitation) bankruptcy, garnishment, attachment or other creditor's process, and any action in violation of this Section 6.11 shall be void.

Exhibit A

## agreement and release

SLM Corporation has established the SLM Corporation Change in Control Several Plan for Senior Officers (the "Plan"). As a condition to receiving compensation and benefits set forth in the Plan (the "Plan Benefits"), I agree as follows:
(1) In consideration of the Plan Benefits, I promise and agree to release SLM Corporation, its subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, and any related companies, (collectively "SLM") and the former and current officers, employees, directors, and benefits plan trustees of any of them from all actions, causes of action, suits, claims or demands that I ever had, now have or may have in the future, based on my employment with SLM, or with any of the other entities described above, or based on the termination of that employment. I understand this includes the release of any rights or claims I may have under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), which prohibits age discrimination in employment; the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability; the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"), which provides certain job protections for employees who take medical or family leave; Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), which prohibits discrimination in employment based on race, color, national origin, religion and sex; applicable state employment discrimination laws; the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994, as amended; the Vietnam Era Veteran's Readjustment Act of 1974 which prohibits discrimination on the basis of veteran status; the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act ("WARN"), which provides certain notice requirements for plant closings and mass layoffs; claims for individual relief under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002; claims pursuant to any other federal, state, or local laws regarding discrimination based on age, race, color, sex, disability, pregnancy, religion, national origin, creed, familial status, public assistance status, ancestry, matriculation, political affiliation, genetic information, atypical hereditary cellular or blood trait, veteran status, personal appearance, family responsibilities, use of lawful products outside the workplace, sexual orientation, marital status, or any unlawful basis, and claims for alleged violations of any other local, state or federal law, regulation, ordinance, public policy or common law duty having any bearing whatsoever upon the terms and conditions of, and/or the cessation of my employment with SLM or any of the other entities covered by this Agreement and Release.

I understand this also includes a release by me of claims for breach of express or implied contract, Fair Labor Standards Act, defamation, negligent hiring, investigation, retention, or supervision, fraudulent or negligent misrepresentation, intentional interference with an advantageous business relationship, assault, battery, false imprisonment, fraud, false arrest, Fair Credit Reporting Act, invasion of privacy, wrongful discharge, constructive discharge, breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, promissory estoppel, public policy tort, negligent or intentional infliction of emotional distress, or other claims for personal injury and
any claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (except for claims under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act for benefits due under the terms of an employee benefit plan). This release is intended to cover all claims in existence as of the date of this Agreement, including both claims that I know about and those I may not know.

I further represent that I have not filed any complaints, charges, or lawsuits against SLM, or any of the entities or individuals covered by this Agreement and Release, with any governmental agency, self-regulating agency or any court, and promise that I will not do so at any time hereafter regarding any matter covered by this Agreement and Release; provided, however, that this shall not limit me from bringing an action for the sole purpose of (a) enforcing my rights under this Agreement and Release or (b) enforcing any claims that arise under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act after I have signed this Agreement and Release. I further represent that I have incurred no work-related injury. I further waive any right to payment of attorneys' fees, which I may have incurred, other than any rights I may have under the By-Laws of the Corporation. It is understood and agreed that by entering into this Agreement and Release, SLM does not admit any violation of law, or any of employee's rights, and has entered into this Agreement and Release solely in the interest of resolving finally all claims and issues relating to employee's employment and separation. I agree to return all company property in my possession.

I have not reported any illegal conduct or activities to any supervisor, manager, department head, human resources representative, director, officer, agent or any other representative of SLM, to any member of the legal or compliance departments, or to the Code of Business Conduct hotline and have no knowledge of any such illegal conduct or activities.
(2) If I break my promises in the preceding section of this Agreement and Release and file a complaint, charge or lawsuit based on a legal claim that I have released, I agree that I will pay for all costs incurred by SLM or any entities or individuals covered by this Agreement and Release, including reasonable attorneys' fees, in defending against my claim. Nothing in this Agreement prohibits or restricts me from: (a) making any disclosure of information required by law; (b) testifying in, providing information to, or assisting in an investigation or proceeding brought by any governmental or regulatory body or official; or (c) from testifying, participating in or otherwise assisting in a proceeding relating to an alleged violation of any federal or state employment law or any federal law relating to fraud or any rule or regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission or any self-regulatory organization. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this paragraph, I hereby waive and release any right to receive any relief as a result of my participating in any investigation or proceeding of the U.S. Department of Labor, EEOC, or any federal, state, or local government agency or court.

I further agree that any dispute regarding any aspect of this Agreement and Release or any act which allegedly has or would violate any provision of this Agreement and Release ("arbitrable dispute") will be submitted to arbitration in Wilmington, Delaware in accordance with the rules of the American Arbitration Association, as the exclusive remedy for such claims or dispute. This Agreement and Release shall be governed in all respects by the substantive laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to its provisions relating to conflict of laws. This

Section (2) does not apply to disputes concerning the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA).
(3) I understand and agree that this Agreement and Release, if not timely revoked, is final and binding when executed by me. I promise not to thereafter challenge its enforceability. As a further limitation on my rights to make such a challenge, I promise that before attempting to challenge its enforceability, I shall tender initially to SLM by certified check delivered to SVP, Human Resources, all monies received by me pursuant to this Agreement and Release, exclusive of the vacation payout and final paycheck, and invite SLM to retain such monies and agree with me to cancel this Agreement and Release. Such tender by me is a condition precedent to my challenging any portion of this Agreement and Release. In the event SLM accepts this offer, it shall retain such monies and the Agreement and Release shall be canceled. In the event SLM does not accept this offer, it shall so notify me, and shall place such monies in an interest-bearing escrow account pending resolution of the dispute between me and SLM as to whether this Agreement and Release shall be set aside and/or otherwise rendered unenforceable. In the event I do not prevail in any action to challenge this Agreement and Release, I understand that I am not entitled to receive back any portion of the amount tendered by me pursuant to this Section (3). This paragraph does not apply to disputes concerning the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA).
(4) This Agreement and Release shall not be offered or received in evidence in any action or proceeding in any court, arbitration, administrative agency or other tribunal for any purpose whatsoever other than to carry out or enforce the provisions of this Agreement.
(5) I further promise not to disparage SLM or any other entity or person covered by this Agreement and Release.
(6) In addition, in consideration of the Plan Benefits, I hereby assign to SLM my entire right, title, and interest in any idea, concept, trade secret, technique, invention, design, computer programs and related documentation, other works of authorship, mask works, and the like (all hereinafter called "Developments"), made conceived, written, or otherwise created solely or jointly by me, whether or not such Developments are patentable, subject to copyright protection or susceptible to any other form of protection which: (a) relate to the actual or anticipated business or research or development of SLM or (b) are suggested by or resulted from any task assigned to me or work performed by me for or on behalf of SLM. The above provisions concerning assignment of Developments apply to Developments created while I have been employed by one or more of SLM's affiliates, subsidiaries, predecessors or successors in an executive, managerial, professional, product or technical planning, marketing, administrative, sales, technical, research, programming, or engineering capacity (including development, product, manufacturing, systems, applied science, and field engineering). I acknowledge that the copyright and any other intellectual property right in designs, computer programs and related documentation, and other works of authorship, created within the scope of my employment, belong to SLM by operation of law. In connection with any of the Developments assigned I will, on SLM's request, promptly execute a specific assignment of title to SLM or its designee, and do anything else reasonably necessary to enable SLM or such designee to acquire, transfer,
maintain, secure, and enforce a patent, copyright or other form of protection in the United States and in other countries. I agree to assist SLM in obtaining, securing, perfecting, maintaining, and/or enforcing such intellectual property, and agree to execute all documents and give witness where necessary. In the event SLM is unable, after reasonable efforts to secure my signature on any letter patent, copyright, or other analogous protection relating to an invention, whether because of my physical or mental incapacity or for any other reason whatsoever, I hereby irrevocably designate and appoint SLM and its duly authorized officer and agents as my agent and attorney-in-fact, to act for any in SLM's behalf and stead to execute and file any such application or applications and to do all lawfully permitted acts to further prosecution and issuance of letter patent, copyright or other analogous protection thereon with the same legal force and effect as if executed by me. In addition, I agree to promptly notify SLM's General Counsel in writing of any patent or patent application in which I am an inventor, but which is not assigned by this paragraph, and which discloses or claims any Development made, conceived, or written while I was employed by SLM. SLM and its licensees, successors, or assigns (direct or indirect) are not required to designate me as an author of any Development which is subject to this paragraph, when it is distributed, publicly or otherwise, or to secure my permission to change or otherwise alter its integrity. I hereby waive and release, to the extent permitted by law, all rights in and to such designation and any rights I may have concerning modifications of such Developments. I understand that any rights, waivers, releases, and assignments herein granted and made by me are freely assignable by SLM and are for the benefit of SLM and its subsidiaries, licensees, successors, and assigns.
(7) Except as required by statute, regulation or court order, or pursuant to written consent given by SLM's General Counsel, I agree not to disclose to anyone else any of the information or materials which are proprietary or trade secrets of SLM or are otherwise confidential. In addition, in consideration of the Plan Benefits, I hereby acknowledge that I previously signed confidentiality, intellectual property, and non-solicitation agreements with SLM and that I continue to be bound by the terms of those agreements.
(8) I agree not to compete with SLM for the Restricted Period, which is defined as the two-year period beginning with the date of my termination of employment with SLM. "Compete" shall mean directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries (a) working or serving as a director, officer, employee, consultant, agent, representative, or in any other capacity, with or without compensation, on behalf of one or more entities engaged in SLM's Business (as defined below) in the United States, Canada, or any other country where SLM either engages in SLM's Business at the time of my termination or where SLM, at the time of my termination, has developed a business plan or taken affirmative steps to engage in SLM's Business; (b) soliciting any current employees, customers, or business partners of SLM, soliciting any former employees of SLM who were employed by SLM within 12 months of my date of termination of employment, inducing any customer or business partner of SLM to breach a contract with SLM or any principal for whom SLM acts as agent to terminate such agency relationship; and/or (c) making statements about SLM or its management reasonably determined by the Board of Directors to be disparaging. For purposes of this provision, the term "SLM's Business" shall mean any business activity or line of business similar to the type of business
conducted by SLM at the time of my termination of employment or which SLM at the time of my termination of employment or within one year prior thereto have planned to enter into or conduct. I expressly agree that the markets served by SLM extends nationally, to Canada, and any other country where SLM is engaged in business at the time of my termination of employment and are not dependent on the geographic location of the executive personnel or the businesses by which they are employed and that the restrictions set forth in this Section (8) are reasonable and are no greater than are required for the protection of SLM.
(9) I hereby acknowledge (a) that I initially received a copy of the original draft of this Agreement and Release on or before [INSERT DATE]; (b) that I was offered a period of 21 days to review and consider it; (c) that I understand I could use as much of the 21 day period as I wish prior to signing; and (d) that I was strongly encouraged to consult with an attorney before signing this Agreement and Release, and understood whether or not to do so was my decision.
(10) I understand that I may revoke the waiver of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) claims made in this Agreement within seven (7) days of my signing. Such revocation can be made by delivering a written notice of revocation to Senior Vice President, Administration, Sallie Mae, 300 Continental Drive, Newark, Delaware 19713. For this revocation to be effective, written notice must be received by SLM no later than the close of business on the seventh day after the Agreement is signed. If I revoke the waiver of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA) claims made in this Agreement and Release within seven (7) days of my signing, my waiver and release of claims under the ADEA shall not be effective or enforceable and I will not receive $70 \%$ of the Plan Benefits.
(11) If any provision of this Agreement and Release is held by a court of competent jurisdiction or by an arbitrator to be contrary to law, the remaining provisions of this Agreement and Release will remain in full force and effect.
(12) These documents set forth the entire agreement between SLM and me, and I believe the agreement to be fair and reasonable. This Agreement and Release may not be modified or canceled in any manner, except in writing signed by both SLM and me. I sign these documents freely, knowingly and voluntarily. I acknowledge that I have not relied upon any representation or statement, written or oral, not set forth in these documents.
(13) In addition, in consideration of the payments and benefits described above, I further agree to cooperate with SLM, its affiliates, and its legal counsel in any legal proceedings currently pending or brought in the future against SLM, including, but not limited to: (1) participation as a witness; (2) drafting, producing, and reviewing documents; (3) assisting with interviews; and (4) contacting SLM.

I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE PROVISIONS OF THIS AGREEMENT AND RELEASE, AND THAT I AM VOLUNTARILY ENTERING INTO IT.

## [INSERT NAME]

Name:
Senior Vice President, Human Resources
SLM Corporation

## Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Albert L. Lord, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of SLM Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ ALBERT L. LORD
Albert L. Lord
Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
May 6, 2011

## Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Jonathan C. Clark, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of SLM Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
/s/ JONATHAN C. CLARK
Jonathan C. Clark
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
May 6, 2011

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO <br> 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, <br> AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO <br> SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of SLM Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31,2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Albert L. Lord, Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Albert L. Lord
Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
May 6, 2011

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO <br> 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, <br> AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO <br> SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of SLM Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31 , 2011 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jonathan C. Clark, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:
(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

## /s/ JONATHAN C. CLARK

Jonathan C. Clark
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
May 6, 2011


[^0]:    (1) Loans for borrowers who may still be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation, as well as loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period during employment transition.
    (2) Loans for borrowers who have used their allowable deferment time or do not qualify for deferment, that need additional time to obtain employment or who have temporarily ceased making payments due to hardship or other factors
    (3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.

[^1]:    (1) Loans for borrowers who may still be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.
    (2) Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making payments due to hardship or other factors, consistent with established loan program servicing policies and procedures.
    (3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.
    (4) Based on number of months in an active repayment status for which a scheduled monthly payment was due.

[^2]:    (1) Loans for borrowers who may still be attending school or engaging in other permitted educational activities and are not yet required to make payments on the loans, e.g., residency periods for medical students or a grace period for bar exam preparation.
    (2) Loans for borrowers who have requested extension of grace period generally during employment transition or who have temporarily ceased making payments due to hardship or other factors, consistent with established loan program servicing policies and procedures
    (3) The period of delinquency is based on the number of days scheduled payments are contractually past due.
    (4) Based on number of months in an active repayment status for which a scheduled monthly payment was due

[^3]:    (1) "Other" includes Euro-dollar futures contracts, embedded derivatives bifurcated from securitization debt, as well as derivatives related to our Total Return Swap Facility.

[^4]:    (1) Recorded in "Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net" in the consolidated statements of income.
    (2) Represents ineffectiveness related to cash flow hedges
    (3) For fair value and cash flow hedges, recorded in interest expense. For trading derivatives, recorded in "Gains (losses) on derivative and hedging activities, net,"

[^5]:    1) Amounts included in "Realized gains (losses) on derivatives" in the "Impact of Derivatives on Consolidated Statements of Income" table above
    (2) Includes net settlement income/expense.
    (3) We expect to reclassify $\$ 10$ million of after-tax net losses from accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during the next 12 months related to amortization of cash flow hedges that were hedging debt instruments that are outstanding as of the reporting date.
    (4) Recorded in "Gains (losses) derivatives and hedging activities, net" in the consolidated statements of income.
[^6]:    (1) Negative amounts are subtracted from "Core Earnings" net income to arrive at GAAP net income.

[^7]:    (1) On January 1, 2010, upon the adoption of the new consolidation accounting guidance, all off-balance sheet loans are included in the GAAP-basis.

[^8]:    (1) If an asset is not funded with the same index/frequency reset of the asset then it is assumed the funding index increases 25 basis points while holding the asset index constant.

[^9]:    Management Contract or Compensatory Plan or Arrangemen

    * Incorporated by reference on the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed on February 28, 2011

